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ABSTRACT

Fatigue Crack Propagation in Iron-Silicon Alloys

by

W Geary 1985

A technique for accurately monitoring fatigue crack growth at near threshold growth rates has been established. The characteristics of near threshold fatigue crack growth of a number of iron-silicon alloys has been quantitatively and qualitatively investigated. Relationships have been established relating the stress intensity factor, $\Delta K$, and the fatigue crack growth rate $da/dN$. At fatigue crack growth rates approaching threshold the material has shown some microstructural sensitivity and this has been related to the stress intensity factor and the yield stress.

A relationship has been shown to exist between the value of the threshold stress intensity factor and the inverse root of the grain size, $d^{-1/2}$, for each of the alloys investigated. A model for near threshold fatigue crack growth has been proposed and includes the contributions made by grain size and crack tip plasticity.

This work has also shown that fatigue crack closure plays an important role in the micromechanisms of fatigue crack growth near the threshold at low $R$ ratios. A number of mechanisms have been identified: crack closure due to the presence of oxidation products on fracture surfaces in tests conducted in air, and closure due to the presence of fatigue fretting, facet contact and a contribution of mixed mode opening.
INTRODUCTION

Little is currently known about the micromechanisms of fatigue crack propagation at near threshold growth rates. It is important from a design point of view that engineering and metallurgical data of this sort are provided to allow design of components and selection of materials capable of withstanding a large number of cycles at low stress intensity factor ranges for lifetimes up to $10^{12}$ cycles.

Most studies of fatigue crack propagation have confirmed that the crack growth rate $\frac{da}{dN}$ is a function of the alternating stress intensity factor $\Delta K$, through the power law expression $\frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K)^n$. The expression, however, overestimates the growth rate as $\Delta K$ approaches $\Delta K_{Th}$.

Much work has been done on near threshold fatigue crack growth on a wide variety of materials. However, the majority of data has been obtained on complex alloy systems of direct industrial application. These complex, multiphase, industrial alloys are not ideally suited to the task of determining the basic mechanisms occurring at low fatigue crack growth rates. An iron silicon, single phase, system was considered ideal for the purpose of assessing the effects of variations in grain size on near threshold fatigue crack growth. It was intended during this work to determine the effects of variations in grain size on $\Delta K_{Th}$ levels in terms of the micromechanisms of deformation and fracture occurring at the crack tip.

(ix)
It is known that in pure iron, homogeneous wavy slip occurs and that a change to more planar slip is caused by the addition of silicon to iron. Screw dislocations tend to remain on the (110) planes rather than moving by cross slip onto other planes as in pure iron.

Restricted slip systems are produced in the iron silicon alloys. It was intended during this research to examine the effects of restricted slip systems on near threshold fatigue crack growth rates and the interrelationships between slip behaviour, crack tip plasticity and grain size.

This was to be achieved by the production of a series of iron silicon alloys and the use of thermomechanical treatments to produce a variable grain size. A number of compact tension fatigue specimens were to be tested in tension - tension fatigue, and monitored by a sensitive technique to produce data relating the effects of grain size and solute concentration to the near threshold fatigue crack growth of these alloys.
1 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1.1 STRESS DISTRIBUTION AROUND CRACKS AND CRACK TIP PLASTICITY

1.1.1 INTRODUCTION

The primary variable which dictates whether and at what rate fatigue crack propagation occurs under variable loading conditions is the stress configuration immediately adjacent to the crack tip.

A great deal of work has been concentrated on the solution of elastic and elastic/plastic boundary conditions of statically and cyclically loaded cracks. A comprehensive review of stress and strain distributions around cracks is given in the standard texts\textsuperscript{1,2}.

Using the stress co-ordinate system shown in Figure 1 after Rice\textsuperscript{3}, the elastic stress distribution around a geometric stress concentration under Mode 1 opening is given by Figure 2 (a). Under plane strain conditions where no through thickness relaxation can occur, $\sigma_{zz}$ arises in order to maintain elastic strain continuity. In plane stress the value of $\sigma_{zz}$ is zero and the distribution of $\sigma_{xx}$ is diminished as contraction is allowed in the $Z$ direction. The edge of a body, in essentially plane strain, will be in plane stress and the distribution is shown in Figure 2 (b).

The elastic distribution around a crack in Mode 1 opening has been given by Irwin\textsuperscript{4}.
Ixz

0 Generalised plane stress

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \tau_{xy} \\ \sigma_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = K_I \left(2\pi r\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2) \cos(3\theta/2) \\ 1 + \sin(\theta/2) \sin(\theta/2) \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \tau_{xz} = \tau_{yz} = 0 \quad \sigma_z = 0 \quad \text{Generalised plane stress} \]

\[ \sigma_z = v(\sigma_x + \sigma_y) \quad \text{Plane strain} \]

\( K_I \) is the stress intensity factor for Mode I type opening and is simply related to the elastic energy release rate. Other equations exist for Modes II and III openings, incorporating the respective stress intensity factors \( K_{II} \) and \( K_{III} \).

Once determined, the stress intensity parameter \( K \) allows comparison of crack tip elastostatics independent of specimen geometry, crack length or applied stress.

If the ideal case of a crack of length \( 2a \) situated in an infinite body subject to a stress \( \sigma_a \) in Mode I opening is considered then the stress intensity at the crack tip is defined as

\[ K_I = \sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} \]

In a cracked body of finite dimensions this equation is modified by a dimensionless geometric parameter, \( Y \), to allow for specific boundary conditions.

\[ K_I = Y \sigma_a \sqrt{\pi a} \]

When a body containing a crack is stressed elastically, it is possible to produce high stresses at the crack tip which may locally exceed the material's yield stress to produce a plastic zone. The occurrence of plasticity under plane
strain conditions causes $\sigma_{xx}$ to rise at a much greater rate than would be expected in order to maintain continuity of the elements (Figure 3).

A simple estimation of the size of the plastic zone at the head of the crack under plane strain conditions is given by Irwin$^4$.

The elastic stress distribution shown in Figure 4 (a) is altered by an amount of yielding, Figure 4 (b), which is small compared with the crack length and the dimensions of the body. The plastic zone is considered to extend a small distance ahead of the crack tip. Within this zone the tensile stress $\sigma_{yy}$ is equal to the yield stress.

An underestimate of the plastic zone size, $w$, can be obtained by equating $\sigma_{yy}$ with the yield stress ($\sigma_{ys}$), Figure 4 (c). This is an underestimate as the stress depicted by the shaded area $\sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{ys}$ and

$$\sigma_{yy} = \frac{K_I}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}$$

is available for further yielding.

The magnitude of $r_y$ is then given by:

$$r_y = \frac{K_I^2}{2\pi \sigma_{ys}^2}$$

The shaded area can be shown to be equal to half the total area under the curve up to $r = r_y$ and it has been conventional to equate $w$ to a first approximation as:-
\[ W = 2\gamma y = \frac{K_I^2}{\pi \sigma_{YS}^2} \]

The plane strain plastic zone is reduced to 1/3 to account for the effects of triaxiality on yielding and therefore the plane strain plastic zone size is given by:

\[ W = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{K_I}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)^2 \]

The elastic stress distribution ahead of a crack can be described by a modified stress intensity factor, \( K^* \), where:

\[ K^* = \sigma \sqrt{\pi (a + \gamma y)} \]

1.1.2 CYCLIC PLASTIC ZONE FORMATION

It is assumed (see Figure 5) that the central region of a thick test piece deforms under plane strain conditions; local yielding occurs around the crack tip; high constraints are set up and a triaxial stress state is developed.

For a given crack opening displacement the plastic zone size in plane stress is much larger than for plane strain because yielding spreads under a shear stress component which incorporates the full value of the local tensile stress. The strain gradient in plane strain immediately ahead of the crack is steep and crack extension is easier to achieve.

Figure 6 shows a schematic stress displacement curve for the region immediately ahead of the crack tip during a stress cycle. The specimen is unloaded from the peak of
the tensile stroke, the local stresses at the crack tip unloaded elastically and then become compressive. Some reverse plastic flow is then obtained when the compressive stresses become equal to the flow stress in compression. The amount of reversed flow at zero overall displacement is given by the amount of flow corresponding to a material with a flow stress $2\sigma_{ys}$. Then the reversed plastic zone size can be estimated from:

$$W = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta K}{2\sigma_{ys}} \right)^2$$

The stress distribution produced by the displacement curve, Figure 6, is indicated in Figure 7 (c), when a cracked body is loaded by a system of stresses proportional to L, and the loading parameter is reduced by a quantity $\Delta L$ to the lower level $L-\Delta L$. On unloading a new reversed plastic zone is formed embedded in the plastic zone accompanying the original loading.

In practice complete reversal of the load is prevented by the intervention of crack closure.

1.2 EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE OF PLASTICITY

Crack tip plasticity, as characterised by linear elastic fracture mechanics, is a prerequisite for fatigue crack growth and a number of workers have investigated this plasticity experimentally.

Surface techniques $^5-^7$ of plastic zone measurement will refer effectively to plane stress conditions whilst internal methods $^6-^{11}$ may refer to a state of stress anywhere.
between plane strain and plane stress, being dependent upon loading and geometrical considerations.

Evidence of the effect of state of stress on zone shape and magnitude has been provided by several techniques.\(^6\) Weiss and Meyerson\(^5\) using two surface measurement techniques showed that the size and shape of the plastic zone produced by fully reversed bending fatigue is virtually unpredictable but in general they observed zones smaller than theoretically predicted. They suggest that local microstructural conditions such as the heterogeneous precipitation of carbides in austenitic steels, at the crack tip control the spread of plasticity.

Hahn et al\(^6\) verified the existence of two distinct regions of plasticity surrounding a fatigue crack. Etch pitting techniques revealed a region of highly strained material adjacent to the crack, sharply delineated from a lightly strained region spreading further into the bulk of the material, indicating the presence of cyclic and monotonic plastic zones.

Dislocation structures that exist around propagating fatigue cracks have been the subject of investigation by a number of workers\(^12\), \(^10\), \(^11\). The majority of this work has been carried out on f.c.c. materials where dislocation structures are found to exist as cell substructures and loops dependent upon \(\Delta K\), strain amplitude and stacking fault energy.
Other investigations\(^5\) have been carried out using resistivity techniques and metallographic studies involving linear analysis of the deformed material. Results suggested that plastic zone sizes and shapes are controlled by local microstructural conditions at the crack tip rather than stress intensity\(\Delta F\) range. Electron channeling contrast techniques have also been used to investigate cyclic deformation and subcell formation in fatigue crack propagation of a variety of materials\(^13\).

1.3 INTERMEDIATE RANGE FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH

1.3.1 MODELS

It is now widely recognised that fatigue crack growth rate, \(\frac{da}{dN}\), can be related to the alternating stress intensity\(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{crv}}}(\Delta K = K_{\text{max}} - K_{\text{min}})\) by the simple power law:-

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K_I)^n
\]

where \(C\) and \(n\) are constants\(^14\).

This equation gives an adequate, but not complete, description of behaviour for mid-range growth rates, (ie approximately \(10^{-6} - 10^{-3}\) mm/cycle for air). At higher growth rates when \(K_{\text{max}}\) approaches \(K_{IC}\), the fracture toughness, equation 10 underestimates the propagation rate\(^15\), whereas at lower growth rates it is found to be conservative as \(\Delta K\) approaches the threshold \(\Delta K_{\text{Th}}\), below which fatigue crack growth cannot be detected. (see Figure 8)

It has been suggested\(^16\), \(^17\) that at high growth rates the
final acceleration to instability occurs at some critical value of $K_{\text{max}}$ and is consistent with the linkage of independently initiated facets. The model developed for pearlite/martensite structures suggested that as a fatigue crack encountered a band of martensite a smaller plastic zone is produced, due to the higher yield stress, the tensile stress is therefore higher and the martensite band cleaves. As $K_{\text{max}}$ increases more cleavage takes place and the uncleaved ligaments fail by tearing or accelerated fatigue crack growth and the static modes of failure become increasingly self sustaining.

Other models have also been produced involving static failure mode variables but taking into account cleavage failure modes\textsuperscript{18}, \textsuperscript{19}.

Robinson et al\textsuperscript{20}, working on a titanium, found the fatigue fracture process involved the formation of faceted regions, primarily $\Delta K$ controlled, and their interconnection by local plastic tearing (primarily $K_{\text{max}}$ controlled). The mechanism was seen as a discontinuous process on a microscopic scale. Some grains, dependent upon their crystallographic orientation, fractured to produce faceted regions.

Crack growth by this faceting process will be restrained due to the presence of unbroken interconnected ligaments, and those ligaments will in turn be subjected to increasing stresses caused by the fracture of the surrounding material. It was suggested that any factor, such as low $R$ ratio,
that increased the difficulty of attaining a sufficient tensile stress level to cause ligament failure would reduce the overall growth rate.

Knott\textsuperscript{21, 22} has suggested a model based upon the coalescence of microvoids formed around MnS inclusions (Figure 9). The mechanism proposed suggested that inclusions near a sharp crack are subject to increased strains. Voids form at low plastic strains because the MnS particles are bonded very poorly to the ferrite matrix. Void growth is limited until they are subjected to higher plastic strains and triaxial stresses which are concentrated in the region of the crack tip. The growth mechanism entails the growth of the crack, by an opening and blunting mode, and propagation to the nearest void.

Attempts have been made to describe region 'C' fatigue crack growth where equation 10 is not applicable. Typical of these equations is\textsuperscript{23-}

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = A \left[ \frac{\Delta K^4}{\sigma_{UTS}^2(K_c^2 - K_{max}^2)} \right]^{0.73}
\]

where \( A = \) a numerical constant which varies slightly with the material

\( K_c = \) fracture toughness

which describes fatigue crack propagation as \( K_{\max} \) approaches the fracture toughness in pearlitic structures.

Others\textsuperscript{24} have proposed models based on the formation of striations, the work hardening of material ahead of the
crack tip\textsuperscript{25}, the propagation rate as a function of loading parameters and material properties such as elastic modulus and cyclic yield stress:

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = \frac{\Delta K^2}{\alpha \pi E \sigma_{yc} \epsilon_F}
\]

where \(\alpha = \) a material constant having a value between 1 and 2

\(E = \) Elastic modulus of the material

\(\sigma_{yc} = \) Cyclic yield stress of the material

\(\epsilon_F = \) A fracture strain term

\[\text{and} \quad \frac{da}{dN} = +\beta \left( \frac{K_{max}^2 - K_{min}^2}{K_{IC}^2} + \ln \frac{K_{IC}^2 - K_{max}^2}{K_{IC}^2 - K_{min}^2} \right)\]

where \(\beta = \) a material constant.

\section*{1.3.2 MECHANISMS}

It has been widely reported\textsuperscript{26} that at low \(\Delta K\) values, approaching threshold, and at high \(K_{max}\) values approaching \(K_{IC}\) fatigue crack growth is sensitive to microstructure. The mechanism of growth in the intermediate fatigue crack growth range has been established by many workers\textsuperscript{16, 27, 28} as striation growth\textsuperscript{29, 30, 31, 32} and the Paris equation is obeyed in this range\textsuperscript{16, 33, 31, 34}. Research has shown that each striation is produced by one cycle although every cycle does not necessarily produce one striation\textsuperscript{35}.

Laird\textsuperscript{36} and others\textsuperscript{37} considered the production of ductile striations involves the alternate blunting and re-sharpening of the crack tip, as shown by Figure 13, and growth controlled by the local crack tip alternating plastic
Others have considered a shear decohesion model appropriate in this growth regime (see Figure 14).

McMillan and Pelloux\textsuperscript{37} proposed a plastic blunting mechanism for the production of striations which is supported by the data produced by other workers\textsuperscript{38}. Striation spacings have been shown to correspond closely with macroscopic fatigue crack growth rates\textsuperscript{38}, \textsuperscript{8}, however, ductile fracture modes and cleavage have been found to be associated with striations\textsuperscript{22}.

Others\textsuperscript{39} have postulated that a transition from structure sensitive to structure insensitive growth occurs in the intermediate growth regime. Results indicated that the relationship between the plastic zone size and the grain size, or some controlling microstructural parameter, was a controlling factor in this transition.

Gerberich et al\textsuperscript{40} have shown that where combined ductile and brittle modes of fracture are observed then the constant, C, in the Paris relationship depends in a complex way on the stress intensity required to nucleate cleavage:

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = \frac{\Delta K^4}{C \sigma_{ys}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{1 + 8/\pi \sec^{-1}(K_{max}/K_{nuc})^{3/2}} - \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \right]^{14}}
\]

where C = a constant dependent upon grain size

\( K_{nuc} \) = the stress intensity required to nucleate cleavage
1.3.3 MEAN STRESS EFFECTS

1.3.3.1 INTRODUCTION

Whilst it has been shown that fatigue crack growth is insensitive to changes in mechanical parameters such as frequency, waveform\textsuperscript{41} and thickness\textsuperscript{42} early investigations on a number of materials\textsuperscript{43} have shown constants $n$ and $c$ in the Paris relationship may be influenced by intermediate fatigue crack growth rates\textsuperscript{44}.

However, most investigations\textsuperscript{45, 34, 46} have shown the effect of mean stress to be negligible in the intermediate fatigue crack growth range, growth being primarily dependant upon the alternating stress intensity where growth appears to be controlled by the amount of crack opening per cycle\textsuperscript{29} and is dependent upon the crack tip plasticity and ultimately on the elastic modulus\textsuperscript{30}. A marked influence of mean stress has been observed at high fatigue crack growth rates approaching $K_{IC}$ and also at growth rates approaching threshold (Figure 15).

Irving and Kurzfeld\textsuperscript{47} have shown for tests carried out in vacuum, on quenched and tempered steel, that no influence of mean stress is present at low values of $\Delta K$, however, some influence is observed at higher values. In contrast, Ohta et al\textsuperscript{48}, working on stainless steel found a influence of $R$ ratio at all values of $\Delta K$.

A number of workers have indicated that the influence of $R$ ratio on fatigue crack propagation may be partly
explained by the mechanism of fracture. Investigations have shown that as the mean stress increases the proportion of cleavage increases and the overall propagation rate accelerates. Richie and Knott have found that provided the fracture mechanism was normal ductile propagation, producing striations, mean stress had no effect and growth depended on \( \Delta K \) only and the occurrence of periods of either void coalescence or intergranular fracture lead to mean stress sensitivity.

A factor of ten difference in crack growth rates between R ratios of 0.34 and 0.85 has been reported in cold rolled mild steel; this was attributed to the presence of residual stresses introduced as a result of cold working.

Frost et al reported the influence of mean stress levels in an Al 5.5% Zn alloy to be attributable to the presence of hard intermetallic impurities in the alloy causing periods of fast fracture accompanying normal fatigue crack growth.

Static modes of failure have been reported by a number of workers to account for R ratio effects, and in the absence of these static modes, growth rates are insensitive to mean stress levels.

Work on a high nitrogen steel tested near the ductile brittle transition temperature and a temper embrittled steel suggested that cleavage 'bursts' occur at a critical value
of maximum stress intensity factor, $K_{\text{max}}$.

It has been shown for negative R ratio tests\textsuperscript{52} that the compression portion of the loading cycle does not significantly affect crack growth rates except when tensile residual stresses are present. It was also indicated that the transition from a ductile mode normal to the crack direction to a shear type mode at 45° to the propagation directions (see Figure 16) occurred at growth rates of $2 \times 10^{-7}$ to $7.3 \times 10^{-7}$ mm/cycle for all R values in aluminium alloy sheet, but is dependent upon thickness.

1.4 NEAR THRESHOLD CRACK GROWTH MODELS

A considerable amount of work has been carried out in recent years to determine the mode of failure and nature of near threshold fatigue crack growth. It has been suggested\textsuperscript{29} that facet formation observed in the threshold region may be the result of small scale reversed plasticity i.e. a mode of growth resembling stage I occurring on a grain to grain basis. Although unlike stage I, cracks do not in general form on the primary slip plane it is clear from the large deviations out of the macroscopic crack growth plane that an element of shear mode growth is involved.

Other workers have produced dislocation models for fatigue crack growth at low stress intensities\textsuperscript{12, 53}. Hornbogen et al\textsuperscript{12} proposed a dislocation model based upon the relationships between plastic zone sizes and grain sizes. The model is shown schematically in Figure 10. The cyclic
plastic zone is approximated by $\delta_f$, the static plastic zone size by $\delta_s$ and the grain size by $D$.

$$\delta_s = \frac{1}{6\pi} \left( \frac{K_{\text{max}}}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)^2$$

and

$$\delta_f = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta K}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)^2$$

where $\sigma_{YS}$ is the yield stress of the material.

When $\delta_f < \delta_s < D$. Dislocations are nucleated at the crack tip and travel to the interior of the grain until the external stress has exceeded the yield stress.

When $\delta_f < D < \delta_s$. Dislocations pile up at the grain boundary under static stress and cross slip out of one plane becomes more likely than in the previous stage. The size of the pile up in this case is limited to the grain size.

When $D < \delta_f < \delta_s$. As $\delta_s$ is larger than $\delta_f$ dislocations are nucleated in two or more grains ahead of the moving crack. The size of the pile up is limited by the grain size; additional cross slip and nucleation of slip at grain boundaries.

Sadananda et al\textsuperscript{53} introduced a model based on the minimum $\Delta K$ required to cause the nucleation of a dislocation at the crack tip,

$$\Delta K_{\text{min}} = \frac{2\pi \rho \tau}{\cos \theta/2 \sin \theta/2 \cos \theta/2}$$
where $p = \text{Distance between dislocation and crack.}$

$\tau_t = \text{Total stress necessary to nucleate and move a dislocation from the crack tip.}$

$\theta = \text{Crystallographic orientation of the slip system with respect to the crack plane.}$

The model suggested that the threshold $\Delta K$ is nearly independent of all material properties except elastic modulus.

A number of workers have tried to relate the fatigue threshold $\Delta K$ to the $R$ ratio.

Masounave and Bailon$^{54}$ suggested a relationship of the form,

$$\Delta K_{Th} = \Delta K_0 (1 - R) \quad -18$$

where $\Delta K_0$ is the stress intensity factor at $R = 0$. This relationship predicts that $\Delta K_{Th}$ is a linear function of $R$ only. Other workers$^{55}$ have suggested an adaption of this,

$$\Delta K_{Th} = K_0 (1 - R)^\gamma \quad -19$$

where $\gamma$ is a constant.

The data from a number of sources appears to fit an expression of this form.

Davenport and Brook$^{56}$ have suggested that a simple power law relationship does not exist and proposed the expression

$$\Delta K_{Th} = \Delta K_0 3 \frac{(K_C - K_{\max}) (1 - R)}{\sqrt{(K_C - \Delta K_0)}} \quad -20$$
which predicts a decreasing threshold $\Delta K$ with increasing R ratio. This equation can be approximated to the Klesnil and Lukas relationship$^{55}$ with exponent 0.33 when $K_{\text{max}}$ is equal to $\Delta K_{\text{Th(o)}}$ and has been demonstrated to be appropriate to 0.15% C 1.5% Mn steels.

A model proposed by Schmidt and Paris$^{168}$ related the crack closure phenomena to load ratio effects at the threshold:

for low load ratio:- $\Delta K_{\text{Th}} = K_{\text{max}} - K_{\text{min}} = K_{\text{max}} (1 - R)$  \[21\]

$$= (K_{\text{CL}} + \Delta K_{o})(1 - R)$$

for high load ratio:- $K_{\text{max}} = \frac{\Delta K_{\text{Th}}}{1 - R} = \frac{\Delta K_{o}}{1 - R}$ \[22\]

where $\Delta K_{o}$ = Stress intensity range above $K_{\text{CL}}$ necessary to produce crack growth at the threshold.

$K_{\text{CL}}$ = Stress intensity necessary to open crack.

The relationship predicted an R ratio cutoff point above which threshold $\Delta K$ was unaffected by R ratio. The theory fitted the data for a number of materials.

A model based upon strain controlled fracture criterion and effective stress intensity factor, $\Delta K_{\text{eff}}$

$$da = \frac{2^{1+n}(1 - v^2)^{1+n} (\Delta K_{\text{eff}}^2 - \Delta K_{\text{cTh}}^2)}{dN 4a(1 + n)\pi \sigma_y c E^{1-n} \varepsilon_f^{1+n} \alpha^{1+n} \]  \[23\]

where $n$ = Cyclic strain hardening exponent

$\sigma_y$ = Cyclic yield stress

$\varepsilon_f$ = True fracture strain

$\alpha$ = A constant describing the state of stress.
\[ \Delta K_{c \text{ Th}} = \text{Minimum value of } \Delta K_{\text{Th}} \]

and has been applied to low alloy steels\textsuperscript{57}.

A simple model proposed by Masounave et al\textsuperscript{55} to explain the grain size effect produced in the near threshold region is based on the microscopic crack path morphology. This model is also the basis of one produced by Priddle\textsuperscript{59}. Near threshold fracture surfaces are characterised by considerable zig-zag deviations of the fatigue crack from the plane normal to the principal stress. The magnitude of the deviations is greater in coarse grained material than in fine (Figure 11). Fracture mechanics theory applies to a crack which is assumed to grow perpendicular to the principal stress. In practice the \( \Delta K \) value is lower than that predicted from the stress intensity calibration. Crack deviation away from the plane of maximum tensile stress leads to a reduction in \( K_I \) and an increase in \( K_{II} \) stress intensity components (Figure 12). Further reduction in \( \Delta K \) arises due to an increase in the real crack surface area compared to that obtained from macroscopic measurements.

Modelling crack growth, particularly near the threshold is difficult since the influence of microstructure on mode I/mode II growth must be considered. It is even more complex if the crack is advancing in a discontinuous manner, held up at various points along its leading edge by microstructural obstacles. McEvily\textsuperscript{60} has proposed a model where growth is related to the crack opening displacement with a modification to take into account
the contributions of static modes of separation in the
growth process,

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = \frac{A}{\sigma_{ys} E} \left( \Delta K - \Delta K_{Th(R)} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta K}{K_c - K_{\text{max}}} \right)
\]

where \( \Delta K_{Th(R)} = \frac{1 - R \Delta K_{Th(o)}}{1 + R} \)

\( \sigma_{ys} = \text{Yield Stress} \)

\( E = \text{Young's Modulus} \)

\( A = \text{A material constant depending upon material and environment} \)

\( K_c = \text{Fracture Toughness} \)

Others\(^{61},\, 62\) have attempted to include a component to take into account crack closure effects (see Section 1.5.3.7) on the unloading part of fatigue cycle. This has lead to the formation of further equations:

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = C^1 (\Delta K_{\text{eff}})^{m^1}
\]

where \( \Delta K_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective \( \Delta K \) and is equal to \( K_{\text{max}} - K \) opening.

The relationship between \( \frac{da}{dN} \) and \( K_{\text{eff}} \) has been shown to be linear for low carbon steels\(^{61}\).

Tanaka\(^{63}\) has proposed an empirical relationship taking into account microstructure, material strength, fracture toughness and specimen thickness, for ductile steels in the intermediate to threshold range:
\[ \frac{da}{dN} = 1.700 \times 10^{-4}(\Delta K/103.6)^m - 10^6 \]

and

\[ \Delta K_{Th} = 163.6(5.88 \times 10^{-3})^{1/m} \]

Other relationships have been determined for brittle steels. Petit and co workers\textsuperscript{64} have proposed a relationship which describes crack propagation from the threshold to intermediate rate and include an environmental constant.

\[ \frac{da}{dN} = e(R, \alpha) \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{K_{Th}}{K_{max}} \right)^\alpha \frac{K_{max}}{K_{Th}} \right]^m \]

where \( m \) is a constant depending upon the environment.

Further models attempt to include terms describing the critical crack root radius, the strain distribution and the plastic zone size:

\[ \Delta K_{Th} = E \varepsilon_f \sqrt{2\pi \rho_{min}} \]

where \( \rho_{min} \) = Critical crack root radius

\( E = \) Youngs Modulus

\( \varepsilon_f = \) Real fracture strain.

1.5 FATIGUE THRESHOLDS

1.5.1 INTRODUCTION

Little is currently known about the micromechanisms of fatigue crack propagation at near threshold growth rates. It is important from a design point of view that engineering
and metallurgical data of this type is provided to allow
design of components and materials, capable of
withstanding high frequency low amplitude loading for
lifetimes up to $10^{12}$ cycles.

Most studies of fatigue crack propagation have confirmed
that the crack growth rate $da/dN$ is a function of the
alternating stress intensity $\Delta K$ through the power law
expression,

$$\frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K)^n$$

The expression, however, overestimates the growth rate
as $\Delta K$ approaches $\Delta K_{Th}$.

It is well established that a threshold for
fatigue crack propagation does exist. However, the
exact nature of this threshold has yet to be adequately
described. The micromechanisms of low growth rate fatigue
are complex and a study of the literature indicates that
at least 10 different propagation modes occur. Other
than metallurgical variables there are a number of
factors which may lead to an increase in threshold:
- crack tip blunting, bifurcation, closure and residual
stresses.

1.5.2 MEAN STRESS EFFECTS

1.5.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The influence of mean stress is often expressed in terms
of load ratio, $R$, ($= K_{\min}/K_{\max}$), while it is known that
there is little influence of load ratio in the mid-range, growth rates near the threshold have been identified as being sensitive to load ratio (Figure 17)

It has been widely reported\textsuperscript{65-76} that decreasing the load ratio, increasing grain size\textsuperscript{20} and increasing the interstitial alloying content all produce significant reductions in fatigue crack propagation rates at low $\Delta K$.

Cooke et al\textsuperscript{17} noted that the threshold fatigue crack growth behaviour was dominated by the $R$ ratio such that $K_{\text{max}}$ rather than $\Delta K$ controlled the kinetics; $K_{\text{max}}$ at the threshold remained relatively constant over the range of $R$ values. Others have observed $K_{\text{max}}$ control on threshold values up to specific values above which threshold values tended to be $\Delta K$ controlled.

Richie found the increased dependence of $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ on strength\textsuperscript{12} was less marked at high $R$ ratios (Figure 18). McEvily et al\textsuperscript{77} working on Ti-6Al-4V has found reasonable comparison of results with an expression of the form,

$$K_{\text{Th}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - R}{1 + R}} K_{\text{Th}(0)}$$

where $K_{\text{Th}(0)}$ is the threshold at $R = 0$

Many other attempts have been made to relate the threshold $\Delta K$ to mean stress, one by Radhakrishnan\textsuperscript{78} appears to fit experimental data for steels and aluminium alloys:-
\[ \log (\Delta K)_{Th} = \left( -\frac{1.25}{n} + 1.14 \right) + 0.7 \log(1 - R) \]

where \( n \) is a constant.

The load ratio dependence on near threshold growth, however, is found to be lower with increasing temperature\(^{68}\) and inert environments\(^{37, 46, 77}\).

Experiments carried out on En 24 and Ti-6Al-4V in vacuum\(^{46}\) indicated that near threshold crack propagation rates and the value of \( \Delta K_{Th} \) were completely independent of load ratio. This lack of R dependence for tests in inert conditions has been confirmed for low alloy martensitic steels tested in vacuum\(^{47}\).

Other studies of Ti-6Al-4V show that for tests in vacuum the dependence of the threshold on mean stress is markedly reduced though not eliminated\(^{79}\) the value of the threshold was significantly higher than in air.

These results indicate that the effect of load ratio on near threshold fatigue crack propagation rate may be attributed, in part, to some environmental interaction. The lack of load ratio effect on higher, mid-range growth rates is consistent with this since the environment may be unable to keep pace with the crack velocity.

More recent explanations of the load ratio effect have centred around the phenomenon of crack closure\(^{68-70}\) which suggests that as the R ratio is decreased then a value of R is reached where the crack closes as the minimum of the stress cycle is approached. The crack closure,
occuring at positive loads above $K_{min}$ reduces the effective $\Delta K$ applied and therefore produces a higher value of $\Delta K_{Th}$.

1.5.3 MICROSTRUCTURAL FACTORS

1.5.3.1 MATERIAL STRENGTH

Investigations have indicated that fatigue crack propagation in metals is largely independent of yield strength$^{67}$ and Lindley and Richards have found that raising the strength level by an order of magnitude does not affect the crack growth rate, over the mid-range, by more than a factor of two or three$^{80}$.

The effect of material strength on intermediate growth rates has been investigated by Dowse and Richards$^{81}$, working on low alloy steel weldments. They observed a marked reduction of crack propagation rate when a crack reached the hardened heat affected zone of the weld and considered this to be due to a reduction in the plastic zone size. No difference in crack propagation mechanism was observed between parent metal and heat affected zone.

However, at near threshold levels, material strength has been observed to have a large effect on the value of the threshold, $\Delta K_{Th}^{79}$ (see Figures 18, 19). In low strength ferrite-pearlite steels, values of threshold have been observed to decrease significantly with increasing strength$^{58}$, $^{176}$, $^{82}$. A large effect has been observed for high strength martensitic steels where the controlling measure of strength was the cyclic rather
than the monotonic yield strength\textsuperscript{29}. Coarse grained precipitation hardened ferritic microstructures significantly lower growth rates near the threshold and have a more pronounced effect than higher strength bainitic or martensitic structures\textsuperscript{83}. The effects of yield strength on $\Delta K_{Th}$ have been summarised for a number of steels (see Figure 20).

The effect of strength on near-threshold crack propagation behaviour is significantly less at high $R$ values\textsuperscript{29}. Varying the strength in low strength steels may involve variations in the ferrite grain size which also has a strong effect on near-threshold behaviour\textsuperscript{58, 19, 34}.

The effect of tempering temperature has been investigated for a low alloy steel\textsuperscript{85} and a peak value of threshold $\Delta K$ obtained as a function of tempering temperature (as the tempering temperature is raised then the U.T.S and the yield strength decrease continuously) (see Figure 21). The threshold is then dependent on strength level on one side of the maxima and a characteristic material parameter on the other. Therefore a suitable combination is required to give the optimum threshold value. Results showed that a coarse grain size and lath martensite gives inferior thresholds compared with a mixture of plate martensite and lath martensite formed in finer grained material.

The dependence of $\Delta K_{Th}$ on strength may be due to a number of factors, such as - larger plastic zones in lower strength material may retard crack growth.
Alternatively higher strength steels may be more susceptible to the effects of hydrogen, due to higher equilibrium solubility of hydrogen.

1.5.3.2 GRAIN SIZE EFFECTS AND CRACK TIP PLASTICITY

1.5.3.2.1 INTRODUCTION

It has been shown that grain refining can be beneficial in raising the fatigue limit or the endurance strength of materials\textsuperscript{86}. Most studies at intermediate growth rates have shown that grain size has little effect. However, a number of studies on titanium alloys and steels indicate that a grain size effect is present at higher growth rates \textsuperscript{67} (see Figure 22).

At very low growth rates an effect has also been shown to exist. Several workers\textsuperscript{57, 29, 87, 88} have observed an improved resistance to near threshold propagation with an increase in grain size (see Figure 23).

A number of investigators\textsuperscript{89} have reported a linear relationship between threshold and grain size, whilst others\textsuperscript{58, 61} have shown the threshold value to vary linearly with the square root of the grain size.

Robinson and Beevers\textsuperscript{20} have reported an order of magnitude decrease in near threshold growth rates in a Titanium after coarsening the grain size from 20 to 200 \(\mu\text{m}\). Similar effects have been observed in Ti-6Al-4V. A marked increase in threshold \(\Delta K\) values has also been observed in a range of low strength steels by increasing the ferrite grain.
In these studies material strength was not controlled and the effect of grain coarsening may have been masked by a decrease in material strength.

Comparisons of threshold stress intensity values as a function of grain size, at constant yield strength, have been made in high strength steels\textsuperscript{29, 30, 72} and it has been determined that coarsening the prior austenite grain size by an order of magnitude led to a decrease in near threshold growth rate but left the threshold value unchanged; further data on low strength steels indicated a strong grain size affect on the normalised threshold\textsuperscript{13}.

An increase in the threshold of duplex structures with an increase in the grain size has been attributed to a decrease in notch sensitivity with a decrease in strength level. It was shown\textsuperscript{26} that the martensitic phase was a barrier to crack growth and a crack could only propagate if the plastic zone size exceeded the size of the martensitic phase.

Masounave and Bailon\textsuperscript{18} have shown a linear relationship to exist between the threshold stress intensity $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ and the grain size $d^{\frac{1}{2}}$(Figure 24) and produced an expression relating $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ to $d^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

$$\Delta K_{\text{Th}} = (1 - R) (\Delta K_{O} + K_{I} d^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

where $\Delta K_{O}$ = Threshold when $R = 0$

$K_{I}$ = Factor dependent upon the local stress ahead of the crack.
The threshold $\Delta K$ has also been shown to vary linearly with the monotonic yield stress\textsuperscript{89} and the mean stress\textsuperscript{79}. Thompson\textsuperscript{90} has suggested that the influence of grain size on fatigue, in a low carbon steel, may be correlated with the ease of cross slip of the system.

In contrast to other workers Robin et al\textsuperscript{91} found no significant effect of grain size on the fatigue threshold, in aluminium alloy. Fracture surfaces consisted of flat facets separated by steps, the facets being approximately equal to the grain size. They determined that the relationship between the grain size and the plastic zone size was not significant in the transition from crystallographic type growth to non-crystallographic growth. Transition occurred at a constant growth rate independent of grain size.

Higo et al\textsuperscript{92}, working on Cu-Al alloys, have noted a regular increase in fatigue crack threshold with $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ which becomes more pronounced as the stacking fault energy is decreased (see Figure 25). The fatigue fracture surfaces showed a high proportion of intergranular facets at low $\Delta K$ levels. Their explanation suggested that a process depending on reversed dislocation movement at the crack tip was a function of the alternating plastic strain amplitude. Lower strains would be developed in higher yield strength material for a given $\Delta K$; if a critical value of alternating plastic strain amplitude, $\Delta \epsilon_p$, were required to produce crack
growth then this would require a higher $\Delta K$ so that an increase of $\Delta K_{Th}$ with yield stress would be expected.

If a constant reversed plastic zone size were required to propagate a crack then $\Delta K_{Th}$ would vary in a linear manner with yield stress.

1.5.3.2.2 PLASTICITY EFFECTS

It has been observed by many workers\textsuperscript{29} that the threshold condition is achieved when the reversed plastic zone size is of the order of the grain size.

Robinson et al\textsuperscript{20} have noted the occurrence of grain orientation control when the reversed plastic zone size was approximately equal to the grain size.

Others\textsuperscript{33} have shown that as the reversed plastic zone size exceeds the scale of the microstructure a growth mode occurs which is insensitive to microstructure, $R$ ratio, and to a large extent environment. Where the reverse plastic zone size is smaller than the scale of the microstructure, stage I type growth occurs and this process tends to be $K_{\text{max}}$ rather than $\Delta K$ dependant.

A number of workers\textsuperscript{61, 67} have reported the transition from structure insensitive growth to structure sensitive growth to occur when the reversed plastic zone size was of the order of the grain size. The dislocation structure ahead of a crack and the effect of a change in grain size is schematically shown by Lindigkeit et al\textsuperscript{93, 95} in Figure 26.
Taira et al. working on low carbon steel, showed that the ratio of the reversed plastic zone size, $W_{\text{rev}}$, to the grain size, $d$, to be of the order of 1.5 - 2. They proposed that the threshold condition is determined by whether the slip band near the crack tip propagated into an adjacent grain or not, and that the slip band propagation was governed by the $\Delta K$ at the slip band tip. It was suggested that the microscopic $K$ could be approximated by:

$$K^m = K - K_{fr^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} - 33

where $K_{fr^*} = 2 \sqrt{2} \sigma_{fr^*} \sqrt{\xi_F/\pi}$  \hspace{1cm} - 34

and $\sigma_{fr^*} = \text{flow stress in the slip zone}$

$$\xi_F = \text{size of the slip band zone in the forward direction}$$

and thus

$$K = K^m + 2 \sqrt{2} \sigma_{fr^*} \sqrt{\xi_F/\pi}$$  \hspace{1cm} - 35

and at the threshold

$$K_{Th} = K^m_{CVH} + 2 \sqrt{2} \sigma_{fr^*} \sqrt{P2S/\pi}$$  \hspace{1cm} - 36

Gerberich et al. suggest that although the R.P.Z.S might be fundamental to the transition from microstructure sensitive to microstructure insensitive growth near the threshold, this zone was an order of magnitude smaller that the controlling microstructural parameter in Ti-6Al-4V. They also reported a poor correlation between the R.P.Z.S and $\Delta K_{Th}$ in steel.

These workers indicated that at the threshold, the stress distributions associated with the crack, which is a
semi-cohesive zone associated with the selective cleavage of the microstructure and the plastic zone, must be in equilibrium. An expression was derived for a central crack length $2c$ in an infinite plate:

$$\pi \sigma - 2\sigma_{sc} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{c}{a} \right) - 2(\sigma_{ys} - \sigma_{sc}) \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{b}{a} \right) = 0$$

where $\sigma = $ Applied stress
\[\sigma_{sc} = $ Stress in semi-cohesive zone
\[\sigma_{ys} = $ Yield stress in plastic zone
$2c = $ Real crack size
$2b = $ Real crack + semi-cohesive zone size
$2a = $ Fictitious crack containing all three zones

The agreement with experimental results from a number of sources was reasonable, (see Figure 27).

1.5.3.3 EFFECT OF GRAIN BOUNDARY SEGREGATION

It is well known that grain boundary segregation can severely impair toughness of low alloy steels (temper embrittlement).

A study has been conducted on the effects of impurity segregation on near threshold fatigue crack propagation, in an ultra high strength steel. (Tests were conducted at the same grain size and yield stress). It was determined that no difference in propagation rates was observed between the unembrittled and embrittled structures at mid-range growth rates. At near threshold levels, impurity induced embrittlement gave rise to vastly accelerated growth rates and a corresponding reduction in
ΔK_{Th} at both high and low R ratios.

This effect was accompanied by a significant increase in the proportion of intergranular fracture in the embrittled steel close to the threshold. This effect at low growth rates compares to little or no effect at intermediate rates.

1.5.3.4 EFFECT OF MATERIAL PURITY

It has been observed that crack propagation rates are considerably enhanced by the presence of impurities in steel (see Figure 28). Evans et al\textsuperscript{94}, working on En 24, showed a threefold decrease in propagation rates in high purity alloys compared with a standard commercial alloy, at near threshold ΔK.

Differences in growth rates were most apparent in the Paris regime; the growth data tending to converge near the threshold. Less convergence of data was observed in tests carried out in vacuum, however, differences in tempering temperature were also shown to affect growth rates.

1.5.3.5 MICROSTRUCTURE AND MECHANISMS

The importance of microstructural parameters in very low growth rate fatigue has been the subject of study by a number of workers and it is known that changes in the microstructure in, for example, weldments,\textsuperscript{95} can lead to a considerable difference in growth rates. A decrease in the interlamellar spacing, in the absence of ferrite matrix hardening, increases the threshold values for
fatigue crack propagation in pearlitic steels. Although it has been widely reported that near threshold fatigue crack propagation is sensitive to microstructure, the precise mechanisms are not known. Macroscopically, a band of corrosion product is generally observed on fracture surfaces in the area where growth rates have been less than $10^{-6} - 10^{-7}$ mm/cycle. This indicates the presence of environmental action at near threshold levels even in air.

Microscopically, near threshold growth has been termed microstructurally sensitive owing to the presence of isolated planar, transgranular or intergranular facets within a flat, ductile transgranular mode. Secondary cracking and rough steps may also be present and may be indicative of environmentally sensitive fracture. The dependence of intergranular fracture on $\Delta K$ and $K_{\text{max}}$ at threshold is shown in Figure 29.

Work on iron carbon alloys determined that failure at low growth rates was preceded by intergranular separation of ferrite grains. Slip lines across these grains indicated that slip has occurred within them after the passage of the crack tip. These features were observed to occur when the reversed plastic zone size was approximately equal to the grain size.

The appearance of isolated intergranular facets in addition to ductile striations has been observed by a number of workers. It has been shown that rates of
growth in the near threshold region vary depending on the type of microstructure present. Fracture surfaces have been observed to be very irregular in appearance and contain many deviations from the primary fracture plane. This scale of irregularity was found to increase with the scale of the microstructure, in agreement with the model proposed by Masounave et al. The mechanism for the formation of these facets in the threshold region is thought to be the result of small scale plasticity, a pseudo stage I mode of growth occurring on a grain to grain basis.

Further investigations suggest that these facets, unlike stage I cracks, do not in general form on the primary slip plane; but is is clear from the deviations that an element of shear is involved.

Irving et al suggested that the formation of fracture facets was aided by shear modes of failure rather than tensile mode I (Figure 12) which operates once the transition to structure insensitive growth has occurred. They conclude that structure sensitive growth consisted of facet formation in a pseudo stage I shear mechanism, coupled with an environmentally assisted failure of the intervening regions. It was proposed that a two stage mechanism existed; one part $\Delta K$ controlled (the formation of facets at and ahead of the crack tip) and the other $K_{\text{max}}$ controlled (the inter-connection of these facets).

Experiments on ultra high strength steel determined that fracture consisted of a flat transgranular mode.
with isolated segments of intergranular separation. Others have shown that fracture path topography is strongly sensitive to the orientation of the grains through which the crack front passed.

The threshold condition for microscopic fatigue cracks in two phase microstructures, for example martensite/ferrite, has been shown to be controlled by the martensite phase, and a crack growth resistance term $K_{Th(m)}$ represents a material characteristic. Smaller values of $\Delta K_1$ compared with $K_{Th(m)}$, will produce non propagating cracks in the matrix. A further observation suggested that the crack front near the threshold is moving in a discontinuous manner with the front being held up at various points by microstructural obstacles (second phase particles, ligaments or improperly oriented colonies of grains).

The presence of static modes of failure during fatigue at low growth rates has been seen to be as a result of restricted slip systems, embrittlement and environmental influences and it is known that control of the microstructure can lead to an improvement in threshold behaviour.

Gerberich et al. considered a mixed mode of fracture at near threshold growth rates where cleavage occurs in large grains but not in some of the smaller ones. The resulting ligament acts as a traction on the crack preventing opening, thus reducing the $\Delta K$ at the crack tip. Alternatively fracture may occur in those grains which are most favourably orientated and those which are...
unfavourably orientated remain as ligaments.

The dynamics of ligament fracture and static discontinuous fracture modes are among a number of variables which may influence very low crack growth rates; others may be crack blunting, crack branching and void formation.

1.5.3.6 THE BEHAVIOUR OF DISLOCATIONS

1.5.3.6.1 INTRODUCTION

It has been known for many years that dislocations play a significant part in the conditions for crack growth and theories of their role are well developed.

Work in the early 1960's on fatigue induced dislocation sub-structures in poly-crystalline aluminium showed even at low strains, large concentrations of dislocation loops were found in the vicinity of sub-grain boundaries.

Recent investigations have indicated the presence of dislocation tangles and cell sub-structures adjacent to the crack tip. Katagiri et al have noted in addition to a high density of dislocation tangles a change in sub-structure on lines radiating from the crack tip at 60° to the direction of crack growth. They suggested that the slip band/dislocation structure indicated a complex strain distribution occurring near lines of discontinuity in crystallographic orientation. Intense plastic flow was observed at the tip of the crack along the planes close to the plane of maximum elastic shear stress.
A number of models have assumed that crack growth is facilitated by the nucleation and motion of dislocations at the crack tip. It therefore follows that easier nucleation of dislocations enables crack growth to occur at lower \( \Delta K \) with less crack tip blunting.

1.5.3.7 **CRACK CLOSURE CONCEPTS**

Recent theories of fatigue crack growth, particularly in the threshold region, have suggested an effect of crack closure\(^{106}\) on threshold values.

It has been postulated that as the R ratio is decreased then a value of R is eventually reached where the crack begins to close as the minimum stress intensity of the load is approached. Crack closure reduces the effective \( \Delta K \) applied to the specimen and so has the effect of raising the apparent threshold stress intensity measured for a given R ratio.

If closure does not occur then the R dependence of \( \Delta K_{\text{Th}} \) disappears.

Alternatively the R ratio effect could be caused by the formation of oxide which acts to hold the crack open at low R ratios leading to a reduction of the effective \( \Delta K \) applied to the crack and a consequent rise in the apparent \( \Delta K_{\text{Th}} \). This would also account for the lack of any effect in vacuum.

Work on fully pearlitic steels at low R values\(^{107}\) has indicated the presence of oxide on the fracture surface.
along with an increase in the surface roughness with increasing grain size. It is suggested that the residual plastic deformation present along the path of a growing crack leads to some closure of the crack surfaces at positive values of $K_{\text{min}}$. This leads to an effective reduction of $\Delta K$ (i.e., $\Delta K_{\text{eff}} < \Delta K$ apparent) which is available to act as a crack driving force. At higher $R$ values the crack remains open during the whole of the loading cycle and the role of crack closure disappears.

In oxidising conditions, closure could lead to enhanced corrosion debris formation within the crack tip region by repeated breaking and compacting of the oxide. This could cause increased contact between the crack surfaces thereby decreasing $\Delta K_{\text{eff}}$ and reducing the crack driving force. It was suggested that the effect of a finer grain size was to reduce roughness. The appearance of a mismatch of striation peaks has also been shown to lead to closure.

Further work on medium strength steels\textsuperscript{108}, aluminium alloys\textsuperscript{109}, titanium\textsuperscript{110}, and nimonic alloys\textsuperscript{111} has shown a dependence of crack growth rate, at near threshold values, on crack closure occurring at low $R$ ratios.

The magnitude of the closure effect has been estimated using the equation:

$$\delta = \frac{K^2(1 - \nu^2)}{2E \sigma_{\text{ys}}}$$

- 38.
where $\sigma_{ys}$ = The yield strength

$E$ = Youngs Modulus

$\delta$ = Crack opening displacement

This equation has been found to fit well with the size of oxide particles at the crack tip.

The closure phenomena may also be used to explain the effect of strength level on threshold. In higher strength steels, smaller plastic zones are formed ahead of the crack and therefore closure effects will be reduced, giving rise to lower $\Delta K$ threshold values, particularly at high stress ratios. If crack closure effects are reduced, then the amount of fretting corrosion products might also be expected to be reduced. The effective stress intensity range of the higher strength material will be closer to the applied $\Delta K$ giving higher crack growth rates and lower threshold values.

1.5.4 ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS OF FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH

1.5.4.1 INTRODUCTION

It has been observed\textsuperscript{20, 33} that the stress intensity range, $\Delta K$, required for crack growth in vacuum is very much greater than that required for air (Figure 30). It is therefore important that a complete understanding of the environmental effects in fatigue crack growth be obtained to enable the design engineer to evaluate requirements of components for service in other than vacuum conditions.
1.5.4.2 INFLUENCE OF MICROSTRUCTURE

Observations suggest that environments play an active role at low growth rates\textsuperscript{20, 46, 112}. Many workers\textsuperscript{47, 113, 114} have found large differences\textsuperscript{46, 115, 116} in near threshold growth rates between hydrogen containing environments and vacuum (see Figure 31). Extensive tests carried out in other environments (sea-water\textsuperscript{117}, Nitrogen\textsuperscript{115}, 3% NaCl\textsuperscript{57}) have also shown considerable differences.

In the intermediate growth range most workers have found little influence of environment\textsuperscript{119}, although some workers have noted an influence in this range\textsuperscript{113, 91}. An increase in crack growth rates by up to a factor of 8 has been observed in aqueous solutions and a critical frequency was determined at which maximum environmental attack occurred\textsuperscript{120}.

In vacuum little detectable influence of R ratio on growth is observed at low $\Delta K$ values\textsuperscript{47}. Research also suggests that there is little influence of water vapour on growth rates less than $10^{-5}$ mm/cycle in quenched and tempered steels. However, at higher $\Delta K$ values some influence of R ratio was apparent. Stewart\textsuperscript{115} has suggested that the growth rate in an environmental species can be expressed by a simple relationship of the form:

$$\left(\frac{da}{dN}\right)_{\text{Total}} = \frac{1}{f} \left(\frac{da}{dN}\right)_{\text{evn}} + \left(\frac{da}{dN}\right)_{\text{mech}} \quad - 39$$

where $f$ is the frequency of testing.
Reports suggest that at low $\Delta K$ values, growth becomes increasingly sensitive to $K_{\text{max}}$ and under these conditions the influence of environment becomes more marked and non-continuum mechanisms - cleavage, intergranular fracture and void coalescence become operative.

Frandsen et al. observed a transition in failure mode from ductile transgranular fracture in vacuum to a mixed intergranular and transgranular mode in hydrogen. A correlation was shown to exist between the increase in crack propagation rate caused by hydrogen and the proportion of intergranular fracture (Figure 32). The maxima correspond to the point where the forward yield plastic zone size is equal to the prior austenite grain size.

The appearance of the fracture surfaces at low growth rates has indicated that cracking mode changes from ductile to 'quasi cleavage' and is consistent with a hydrogen related embrittlement mechanism, growth showing a time and maximum stress dependence analogous to stress corrosion cracking, the change in the fracture mode being associated with hydrogen enhancement of fatigue crack growth.

Stewart, working on two low alloy steels found little influence of environment (hydrogen) at stress intensities close to the threshold and noted that these results were inconsistent with the hypothesis that the effect of strength level and stress ratio on the threshold is as a result of hydrogen embrittlement. He further suggested that the build-up of corrosion products within
the crack by fretting in moist environments also has a marked effect on $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ values at low stress intensities.

Work on Cu-Al alloys\textsuperscript{32} suggested that the occurrence of intergranular cracking could be associated with preferential oxidation effects at the crack tip. At low crack growth rates more time is available for preferential oxidation to occur along grain boundaries adjacent to the crack tip. At higher growth rates cracks will propagate more rapidly than oxidation down grain boundaries could occur, and the incidence of intergranular facet formation would fall.

1.5.4.3 MECHANISMS OF CRACK GROWTH IN ENVIRONMENTS

It has been proposed that the microstructural effects observed at near threshold stress intensities (for tests carried out in moist air\textsuperscript{29}) could be due to the presence of hydrogen, a semi-qualitative model relating the contribution to fatigue crack propagation from the environmental effect of hydrogen, evolved from the crack tip surface reactions with moist air was proposed. It was also suggested that the observations of lower growth rates in coarser grained material will be due to the diffusion of hydrogen atoms to grain boundaries, ie - transport of hydrogen from crack surface into the metal through the motion of dislocations\textsuperscript{122}, \textsuperscript{123} rather than diffusion\textsuperscript{121}. However, the dumping and pickup of $H_2$ at the grain boundaries has been shown to be a fast process and is not rate limiting\textsuperscript{122-123}. 
Investigators considered that hydrogen was transported on dislocations in Cottrell type atmospheres\textsuperscript{124}. The presence of an atmosphere around a dislocation, however, may cause a drag on the dislocation thus reducing their mobility. The hydrogen may then be deposited at microstructural locations such as grain boundaries, inclusions or microvoids. These locations may then be the critical paths for fracture.

It was further suggested\textsuperscript{125} that since the dislocations are unable to travel from grain to grain, hydrogen carrying dislocations which approach grain boundaries will deposit their hydrogen at or near the grain boundaries where the hydrogen atoms are picked up by mobile dislocations emitted from sources in the adjoining grain. It is therefore possible for dislocations to transport hydrogen deep into the plastic zone even at ambient temperature\textsuperscript{125}.

The concentration of hydrogen atoms reacting at the grain boundaries was thought to be greatest when the maximum plastic zone size is of the order of the grain size. In coarser grained structures the plastic zone size remains small compared to the grain size until much higher stress intensities resulting in a reduced environmental influence.

The formation of microvoids ahead of the crack tip has been observed in ferrite\textsuperscript{126} and the segregation of hydrogen to the grain boundaries contributes to the formation of cracks. The observance of the formation of secondary cracks in the elastic-plastic zone head of the crack tip
and their coalescence with the primary crack leads to the suggestion that crack propagation would only occur when the local stress exceeds that required to break the Fe-H-Fe bond. The threshold is then a function of this local critical stress.

Comparison of fatigue crack propagation data in air and vacuum, for nickel based alloys, indicated that a higher and more clearly defined threshold occurred in vacuum; it was proposed that crack blunting resulted in a rapid approach to a clearly defined threshold. Fatigue crack propagation was observed to be reduced by slip reversal. The effect of environment was to retard slip reversal by oxide pinning of the dislocations. Oxidation also reduced rewelding of the crack surfaces on unloading.

A model proposed by Lynch suggested that surface lattice distortion could hinder the nucleation and ingress of dislocations at the surface since dislocations moving in the first few atomic layers would be associated with larger than normal lattice distortions. The effect of an environmental species present at the crack tip would be to increase the number of neighbouring atoms, through chemisorption, and reduce the surface lattice distortion, thus facilitating dislocation activity at the crack tip. The model indicated that chemisorption of environments (liquid metals, aqueous, moist air etc) could therefore be responsible for enhanced growth rates. The effect of frequency can be explained on the basis of the effectiveness of the environment in promoting crack growth and
is a time dependent process.

At higher crack growth rates the environmental effects would be expected to disappear, as indicated by a marked reduction in the proportion of fracture occurring by an intergranular mechanism. At high cyclic frequencies the hydrogen atoms will no longer be able to diffuse sufficiently rapidly to keep pace with a crack tip which is advancing at a high rate.

Recent investigations have focused on the phenomena of crack closure and the part played by environmental species at low growth rates. This is more fully discussed in section 1.5.3.7.

1.5.4.4 KINETICS OF HYDROGEN EFFECT

Investigations have suggested that the kinetics of the environmental effect are controlled by the diffusion of hydrogen to the crack tip, dissociation to atomic hydrogen at the clean metal surface adsorption into the metal matrix and finally diffusion through the lattice to the region of embrittlement. The rate limiting step is variously thought to be the surface processes$^{130}$ and the long range diffusion of hydrogen$^{131}$.

Others have suggested that the controlling mechanism may be a critical concentration of hydrogen, ie the applied stress intensity equals the threshold when the equilibrium concentration of hydrogen at the crack tip achieves a critical concentration.
1.6 THE FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH OF SILICON IRON

1.6.1 INTRODUCTION

Research on the fatigue crack growth of silicon iron, particularly in the threshold region, is not common. However, as a single phase system it is ideally suited to threshold work and investigations into restricted slip systems.

The addition of silicon to iron produces solute strengthening making it increasingly difficult for cross slip to occur and restricting plastic deformation within the grains. It has been reported\(^\text{18}\) that additions of silicon to iron increase crack propagation rates, Figure 33, and also result in an increasing proportion of cleavage (silicon decreasing the fracture stress) and that a change from intergranular to transgranular propagation is observed\(^\text{132}\).

The intensive slip band formation which is characteristic of other materials in cyclic deformation has not been observed in silicon iron\(^\text{193}\). Others have reported\(^\text{134, 135}\) that dislocations tend to remain on the (110) plane rather than cross slip readily on to other types of plane as in pure iron. This leads to an increase of fatigue resistance, however this improvement cannot be ascribed solely to the increased resistance to cross slip because the yield stress of iron is also increased by the addition of silicon, although other workers report that the yield stress has little effect on threshold levels of silicon iron\(^\text{67}\).
Earlier work on Fe-Si single crystals\textsuperscript{136}, \textsuperscript{137} indicated that cyclic cleavage is initiated by an increase in the rate of loading\textsuperscript{138}. Further investigations\textsuperscript{139} on the system have shown that the crack tip opening rate is the controlling factor in determining whether a crack propagates by a ductile or brittle mode. The critical crack opening rate at which the fracture mode changes is temperature dependent and an activation energy for the initiation of cleavage has been determined. The activation energy for dislocation glide agrees well with the activation energy for the transition from ductile to cleavage fracture.

Gerberich et al\textsuperscript{140} have proposed a cyclic cleavage crack growth model based on that of Neumann\textsuperscript{139} for crack growth in iron and some of its alloys. It was shown that a decrease of a factor of five in crack growth rate occurred on a reduction of temperature from R.T to 233 K in an iron 2\% silicon alloy. The yield stress was shown to increase from 199 to 222 MPa over this range of temperature but it seems improbable that such a small increase in yield stress could have such a large effect on the growth rate.

At lower temperatures they reported a further increase in yield stress from 222 to 370 MPa, however this did not reduce the growth rate as might be expected. The cleavage mode became predominant and the trend in growth rates was reversed.

Similar behaviour was noted for Fe 2.5\% Ni alloy where
growth rates were shown to increase by almost a factor of 30 as the temperature falls to 173 K which corresponds to an increase in yield strength 160 to 240 MPa.

Gerberich et al\textsuperscript{67} noted that a 1\% silicon addition to iron decreased the ductile/brittle transition temperature slightly while greater amounts of silicon increase it. Small additions of silicon produced solute softening and therefore the yield stress is actually lower than the pure iron at 173°K.

Neumann et al\textsuperscript{141} stated that alternating activation of two intersecting slip planes results in crack growth and showed that "quasi-brittle" crack propagation in Fe-3\% Si the activation energy for brittle crack initiation was equal to the activation energy of dislocation motion.

The low cycle fatigue of Cu-Al polycrystalline materials has been shown to produce 'cell substructures' ahead of the fatigue crack. Dislocation 'bands' and 'tangles' were observed parallel to the primary slip planes which is indicative of the planar dislocation arrays encountered in low stacking fault energy material. Dislocation bands occurred at all strain ranges; slip band coarsening occurred as the strain level was increased.

Recent work on grain orientated silicon iron\textsuperscript{143} has suggested that the appearance of cleavage facets on the fatigue fracture surface is associated with inhomogeneous deformation along the crack front. Results indicated a reverse plastic displacement, $\delta_R$, almost two orders of
magnitude larger than the growth rate and suggested that
the occurrence of crack closure provided a possible
explanation.

Further work on similar material\textsuperscript{144} indicated that crack
growth occurred by means of slip occurring at the apex of
the crack tip; slip of upper and lower slip planes formed
new surfaces at the crack tip during the loading cycle,
and crack closure occurred by means of reverse slip at the
apex during the unloading cycle. The deformation cycle
was found to coincide with the slip directions of the
material and deformation in the reverse direction was
found during the unloading cycle.
2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1 MATERIALS

High purity Japanese electrolytically refined iron melted in a Scotvac vacuum furnace under an atmosphere of argon together with small quantities of silicon was used to obtain the desired composition. Initially twelve 5 kg ingots were produced with silicon contents varying from 0 to 4%.

A further series of four ingots were subsequently produced containing small quantities of aluminium and nitrogen. It was hoped to produce a fine dispersion of aluminium nitrides in order to produce a degree of grain boundary pinning and retardation of grain growth.

A third series of eight ingots was produced to supplement the first in the mechanical working and heat treatment experiments.

2.1.1 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

Tables 1, 2 and 3 show the chemical composition of the three series of material respectively. Analysis was determined using a combination of wet and X-ray analytical techniques on samples representative of the melt. The analysis of base materials is given in Table 4 and the analysis of residual and tramp elements for series 3 material is given in Table 5.
The cast ingots, of initial dimensions approximately 230 x 60 x 60 mm, were cropped (to remove pipe) and skimmed to produce a surface suitable for rolling.

Conditions of plane strain at the crack tip are necessary to achieve a uniform crack front shape during propagation. It is known that under plane strain conditions, fatigue cracks tunnel ahead more rapidly than under plane stress conditions likely to be found at specimen surfaces. Only a small reduction was possible due to the constraint on specimen size and therefore little effect of orientation might be expected.

The cast structure in all cases was very coarse, the central sections of the ingots being coarse equiaxed grains up to 4 mm in diameter surrounded by columnar grains up to 20 mm in length.

Initial hot rolling treatments from 1000°C to a finished rolling temperature of 800°C were made to ingots IS00B, IS20B, IS30A, IS40A. Initially ingots were rolled with the maximum pass permitted by the mill (up to 13%). These treatments failed to produce the desired equiaxed grain structure. A final grain size of 2.6 mm was achieved in the case of ingot IS00B. The cast structure of ingot IS20B was only partially broken up by the treatment and little break up of the cast structure was
observed for ingots 1S30A and 1S40A.

The results of these experiments indicated the necessity for a cold or warm working treatment. An attempt to roll ingot 1S05B was made using the smallest available pass (≈ 0.5%). This resulted in extensive cracking of the ingot surface, up to 5 mm deep.

Ingot 1S20A was hot rolled at 1050°C to 30 mm in thickness and a final 5 mm cold reduction to introduce sufficient strain energy to facilitate a grain refining heat treatment utilising this strain energy. Extensive cracking of the billet occurred on the first pass of 7% rendering the billet unusable. The cracking was identified as grain boundary decohesion.

Ingot 1S10B was rolled at 800°C employing passes of 2%. Cracking started to occur on the third pass and the experiment was terminated. The cracks were subsequently removed and the ingot re-rolled at 1000°C down to 30 mm and the final 5 mm rolled at 800°C. Again grain boundary decohesion occurred at small passes.

Ingot 1S10A was rolled at 1000°C down to 30 mm thickness and rolled to the finished size (25 mm) at 900°C and produced an equiaxed grain size of 0.760 mm. The effect of holding time on grain size was investigated to determine the presence, if any, of a critical holding time, in the γ loop, to produce grain refinement via the phase transformation (α − γ). The results are shown in Figures 34 and 35.
The possibility of grain refinement by thermal cycling through the \( \alpha - \gamma - \alpha \) phase change was also investigated. Ingot 1S20A was hot rolled at 1000°C down to 30 mm and rolled to 25 mm at 900°C and a final equiaxed grain size of 1.2 mm produced. The material was then cycled through the phase change up to five times, followed by an air cool. The results are shown in Figure 36.

It was considered that the lack of any tendency for this material to undergo grain refinement was due to the lack of fine second phase particles at the grain boundaries, and therefore no grain boundary pinning was evident. This was compounded by the use of high rolling temperatures required to avoid cracking during rolling. This also precluded the utilisation of strain energy in any heat treatment process.

2.1.2.1 ALUMINIUM CASTS

The addition of small quantities of aluminium powder together with a controlled amount of nitrogen (in stoichiometric ratio) was made to two casts with a view to forming a fine dispersion of aluminium nitrides (see Table 2) in order to facilitate grain refinement.

Ingots 1505(2)A and 1510(2)A were initially rolled to 30 mm flat at 1000°C and a finishing temperature of 900°C. The grain size achieved by this treatment was 1.4 mm and 1.6 mm respectively. A charpy specimen of each material was fractured in liquid nitrogen at -196°C and examined in
the SEM. No evidence of a fine dispersion of aluminium nitrides was observed. Subsequent examination of thin foils confirmed that no fine dispersion was present at the grain boundaries.

2.1.2.2 **UPSET FORGING**

In view of the large grain size and the restricted amount of deformation possible, by rolling, to achieve the finished specimen size, it was considered that a hot forging and rolling programme was required to obtain a greater proportion of deformation than by rolling alone. A number of trials were carried out to obtain the optimum conditions to produce a fine grain size. The final treatment involved the upset forging, to 50% deformation, in one pass at 1150°C followed by forging down to 27 mm flat and a final roll pass at 900°C to the finished size (25 mm).

Individual specimen blanks were subsequently heat treated at a series of temperatures and times to produce a uniform, varied grain size.

2.2. **GRAIN SIZE MEASUREMENTS**

Grain size measurements were made using a mean linear intercept technique\(^{1,5}\). The method entails the measurement of the chord length defined by the intersection of a random straight line, by the grain boundaries on the plane of the polish. The mean linear intercept is then defined
as \( \overline{d} \) where:

\[
\overline{d} = \frac{l}{n}
\]

where \( l \) is the length of the random line on the planar surface, and \( n \) is the number of grain boundary intersections on this line. The mean linear intercept is clearly less than the true grain size since it includes sectioning effects. The true mean diameter, \( \overline{D} \), is then defined as:

\[
\overline{D} = 1.75 \overline{d}
\]

The standard deviation of the mean is defined as:

\[
\sigma_{\overline{d}} = \frac{\sigma_d}{\sqrt{N}}
\]

Where \( N \) is the number of observations made and \( \sigma_d \) is the standard deviation of the individual measurements. The relative error is then given by:

\[
\alpha = \frac{\sigma_{\overline{d}}}{\overline{d}}
\]

The error of the individual intercept is given by:

\[
\frac{\sigma_d}{\sigma} = 0.7
\]

and therefore the relative error is:

\[
\alpha = \frac{0.7}{\sqrt{N}}
\]

The grain size was determined in three directions to ensure that any non-uniformity of the grains was taken into
consideration; determinations being made on broken test specimens in each case. The results of grain size measurements are given in Table 6.

2.3 TENSILE PROPERTIES

Tensile properties were obtained from test pieces of the geometry shown in Figure 37, machined from broken fatigue test specimens. The specimens were taken from positions indicated on Figure 38. It was considered that the removal of specimens from this position would negate the possibility of any influence of prior cyclic strain. The specimens were necessarily taken from a direction perpendicular to the applied stress in fatigue. Any differences in tensile properties due to the influence of orientation resulting from such a procedure were considered small since microstructural evidence did not indicate the presence of any preferred orientation effects (see section 3.10).

The tests were carried out on a 500 kg capacity Instron universal testing machine (Model 1104). An Instron strain gauge extensometer, attached to the specimen, was used to obtain accurate values of proof stress. These results together with percentage elongation, reduction in cross-sectional area and the tensile strength are shown in Table 7. Large discrepancies between individual specimens from the same compact tension specimen may be as a result of the small ratio between tensile specimen diameter and grain size. This would result in small differences in microstructure having a disproportionate effect on tensile properties. These variations in the determination of
tensile properties are also reflected in the calculation of plastic zone sizes (see table 9).

2.4 TEST PIECE PREPARATION

All fatigue crack growth tests were carried out using compact tension test pieces of a geometry shown in Figure 38. All specimen surfaces were ground finished and the faces metallographically polished to ensure ease of observation. Test piece dimensions were accurately determined before testing.
2.5 CRACK GROWTH MEASURING TECHNIQUE

2.5.1 INTRODUCTION

The study of fatigue crack growth, and near threshold crack growth rates in particular, requires a sensitive and accurate technique for monitoring such growth. The correlation of fatigue crack growth rates with loading variables and metallographic features requires precise experimental procedures.

A fatigue crack growth monitoring technique must be capable of fulfilling the following requirements:-

(i) Be capable of operation over the long term periods necessary for threshold testing.
(ii) Not require visual accessibility to the test piece so that tests can be conducted under vacuum or other environments.
(iii) Must be capable of resolving small increments of crack growth.
(iv) Provide continuous measurement of crack length representative of the complete test piece thickness.

A number of experimental techniques have been used including ultrasonic and acoustic emission\textsuperscript{146} and X ray diffraction techniques\textsuperscript{147} but the DC potential drop technique best fits the above requirements\textsuperscript{148-151}. This technique was used in this investigation. It must be noted that this technique provides no information about the shape of the crack front, because the PD response is measured across
the notch and averaged through the thickness, or any
deflection of the crack from the plane perpendicular to the
applied stress.

Essentially the technique involves passing a constant current
through a cracked test piece under load, and measuring the
potential difference across the crack. As the crack length
increases, the area of uncracked ligament decreases, its
resistance increases and the potential difference across the
crack increases. The potential increase (V) is measured;
from this and the known reference potential the crack length
to test piece width can be determined.

2.5.2 CALIBRATION OF PD TECHNIQUE

In a current carrying body a disturbance in the potential
field will exist around a discontinuity such as a crack.
This is measured as the potential difference across the
crack. If the body has homogeneous electrical properties
and the current passing and temperature are held constant
then there will be a unique relationship between the crack
length and the potential difference across the crack for a
given test piece geometry.

Calibrations may be produced theoretically, involving a
solution of Laplace's equation:

\[ \nabla^2(\phi) = 0 \]

where \( \nabla \) is the Laplacian operator \( \phi \) is the steady electrical
potential or experimentally either by producing electrical
analogues\textsuperscript{50} or by fatigue marking of real samples. In
this investigation an experimental technique was used and
is described below.

Theoretical calibrations involving a solution to Equation 42 which describes the electrical potential field in a geometry of specific boundary conditions for a specific test piece geometry are not easily applicable to complex test piece geometry although finite element analysis methods have been used\textsuperscript{152} and compare closely with those provided by experimental calibration for compact tension specimens.

2.5.3 EXPERIMENTAL CALIBRATION

Experimental calibrations may be made using thin foil analogues or by fatigue marking of real specimens and are conveniently represented in the form of variation of potential $V/V_0$ with dimensionless crack length $a/w$.

Calibration was performed on test pieces identical to those used in threshold testing. Test piece surfaces were metallographically polished and marked at 1 mm intervals. As the fatigue crack reached each successive scribe mark the load was either raised or lowered to produce a marking on the fracture surface corresponding to the load change. Using this technique the position of the crack front can be fixed with respect to the potential associated with it. This procedure is continued until an $a/w$ ratio of 0.75 is exceeded.

In practice this procedure did not produce a sufficiently
well marked fracture surface, a problem which was overcome by interrupting the tests for periods to allow a film of oxide to form on the surface thus allowing easier identification of changes in load along the crack front.

Crack length measurements were averaged over values measured at 0, 25, 50, 75, 100% of the test piece thickness. The numerical average is taken as the characteristic crack length for subsequent analysis.

The calibration is represented by the dimensionless plot of the potential ratio V/V₀ against dimensionless crack length a/W. This is shown in Figure 39. A computer program was then used to determine the best fit to the data points.

It has been shown\textsuperscript{153}, for a number of steels, that this calibration technique for compact tension specimens is unique to a high degree of accuracy and is independent of size and thickness provided that the current lead separation is in proportion to the test piece size. This was the case in this investigation.

2.6 EXPERIMENTAL TEST EQUIPMENT AND TESTING PROCEDURE

All tests were carried out on a 25 kN capacity Dartec electrohydraulic fatigue machine. The fatigue specimen is shown connected schematically in Figure 40.

The test pieces were held at a constant temperature, to within ±0.5°C, using a West Guardian proportional temperature controller with a heating element soldered to the
top face of the specimen. The chromel/alumel control thermocouple was attached to a point 2 mm from the upper surface of the specimen to ensure thermal cycling did not occur. The actual temperature in the region of the crack was determined by a chromel/alumel thermocouple spot welded to the front face 2 mm from the crack and was recorded on either a Comark 5000 digital thermometer or a Comark 1605 electronic thermometer.

The test piece was electrically insulated from the machine by using tufnol annuli in the loading collets. During testing a perspex chamber surrounds the specimen to exclude draughts. Humidity is maintained at 35% ± 15%.

Soft iron wires 0.02 mm in diameter and coated in PTFE were spot welded to the test specimen 1 mm either side of the notch to sense the potential (the use of soft iron wires precludes the possibility of pin heating, due to the thermal emf associated with Fe/Cu junctions - 12 μV/°C, introducing significant error). Potential wires were twisted throughout their length to avoid magnetic field interference. The Fe/Cu junction was embedded in an aluminium block heat sink to ensure no temperature difference existed between the junctions. Epoxy resin was used to add mechanical strength to the spot welds on iron wires and thermocouples. These details are shown in Figure 41.

Because small changes in potential corresponding to small
changes in crack growth must be accurately measured in a signal considerably larger, the majority of the signal must be suppressed so the more sensitive range of the microvolt meter can be used. This was achieved using a Time Electronics 2003S DC voltage calibrator, which provides a controlled dc signal with an absolute accuracy of ±0.25 µV and a stability of less than 10 ppm/hr at constant temperature and a temperature coefficient of less than 30 ppm/°C. It was powered by batteries as a precaution against earth loops.

A Keithley 155 microvoltmeter was used to measure the remainder of the signal. The accuracy of this instrument is ±1% of full scale deflection with low term drift (<0.5 µV/24 hrs) and noise (<0.03µV rms) characteristics. The potential difference readings were recorded by a Servo-scribe Is chart recorder.

All signal leads were constructed from low noise coaxial cable with minimum loop area to prevent pickup from external electrical and magnetic sources.

The constant current supply was a Farnell F2111 15/30T feedback controlled unit and ammeter providing a maximum continuous output of 30A. This unit has low ripple and noise and low temperature sensitivity (0.005%/°C) characteristics required for long term stable operation. To avoid heating effects the current was supplied to the specimen via 50 amp DC rated copper cable and two copper contacts soldered such that the ratio of current lead
separation to test piece width was maintained at 0.3.

2.7 DATA ANALYSIS

The potential difference technique described produces data in the form of potential versus time plots. A computer program was utilised which produces crack growth data from the following inputs:

1. Specimen name
2. Material
3. Environment
4. Stress ratio
5. Frequency
6. Waveform
7. Testpiece width
8. Testpiece thickness
9. Load range
10. Time interval between readings
11. Values of V/Vo.

The output from the program is tables giving values of V/Vo, time (mins), crack length (mm), cycles, ΔK, and da/dN. The program also contains graphics facilities and plots of 'a' against 'N' and log (da/dN) against log (ΔK) may be produced. The stress intensity factors determined in the program are calculated from the following equations:

\[ K_{max} = \frac{YP_{max}}{BW^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]
\[ \Delta K = \frac{Y \Delta P}{BW^{\frac{3}{4}}} \]

where \( Y \) is the specimen compliance function
- \( P \) is the load
- \( B \) is the specimen breadth
- \( W \) is the specimen width.

The compliance function for compact tension specimens is given by:

\[
Y = 29.6(a/w)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 185.5(a/w)^{\frac{3}{2}} + 655.7(a/w)^{\frac{5}{2}}
- 1017(a/w)^{\frac{7}{2}} + 638.9(a/w)^{\frac{9}{2}}
\]

2.8 **THRESHOLD TESTING**

A fatigue crack was initiated from the notch using an \( R \) ratio of 0.2 and high loads to ensure a straight crack front. This also serves to counter the possibility of notch effects. Crack initiation on both sides of the test piece was observed before threshold testing commenced.

Once a growing fatigue crack had been initiated the loads were successively reduced in increments of 10\% (of the maximum load) until no further growth could be detected in 10\(^7\) cycles\(^5\). After each load reduction the crack was allowed to grow for a length exceeding at least twice the plastic zone size at that load\(^7\). This procedure ensures that the results are independent of stress history\(^1^5\). Figure 42 is a schematic example of the load reduction sequence. Fatigue cracks were grown up to a maximum of \( a/W = 0.7 \), the limit of the linear portion of the
calibration curve.

2.9 ACCURACY OF THE POTENTIAL DROP TECHNIQUE

Current and test piece temperature fluctuations result in a certain amount of noise and drift of the measured potential across a crack of given length. Included in this noise and drift is a contribution from the potential measuring circuitry and is given in the specification of the equipment. The cumulative effect of these sources of error may be experimentally determined from a drift test where the equipment is left running for 24 hours below the fatigue threshold.

These tests were carried out at the start of every experiment, firstly to allow the specimen temperature and equipment to stabilize and secondly to determine the amount of drift. These tests confirmed that the measured potential remained constant to within ±0.5µV. If it is assumed that the total error is a simple addition of the individual errors then the crack length may be estimated to an absolute accuracy of about 1%. This is confirmed by the measurement of known points on the fracture surface during calibration tests.

A more complete analysis of the errors involved in this technique is given in the literature. Druce & Booth have confirmed that crack lengths can be estimated to within ±0.2 mm. An absolute value of crack length may be estimated with an accuracy of 1%. This corresponds to
an error in the compliance function $Y$ of $\pm 2\%$ at $a/W = 0.7$.

The error in the loads $P$ and $\Delta P$, determined from the
operating manual, were within a value of $\pm 2\%$. Therefore
an estimate of the overall accuracy of the stress intensity
would be $\pm 4\%$ (i.e. $\pm (2 + 2)$).

2.10 RESIDUAL STRESS MEASUREMENTS

2.10.1 INTRODUCTION

It has been accepted for many years that stresses of
considerable magnitude may be produced by cooling metals
from high temperatures. These stresses are introduced
by a transformation of phase (from austenite to ferrite
for example) and also by temperature gradients set up in
the component.

Residual surface stresses may also be produced by grinding
$^{155-157}$ and these may have a considerable effect on fatigue
compressive residual stresses are advantageous in reducing
-crack growth rates.

2.10.2 RESIDUAL STRESS MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

The method used to determine the residual stresses was the
centre hole drilling technique. A strain gauge rosette
(see Figure 43) was mounted on the surface of the specimen
and a small hole was made in the surface through the
centre (Figure 44) of the strain gauge relieving part of
the surface residual stress produced by machining. When
the hole is made the stress existing at the edge of the
hole is reduced to zero and the stress field around the hole is modified. The strain gauge measurements are then used to determine the residual stresses.

The hole in the rosette is machined by an air/alumina powder mixture which is directed on to the surface of the sample by an eccentrically mounted rotating jet\textsuperscript{158}. The technique ensured stress-free drilling. A hole 1.8-1.9 mm deep is produced.

The residual stresses present can then be determined from:\textsuperscript{159}

\[
\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} = -\left(\frac{E}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{K}\right) \left[\frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3}{1 - \nu K_2/K_1} \pm \frac{1}{1 + K_2/K_1} \right] \sqrt{(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1)^2 + (\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3) - 2\varepsilon_2^2} \]

where \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) = principle stresses

\( E \) = Young's modulus

\( 1/K_1 \) = Constant dependent upon hole diameter

\( \nu K_2/K_1 \) = Poisson's ratio

\( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \) = Related strains, ie final reading minus original reading for each of the three strain gauges.

The direction of the most positive principal stress measured from gauge one can be determined from:-

\[
a = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3) - 2\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1} \right]
\]

The accuracy of the technique is considered to be not greater than \( \pm 10\% \)\textsuperscript{160-161}. 
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2.11 METALLOGRAPHY

2.11.1 OPTICAL MICROSCOPY

Initial metallographic examination and grain size determinations (etched in 2% nital) were carried out on Vickers fifty five and Carl Zeiss Ultraphot optical microscopes. Investigation of crack profiles and microhardness determinations were carried out on a Reichart (Mef) microscope.

2.11.2 ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

A Phillips 500 scanning electron microscope was used for the majority of fracture surface examination. Little prior preparation was required. However a number of oxidised surfaces were gold coated to improve conductivity. The EDAX facility was used for examination and analysis of inclusions.

Taking measurements from the SEM micrometer stage controls enabled crack lengths to be determined to within ±0.05 mm. By referring to the computer print-out of the test results it was possible to collate fractographic details with corresponding stress intensity values and growth rates.

Quantitative fractography was performed by taking fractographs of the surface and tracing the elements of transgranular, or intergranular, fracture onto a sheet of tracing paper. The relative proportion of constituents on the fracture surface could then be estimated using an Optimax facility. The direct use of Fractographs for
this purpose was discounted due to a lack of optical contrast between trans and intergranular fracture. The estimated accuracy of this technique for 95% confidence limits was approximately ±10%.

Thin foil examination of the area immediately ahead of the crack was achieved by spark eroding a 3 mm column of material along the plane of the crack. A number of discs were then cut from the column to ensure a foil was produced immediately ahead of the crack within the plastic zone. Discs were ground down to 0.1 mm, and polished and thinned using a Struers unit. Thinning was carried out using a solution of 10% perchloric acid in alcohol at a flow rate setting of 6 and 70 volts. The temperature was maintained at -40°C during the operation.

Examination of foils was made using JEOL 100 Cx and AEI 1000 KV transmission electron microscopes. The use of the 1000 KV machine allowed the examination of thicker sections of material which are more representative of the bulk material.

2.12 TEXTURE DETERMINATIONS

Primary and secondary recrystallisation textures for this material have been examined using Siemens X-ray apparatus.

Typically specimens, taken from a fractured fatigue test piece, 25 x 20 x 5 mm were used in the etched condition. The specimens were mounted on the goniometer and subjected
to and fro movement; to improve statistical averaging by increasing the number of grain samples, rotation about the axis perpendicular to the specimen and a rotation about an orthogonal axis was also used.
3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

In order to achieve the proposed objectives of this research several series of fatigue threshold tests have been carried out to investigate specific metallurgical and compositional variables on near threshold fatigue crack growth.

Five series of high purity Japanese electrolytically refined iron containing small quantities of silicon of nominal value 0%, 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5% and 2.0% were produced. These alloys were subsequently, forged and rolled under controlled conditions and finally heat treated to produce a uniformly variable grain size. Details of these processes and techniques have been described in Section 2.

Fatigue specimens for 0% and 2.0% silicon alloys were produced from series 1 material. Specimens from materials nominal silicon content of 0.5%, 1.0% and 1.5% were produced from series 3 material. Examination of tables 1 and 3 show that the composition of both materials is essentially the same. Differences in high temperature thermomechanical treatments of these alloys produced changes in grain size, however, it is considered that the influence on fatigue data associated with different thermomechanical treatments is small, due to the high temperature of deformation, the limited reductions possible and the evidence of texture determinations.
3.2 FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH RATE RESULTS

3.2.1 THRESHOLD GROWTH RESULTS

Load reduction fatigue threshold tests were carried out, as described in Section 2, at a constant stress ratio of 0.2 (±4%) and the effect of metallurgical and compositional variables established. In each specimen the degree of crack front bowing was observed and in no case did the maximum crack length exceed the minimum crack length by more than 0.5%W. This was considered to have a negligible effect on crack growth characteristics.

Figures 45 to 65 show the near threshold fatigue crack growth data obtained during the experimental programme. Figures 66 to 70 are the superimposed threshold data for each series of alloys. It can be seen that all specimens exhibit a sharp fall in fatigue crack propagation rates as the threshold stress intensity, \( \Delta K_{\text{th}} \), is approached.

Series 1 material, pure iron, Figure 66, exhibits threshold behaviour at growth rates up to \( 10^{-6} \) mm/cycle. A sharp transition from region B to A is observed only in the case of specimen 1500(1)A2. \( \Delta K \) values taken at a growth rate of \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) mm/cycle reflect an increase in threshold behaviour from 10.2 MNm\(^{-3/2}\) to 10.8 MNm\(^{-3/2}\) with a corresponding increase in the grain size from 195 \( \mu m \) to 237 \( \mu m \).

Series 2 material, 0.5% silicon alloys, Figure 67, again shows the growth rate to diminish asymptotically toward
the stress intensity axis. Values of threshold increase from $11.9 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ to $13.2 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ with corresponding increase in the grain size from 110 µm to 276 µm.

Series 3 material 1.0% silicon alloys, Figure 68, again shows well defined threshold values. A larger variation in threshold $\Delta K$ values is observed in this case, for an equivalent change in grain size, than for series 1 and 2 alloys. A decreasing value of threshold $\Delta K$ is observed from $15.4 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ to $13.7 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ with a corresponding increase in grain size from 154 µm to 292 µm. The effect of increasing grain size producing a decrease in the threshold $\Delta K$ is contrary to the effect observed in Series 1 and 2 alloys.

Series 4 material, 1.5% silicon alloy, Figure 69, again shows well defined values of threshold $\Delta K$. The effect of increasing grain size is, in common with series 3 alloys, to reduce the threshold $\Delta K$ from $16.1 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ to $11.1 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$, for a change in grain size from 246 µm to 395 µm.

Series 5 material, 2.0% silicon alloy, Figure 70 clearly indicates thresholds are again present in this alloy. A strong effect of grain size on the threshold stress intensity range was apparent. An increase in grain size from 395 µm to 621 µm was associated with a corresponding decrease in threshold values from $13.8 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ to $9.9 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$. Table 8 shows the fatigue crack threshold $\Delta K$ values at threshold for all specimens. The relationship between the fatigue
threshold stress intensity, $\Delta K_{Th}$, and the square root of the grain size has been found to approximate to a straight line and the data for all specimens is plotted on Figure 71.

### 3.3 GRAIN SIZE AND COMPOSITIONAL EFFECTS

It is clear from a consideration of Figure 71 that as the silicon content of the material is increased from 0 to 2% then the slope of the line becomes increasingly positive with each increment of silicon. At 0.5% silicon content the grain size has a limited effect on the threshold behaviour. The result of an addition of silicon is to increase the effect of a change in grain size up to at least 2% silicon.
3.4 INTERMEDIATE GROWTH RESULTS

In addition to the near threshold growth results, results have been obtained in the region where the Paris relationship is approximately applicable. Some convergence of growth rates was observed in most cases above $1 \times 10^{-5}$ mm/cycle. A sharp transition of growth rates between regimes A and B can also be seen in a number of curves.

3.5 PLASTIC ZONE SIZES

Table 9 shows the values of the monotonic and cyclic plastic zone sizes determined from the following equations:

$$W_{\text{rev}} = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta K}{2\sigma_{\text{ys}}} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} - 52

$$W_{\text{mono}} = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{K_{\text{max}}}{\sigma_{\text{ys}}} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} - 53

The values of yield stress in this case were substituted by 0.1% proof stress since no yield stress was observed during tensile testing.

It has been suggested that the cyclic plastic zone at the threshold is approximately equal to the grain size to within an order of magnitude. Table 9 shows that this is the case with the exception of specimen 1S15(3)B2 and all the series 5 specimens. Average values of cyclic plastic zone sizes are a factor of 3 to 8 smaller than the grain size for alloy series 1 to 4. However, the cyclic plastic zone sizes for the alloy series 5 material are a
factor of approximately 10-20 smaller than the grain size at threshold.

3.6 STRAIN HARDENING EXPOENENTS

The tensile data obtained in section 2.3 were used to determine values of the strain hardening exponent, n. The true stress and true strain were determined from the following equations:

\[ \sigma = S(e+1) \text{ and } \varepsilon = \ln(e+1) \]

where \( \sigma \) is the engineering stress
and \( \varepsilon \) is the engineering strain

An approximate strain hardening exponent, \( n \), has been determined from the tensile data using equation

\[ \sigma_T = K \varepsilon_T^n \]

where \( \sigma_T \) is the effective stress
\( \varepsilon_T \) is the effective strain
\( K \) is the strength coefficient.

The strain hardening coefficient is plotted against solute concentration in Figure 72. Although the errors involved in the determination of the strain hardening coefficient are large, the trend indicates a lowering of the strain hardening coefficient coincident with increasing silicon content.
3.7 TEM RESULTS

Results of the examination of approximately 10 foils in each series of material did not show, conclusively, the presence of voids formed at the grain boundaries within the plastic zone of the fatigue crack.

Evidence was present of high dislocation densities and sub boundary formation in series 4 and 5 material (Figure 73). The presence of mechanical twins within the plastic zone was also observed at these higher silicon contents (Figures a and b). This behaviour was not observed in series 1, 2 and 3 material.

3.8 FRACTOGRAPHY

Macroscopic examination of the fatigue fracture surfaces, from specimens used to produce the threshold data, revealed the presence of oxide corrosion products on areas of the surface corresponding to low growth rate areas. Visual examination suggested that there was no discernable difference in the quantity of corrosion product from specimen to specimen.

SEM examination of some surfaces was obscured by the presence of these corrosion products which reduced resolution. It was therefore necessary to produce a thin gold coating on these surfaces to overcome this.

3.8.1 QUALITATIVE FRACTOGRAPHY

Examples of fatigue fracture surfaces near the fatigue threshold are given in Figures 74 to 85. The
macroscopic crack propagation direction is indicated by
an arrow in each case and the point at which the threshold
condition is achieved is marked. Any influence of position
through the specimen thickness on the mode of failure was
negated by examining only the central, plane strain, section
of each specimen.

An example of series 1 material is shown in Figure 74. In
this case the fracture is almost entirely intergranular over
the whole cyclic stress intensity factor range.

An example of series 2 material is given in Figures 75 and
76. The series is micrographs are taken along the direction
of propagation from the threshold $\Delta K$. Again a high
proportion of intergranular propagation is present together
with some cleavage cracking.

Figure 77 illustrates the transformation in fracture mode
from near threshold growth rates where a high proportion
of intergranular fracture is present to a higher stress
intensity range where the surface is almost entirely a
rough, cleavage mode for series 2 material.

Discrete areas of transgranular fracture occur at irregular
intervals throughout the low growth rate regime (Figure 78).
These segments of transgranular failure are approximately
the order of the grain size, however, in some instances
they may cross up to 4 consecutive grains.

Examples of series 3 material are shown in Figures 79 to 81.
In this higher silicon alloy (nominally 1.0%) an increased
contribution of transgranular failure with a fibrous appearance is observed.

Examples of series 4 material are shown in Figures 82 and 83. The fracture surface at the threshold $\Delta K$ is composed, almost entirely, of transgranular fracture.

Series 5 material, shown in Figures 84 and 85, shows the fracture at all stress intensity ranges to be completely transgranular with occasional isolated areas of cleavage. The macroscopic fractograph (Figure 86) shows the crack path to be channelled to some degree with obvious 'ridge and valley' features running parallel to the macroscopic growth direction.

Figures 86 and 90 show examples of fracture surfaces at $\Delta K$ above the threshold. With the exception of the series 1 material, all crack propagation tends toward a wholly cleavage fracture as the stress intensity range is increased to levels corresponding to region B of the fatigue crack growth curve.

Figure 88b illustrates the presence of isolated facets of cleavage present at high stress intensity levels in series 4 and 5 material.

Figures 89 and 90 illustrate a form of 'crack channeling' which occurs in the high silicon material, series 4 and 5. These features run parallel to the macroscopic direction of propagation. The width of these 'channels' is greater, by more than an order of magnitude, than the crack growth
rate at that point. The intervals of the features observed in Figure 90b are also an order of magnitude greater than the corresponding crack propagation rate.

3.8.2 CRACK BRANCHING AND SECONDARY CRACKING

The occurrence of secondary cracking and crack branching was found to be a common feature in all specimens and at stress intensities throughout the range.

Widespread intergranular crack branching was observed in series 1 and 2 materials over the whole range of stress intensity factors (Figures 91 and 92). Extensive crack branching was also found to be common in series 3, 4 and 5 materials at both threshold $\Delta K$ levels and at higher $\Delta K$. (Figure 93)

Figure 94a illustrates that secondary cracking present at near threshold $\Delta K$ occurs intergranularly and transgranularly whilst at higher stress intensity ranges cracking is entirely transgranular as is the main crack (Figure 94b).

As expected, the intergranular cracks are almost independent of the microscopic crack growth direction. The transgranular secondary cracks, however, tend to form on a plane perpendicular to the crack growth direction at threshold and growth rates up to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ mm/cycle. Figure 95 shows the profile of a crack of series 1 material and shows the main intergranular crack with major intergranular secondary cracking and the presence of some minor transgranular cracking.
The complex crack path produced by intergranular propagation is illustrated in Figure 95b. In the following the grain boundaries the crack may deviate from the macroscopic crack growth direction by up to 120°. This must necessarily lead to a change in the crack opening mode from mode I to a mode containing a contribution of mode II and mode III opening. The length of the main fatigue crack (ie, not including secondary cracks) had been measured, in profile, and results show that the microscopic length of an intergranular crack may exceed the length of the macroscopic crack by up to 110%. The corresponding value for a crack propagating in a 50/50 intergranular/transgranular mode is 65% and for a wholly transgranular crack is 30%. The significance of this crack path deviation is discussed in Section 4.

As well as considerable quantities of crack branching and crack path deviation in the intergranular mode, it was noted that transgranular failure was also sensitive to the presence of grain boundaries as illustrated in Figure 96a and b. This is also associated with considerable surface roughness both at near threshold ∆K and higher levels.

3.8.3 STRIATIONS

It has been reported by a great many workers that the most commonly observed feature in the region where the Paris relationship is obeyed is the striation, formed by
the incremental advance of a crack every fatigue cycle.

Fatigue crack propagation at intermediate $\Delta K$ values used in this research is of a rough, featureless transgranular mode and striations have not been observed in the low silicon material. However, in series 4 and 5 these features have been observed (Figure 97a and b). The features observed in Figure 97 running perpendicular to the macroscopic crack growth direction have been measured on the SEM and have been found to occur at an interval of approximately $8 \times 10^{-5}$ mm. The corresponding fatigue crack growth rate at this point is of the order of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ mm/cycle. This would suggest that each 'ridge' was formed by the advance of the crack during one loading cycle.

3.8.4 FATIGUE FRETTING

Examination of fracture surface revealed the widespread presence of material which appeared to have detached from the fatigue surface. This loose material was present both at near threshold and high growth rates and was associated with the transgranular portion of failure. The dimensions of these detached particles was up to 0.1 mm$^2$ (Figure 98a and b).

In areas of fracture containing a high proportion of transgranular fracture associated with a smaller quantity of intergranular fracture, intergranular facets have been observed with a rough surface, illustrated in Figure 99a and b.
This damage may be as a result of loose particles or oxides being compressed into the surface at the minimum of the loading cycle, £min. The presence of oxide particles or fatigue debris is clearly shown trapped between the crack surfaces on Figure 95.

3.8.5 QUANTITATIVE METALLOGRAPHY

The results of quantitative metallography are summarised in Figure 100. The proportion of intergranular fracture was determined with the use of an optimax facility the basis of measurement being contrast between transgranular and intergranular feature, and is plotted against the stress intensity range, AK. The transition in each case corresponds approximately to the point where propagation changes from near threshold behaviour to behaviour associated with the Paris regime.

3.9 RESIDUAL STRESS RESULTS

Using the centre hole drilling technique described earlier, two measurements were taken to determine the surface residual stress introduced by machining.

Changes in the results due to chemical composition and grain size have been ignored and will contribute to the errors involved in the measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST PIECE</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>(\sigma_{\text{min}})</th>
<th>(\sigma^0)</th>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>+98 Nmm(^{-2})</td>
<td>-7Nmm(^{-2})</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>+17 Nmm(^{-2})</td>
<td>-5Nmm(^{-2})</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>STRESS RELIEVED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test piece A was tested as machined and B was stress relieved at 600 for 1 hour followed by air cool. A positive sign indicates a tensile stress and a negative sign indicates a compressive stress.

It is concluded from these results that a stress relief treatment is sufficient to reduce residual stresses to an insignificant level.

3.10 TEXTURE RESULTS

The results of investigations into the possible presence of a preferred orientation in these materials proved negative. Specimens from each material series were examined. The resultant pole figures showed a random orientation and it was concluded that no significant texture was present.
4 DISCUSSION

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The object of this research has been to study the phenomena associated with fatigue crack growth thresholds and near threshold crack propagation rates. Particular emphasis has been placed on the role of metallurgical variables in determining the threshold condition and the kinetics of the crack growth process. In this section the results and observations reported in Section 3 will be discussed with respect to the physical processes of low crack growth rate and threshold behaviour in iron-silicon based alloys. A mechanistic description of the effect of each variable and the effect of the interaction of variables together and their effect on the crack growth process will be evaluated.

4.2 THE CONCEPT OF FATIGUE THRESHOLD

The fatigue threshold is defined as the stress intensity range at which the crack growth rate is zero. In practice zero crack growth rate is immeasurable and therefore must be replaced by some minimum growth rate, below which crack growth can be considered to be insignificant. This then provides an adequate estimate of the threshold stress intensity range if the crack growth rate diminishes asymptotically towards the $\Delta K$ axis.

The threshold may occur as a result of crack growth rates being unable to decrease below the lattice spacing per cycle. However, macroscopic growth rates of less than one
lattice spacing per cycle are possible where crack growth is not occurring every cycle or is occurring discontinuously across the crack front.

A number of the fatigue crack growth models reviewed in Section 1 suggested that the threshold was a fixed material parameter. It has become clear in this research that variables, other than $\Delta K$, may affect the value of the threshold. Metallurgical variables and residual stress distributions have some influence in this area.

4.3 FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH FRACTOGRAPHY

The characteristics of fatigue crack propagation in iron-silicon based alloys, described in Section 3, have also been reported by other workers\textsuperscript{132}. The relationships, obtained in this research, between the stress intensity range and the proportion of transgranular fracture is shown in Figure 100 for stress intensity ranges from threshold to a level where crack growth data can be approximated by the Paris relationship. The results indicate that crack growth near the threshold occurs by a wholly intergranular nature in pure iron and as the silicon content and the yield stress is increased then the mode of failure at threshold changes to a mixed transgranular/intergranular mode. The proportion of transgranular mode occurring increases with each increment of silicon added. A wholly transgranular mode of fracture is achieved for the series 5 alloys which contain approximately 2\% silicon.
Figure 100 clearly shows, for the 3 alloys considered, that increasing values of $\Delta K$ above the threshold produce an increase in the proportion of transgranular fracture and a sharp transition is apparent which corresponds to the interaction of grain size and cyclic plastic zone size. This is discussed in greater detail in section 4.4.

4.3.1 **MODEL OF NEAR THRESHOLD GROWTH**

The mode of propagation in these materials will depend upon the relative energies required for the crack to propagate in an intergranular or transgranular manner. Any factor which increases the energy of transgranular propagation or decreases the energy required for the decohesion of the grain boundaries will promote an increased proportion of intergranular fracture. It might also be expected that an increase in the proportion of embrittling elements at grain boundaries or an increase in solution strengthening within the grain would lead to an increase in the susceptibility to intergranular cracking. It was apparent in regions of low crack growth that the fracture path and the surface topography were strongly influenced by the orientations of the grains through which the crack front passed. The crack front may progress in a discontinuous manner, whilst the measured growth rate is an average value over many grains of random orientation along the crack front. Thus a grain which is favourably orientated will favour rapid growth along the grain boundary but will find that crack extension is retarded by slower growth in less favourably
orientated grains. Growth in the less favourably orientated
grains will tend to accelerate as a result of a build up of
stress intensity factor range on the remaining ligament.
Fracture of the remaining ligament will occur and will be
essentially $\Delta K$ controlled.

The modelling of the near threshold fatigue crack propagation
is complex when growth is occurring in a discontinuous manner
as described above. This is compounded if the crack is pro­
gressing in a mixed opening mode. The results of fractography,
shown in Section 3, show that this is the case and the
consequences are described in Section 4.5.

4.4 PLASTICITY AND GRAIN SIZE EFFECTS ON THRESHOLD

4.4.1 INTRODUCTION

The action of silicon as a solid solution strengthener in
iron is well documented. The addition of silicon to iron,
in this research, leads to an increase in 0.1% proof stress
as increasing quantities of silicon are added as shown in
Table 7. An increase in the yield stress, or proof stress,
of the material produces a decrease in the cyclic plastic
zone size, $\omega$, described by:

$$\omega = \frac{1}{3\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta K}{2\sigma_{YS}} \right)^{2}$$

It is important to note that the scatter observed in ex­
perimentally determined values of 0.1% PS will necessarily
lead to considerable scatter of the calculated values of the
cyclic plastic zone size, $\omega$. 
An increase in the material yield strength, $\sigma_{YS}$, has been shown experimentally to reduce the plastic zone size and constrain the zone to particular, favourable slip systems. It is suggested that the strain hardening exponent of this material may also be significant in characterising the plastic zone shape. Hahn et al. first suggested that the strain hardening exponent, $n$, has an important influence on crack extension. From experimental observation they showed that the width of the yielded zone, $\delta n$, was proportional to the strain hardening exponent, $n^2$, providing that the true stress true strain curve was of the form:

$$\sigma_T = K \varepsilon^n_T$$

This is shown schematically in Figure 101. The experimentally determined values of $n$ are shown as a function of silicon concentration in Figure 72.

It has been widely reported that the occurrence of microstructurally sensitive growth will occur when the plasticity associated with a propagating fatigue crack approaches the microstructure element size. As a result fewer slip systems are operable resulting in dislocation pile ups and discontinuities at grain boundaries. Alternative fracture mechanisms might then be expected to occur. The size of the monotonic and cyclic plastic zones have been derived theoretically from consideration of the stresses acting ahead of the crack and are known to be a function of $\Delta K$, $K_{max}$ and the yield stress.
Analysis of the form of the plastic zones in the region of crack tips have shown that its size and shape is dependent upon a number of other variables other than those stated above. Microstructural effects and strain hardening may also be significant parameters.

The results reported in section 3 have shown that the calculated values of plastic zone sizes are of the order of magnitude of the grain size at threshold for series 1-4 alloys. The use of tensile data obtained from these specimens to calculate values of plastic zone sizes (see section 2.3) necessarily produces large errors in values of plastic zone sizes. However it is considered that these values are of the correct order.

Examination of the results shown in Figure 100 indicate a sharp transition in propagation mode from intergranular to transgranular as the stress intensity range, $\Delta K$, is increased from threshold values. The cyclic plastic zone size corresponds more closely to the material grain size at this transition than at the threshold stress intensity value. This transitional behaviour has also been observed in hydrogen assisted fatigue crack propagation in martensitic steels\textsuperscript{113}.

This behaviour is interpreted as follows:-

At large values of stress intensity range, $\Delta K$ in the intermediate growth regime, the cyclic plastic zone size is much larger than the corresponding micro structural cell size. A large number of slip systems are operable over many grains and the resulting fracture is transgranular. As the stress intensity range is reduced no significant effect becomes apparent until the cyclic
plastic zone size becomes approximately equal to the grain size. Propagation then becomes sensitive to the presence of grain boundaries and intergranular cracking predominates. Dislocations move along the preferred slip plane and pile up at the grain boundary and act as stress concentrators.

The lack of an intergranular/transgranular transition in series 1 material may be associated with the presence of embrittling elements at the grain boundaries. These may be associated with the segregation of impurity elements to grain boundaries or the sweeping in of hydrogen to the grain boundaries which reduces their cohesive strength.

The proportion of intergranular fracture occurring at threshold stress intensity ranges is also shown in Figure 100. This effect, of increasing transgranular failure with increasing silicon content, is considered to be due to the constraint imposed upon the plastic zone by the solid solution strengthening effect of silicon on the strain hardening characteristics described earlier.

As the zone becomes constrained to the planes of maximum shear stress at $45^\circ$ to the applied stress, as a result of strain hardening, the number of grain boundaries encountered within the zone is diminished, which results in a reduced likelihood of intergranular fracture. This effect is best illustrated in series 5 alloys where little intergranular failure is present at threshold levels and corresponds to the greatest effect of the strain
4.4.2 GRAIN SIZE EFFECTS

4.4.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The effect of refining the grain size can be beneficial in raising the fatigue limit or the endurance strength of planar slip materials. The effect of grain size on fatigue crack propagation has been observed to be negligible in most cases at intermediate growth rates. The majority of research into the effects of grain size on the fatigue crack growth threshold, on a wide variety of materials, has concluded that an increase in the grain size is beneficial in increasing threshold values.

The results of this research, shown in Figure 71, have indicated that a linear relationship exists between the threshold, $\Delta K_{Th}$, and the inverse root of the grain size, $d^{-1/2}$. These results may be expressed by a relationship of the form

$$\Delta K_{Th} = \Delta K_{Th}(0) + Kd^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\Delta K_{Th}(0) = \Delta K_{Th}$ at $R = 0$

which suggests that the sensitivity of the threshold to the grain size is diminished at higher $R$ values.

The effect of grain size on threshold behaviour in series 1 and 2 alloys showed an increasing value of threshold $\Delta K$ with increasing grain size, producing a beneficial
result in terms of threshold behaviour. For material series 3, 4 and 5 the reverse is the case, threshold values decrease with a coarser grain size. This type of behaviour has been observed in copper-aluminium alloys. This effect is discussed in detail in section 4.5.

4.5 CRACK CLOSURE AND CRACK DEFLECTION PHENOMENA

It is considered that the effects of crack closure and crack deflection are of considerable importance in this work. These phenomena are discussed in detail in the following sections.

4.5.1 CRACK CLOSURE

There has recently been considerable interest in the mechanisms of fatigue crack closure, particularly at very low stress intensity factor ranges approaching the threshold stress intensity range, \( \Delta K_{\text{Th}} \), below which cracks remain dormant or grow at experimentally undetectable rates. The presence of crack closure occurring at stress intensity factors greater than \( k_{\text{min}} \) produces an effective stress intensity factor range less than the applied stress intensity factor range. It has been suggested that crack closure, particularly that associated with plasticity, is most prevalent under essentially plane stress conditions and yet at very low growth rates, approaching \( \Delta K_{\text{Th}} \), where plane strain conditions exist. Very significant effects of crack closure have been
4.5.2 MICROMECHANISMS OF CRACK CLOSURE

A number of closure mechanisms may be considered to be relevant to the result of this research:

(i) That due to the presence of oxidation products on the fracture surface.
(ii) That due to the presence of fretting.
(iii) That due to facet contact.
(iv) That due to mixed mode fracture.

4.5.3 OXIDATION PRODUCTS

The presence of oxide induced closure follows from the fact that during near threshold crack growth at low load ratio's in moist environments, corrosion products may build up at the crack tip, providing a mechanism for enhanced closure such that the crack is wedged open at stress intensities above $K_{\text{min}}$. The oxide build up is generally thought to be due to fretting effects. More oxide is formed than simple predictions from oxidation rates suggest. Evidence for the occurrence of such a mechanism is common in the literature. Suresh et al. noted that for low strength steels, tested in moist air (30% relative humidity) at $R = 0.05$, oxide films built up on the new fracture surfaces with a maximum thickness of twenty times that, expected on metallographically polished samples exposed to the same environment for similar periods. The mechanism is supported by the
observation that a clear relationship exists between the quantity of water present, the amount of corrosion debris produced and a consequent increase in threshold levels. The quantities of corrosion product observed in this research, at near threshold growth rates, appeared to be consistent over the whole range of alloys and therefore it might be expected that additions of silicon or changes in the grain size might have little effect upon the quantity of oxide produced. However, a coarse grain size may lead to more roughness induced closure, more fretting and more oxide. The closure effect, promoted by these oxide deposits, might be expected to be enhanced by a mechanism of fretting oxidation in which the oxide layer is repeatedly broken up and compacted as closure occurs and fresh oxide is produced on the clean metal surface. At higher growth rates this might be expected to diminish due to the time dependance of oxide production.

4.5.4 FRETTING FATIGUE

The presence of debris, other than oxide, on the fatigue surfaces, in this research, at near threshold fatigue crack growth rates is consistent with a fatigue fretting mechanism. The debris may be formed as a result of rough fracture surfaces induced as a consequence of a large grain size and a significant quantity of mode II opening. This fretting debris would tend to wedge open the crack at stress intensity factors above \( K_{\text{min}} \) thus reducing the apparent \( \Delta K \) and increasing the threshold values.
The apparent propensity for debris to form as a result of rubbing of transgranular areas of fracture would suggest that a greater degree of closure, by this mechanism, would occur in material when a larger proportion of transgranular fracture is present at near threshold growth rates. It might then be expected that threshold levels would increase as a function of silicon content and this is in fact the case at constant grain size. The observation of "damaged" intergranular facets (Figure 99) is indicative of the presence of fretting debris, either matrix or oxide, or of some mismatch occurring between the crack surfaces. At higher growth rates it might be expected that fretting would become less significant as the fracture path becomes less structure sensitive.

4.5.5 IRREGULAR, ROUGH FRACTURE SURFACES AND FACET CONTACT

The effects of crack closure might also be expected to arise from an irregular or rough fracture surface morphology. In instances where the scale of the roughness is comparable or greater than the crack opening displacements and where there may be a significant contribution of mode II displacement, crack closure may be promoted since the crack may be wedged open at discrete contact points along the crack faces. Damaged facets are evidence that this may be occurring (figure 99). This is shown diagramatically in Figure 102.

Roughness induced closure may provide an important indication of the role of microstructure in influencing
near threshold crack growth behaviour, particularly where a high proportion of intergranular failure is present. It might also be expected that a coarser grain size, providing a rougher fracture surface and therefore a greater tendency toward closure would produce higher threshold values.

The data for alloys 1 and 2 would tend to support this view where a large proportion of intergranular failure is present and increasing grain size leads to an increase in threshold stress intensity factor range. As the silicon content is increased, producing a subsequent fall in the proportion of intergranular and fatigue profile roughness is observed it might be expected that this mechanism would play a smaller role in the overall closure process. It has been shown, in section 3.8.2 that the microscopic crack profile length, at near threshold propagation rates, exceeds the macroscopic crack profile length by 110% for low silicon alloys and falls to approximately 30% in 2% silicon alloys.

4.5.6 MODE II TYPE OPENING

The role of mode II opening displacements may be important in view of the results obtained in section 3, to the extent of fracture surface interference at near threshold levels.

Fatigue crack propagation at high growth rates, where growth is transgranular, may be considered in terms of intense localised shear deformation in flow bands near
the crack tip which results in the formation of new crack surface by shear decohesion at the tip. When the crack and its plastic zone are contained within one or two grain diameters, growth is confined to one shear direction, with one primary slip system in the direction of growth and a tensile component normal to it. Such propagation, essentially stage I growth, proceeds under a combination of Mode I and Mode II displacements. The marked transition from a mixed mode fracture to an entirely transgranular mode, observed in this research, with the exception of series 1 material, supports this model. Fatigue crack growth above the transition is essentially stage II and occurs in almost pure mode I, perpendicular to the principal tensile stress.

At near threshold stress intensity factor ranges where the reversed plastic zone size is of the order of the grain size, fatigue crack growth takes place by a stage I mechanism and results in the typical mixed mode fracture surface morphology observed in series 2, 3 and 4 material. This coupled with mode II displacements would be expected to generate high closure loads. A mode II displacement will produce asymmetry of deformation at the crack tip and introduce a frictional stress between fracture surfaces so that rubbing may occur. The crack surfaces can then no longer be considered to be stress free planes and the crack driving force will be reduced by the surface contact.
At higher crack growth rates as the plastic zone size increases, the transition to stage II propagation and Mode I opening results in a flatter fracture surface morphology and more symmetrical crack tip deformation due to the continuum deformation in the crack tip plastic zone once it encompasses many grains, such that closure would be expected to diminish. This view would tend to be confirmed by the fractographic evidence of this research and the microstructurally sensitive nature of growth at near threshold stress intensity factor ranges. This is supported by the diminishing proportion of intergranular failure at higher stress intensity factor ranges.

The presence of a mode II mechanism for near threshold crack advance with its associated propagation is relevant to the effects of grain size on threshold values. It has been shown that refining the grain size can be beneficial in raising the fatigue limit of materials. The effect of grain size has been shown to be negligible at intermediate growth rates for most materials\(^2,3\). However at near threshold growth rates most workers have observed higher thresholds occurring with a corresponding increase in grain size. Where this behaviour has been examined at both high and low R ratios it is significant that the effects of a large grain size are absent or reduced at higher R ratios. This would suggest that this may be due to the diminished effect of crack closure at high R levels.
Stress intensity factor range calculations for near threshold fatigue crack growth usually assume that the crack path is flat and mode I opening is predominant. However, it has been shown in this work that on a microscopic scale the crack path is flat only in the higher silicon materials and that considerable deviation of the crack occurs, from a line perpendicular to the applied stress, in the lower silicon materials.

It is suggested that such deviations of the crack will lead to a reduction in the stress intensity factor range from the apparent value. In addition the crack deflection will produce a component of mode II type crack opening. The effective stress intensity factor range may be conveniently expressed by the expression:

\[ \Delta K_{\text{eff}} = \Delta K_{\text{applied}} - K_{\text{deflection}} \]

An increase in the grain size will lead to an increase in the factor \( K_{\text{deflection}} \), where intergranular crack growth occurs, and will thus induce a reduction in the effective stress intensity factor. An increase in the measured threshold stress intensity factor range will be observed. These observations agree with the experimental results obtained in this research.

It has been widely reported by many workers that values of threshold stress intensity factor range are reduced at high \( R \) ratio\(^{88} \). This observation suggests that other closure factors may be present since an increase in \( R \) ratio
would be unlikely to affect the proportion of crack deflection occurring at near threshold growth rates and therefore little effect on values of $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ would be observed.

It is suggested that some influence of both crack deflection and crack closure is present in these materials such that:

$$\Delta K_{\text{eff}} = \Delta K_{\text{applied}} - K_{\text{deflection}} - K_{\text{closure}}$$

however the relative contributions of the two phenomena are difficult to quantify.
4.6 SUMMARY

It is suggested that both crack deflection and crack closure play a part in determining the local driving force for growth processes occurring at near threshold growth rates. Section 4.5 has shown that both crack deflection and closure are likely to occur to a lesser degree as the growth rate is increased and the zone of reversed plasticity becomes very much greater than the grain size.

The presence of crack deflection and crack closure micro-mechanisms suggest that an increase in the grain size will lead to increased threshold values. This has been borne out by the results for series 1 and series 2 alloys.

As the silicon content and the proof stress is increased then crack deflection and closure become less significant effects because the constraint on the plastic zone produces a more planar crack path. In the 2% silicon material little deflection of the crack path is observed and it is reasonable to expect that there would be little effect of crack closure due to surface roughness and mode II opening. There may however be some contribution from oxidation products.

The sensitivity to grain size of the high silicon material, in the absence of crack closure and deflection, despite the lack of microstructure sensitive growth may be associated with an increase in proof stress in this material. This effect becomes less noticeable and deflection and closure
micromechanisms become dominant at lower silicon levels.

This research suggests that at higher R ratios the effect of crack closure on threshold behaviour would become small and the threshold would then be controlled by grain size $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. A regular change in the threshold with $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ might be expected. Experiments carried out by other workers on copper-aluminium alloys $^{89}$ at an R ratio of 0.5 have shown this to be the case.
CONCLUSIONS

1 Pure iron and iron-silicon alloys up to 2% Silicon have been observed to exhibit threshold stress intensities.

2 Crack growth at the fatigue threshold stress intensity proceeds by a wholly intergranular mechanism in pure iron. As the yield stress is increased by additions of silicon then the growth mode changes through a mixed transgranular/intergranular mode to a wholly transgranular mode in the 2 per cent material.

3 A sharp transition in failure mode is observed when the cyclic plastic zone size approximates to the grain size (except in pure iron).

4 Constraint imposed upon the plastic zone due to the effect of silicon on solid solution strengthening and thus on strain hardening characteristics is thought to lead to increased transgranular failure.

5 A linear relationship had been shown to exist between threshold stress intensity range, $\Delta K_{Th}$ and the inverse root of the grain size $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

6 Crack closure is considered to play an important role in the determination of fatigue thresholds and a number of mechanisms have importance:-

(i) The presence of oxide products on fracture surfaces.
(ii) Fretting of fracture surfaces.

(iii) Facet contact between fracture surfaces.

(iv) Mixed mode type opening.

7 Crack deviation from the plane perpendicular to the applied stress has been observed and is considered to lead to an increase in the measured value of the fatigue threshold. An increase in grain size can produce an increase in the value of fatigue threshold by this mechanism.

8 Micromechanisms of closure, fretting, rough fracture surfaces, facet contact and mode II opening are considered to become increasingly operable as the grain size is increased.

9 At higher R ratios it is suggested that the effect of closure on threshold behaviour becomes small and threshold values are then controlled by the grain size.

10 At near threshold propagation rates the fracture path is strongly influenced by grain orientation and growth occurs in a discontinuous manner. Favourably orientated grains failing first followed by failure of the remaining ligament.
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

1 Fatigue crack closure, by a number of different mechanisms, has been proposed as an explanation for many of the results of this work. Crack closure may be measured directly by use of clip gauges attached to fatigue specimens and would allow a correlation to be drawn with closure mechanisms occurring on a macroscopic scale.

2 The proposal in this research that crack closure masks the effect of grain size on fatigue threshold behaviour may be substantiated by a series of tests carried out at a high R ratio where it is thought crack closure plays an insignificant role.

3 Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) is a technique sensitive to the first few atomic layers of fracture surfaces. It may be used on intergranular fracture surfaces to determine the extent of segregation of alloying elements and residual elements to grain boundaries. It is suggested that this facility would provide a useful insight into some of the mechanisms of failure encountered in this work.

4 Further work on other alloy systems and studies into material tempering characteristics, carbide morphology and residual elements on near threshold fatigue crack propagation and crack closure would provide
a more comprehensive picture of the mechanisms observed in this work.
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AN ASSESSMENT OF THE OVERALL ECONOMICS OF THE FABRICATION OF A SYSTEM GAS STORAGE

INTRODUCTION

Steels are used extensively in the containment of liquids, gases and liquid gas mixtures in vessels under pressure and often operated at elevated temperature. They provide a range of usable properties at a much lower cost than possible with alternative materials. It is also important in these applications that the material is capable of being welded.

In order to fulfil their function pressure vessel steels must have a number of properties:-

(i) High yield strength and low impact transition temperature.

(ii) High fracture toughness and good resistance to fatigue crack propagation.

(iii) Resistance to corrosion and oxidation.

(iv) Creep resistance in high temperature applications.

(v) Good weldability.

(vi) Good formability in plate or sheet form.

(vii) Minimum cost.

It is worthwhile, at this point, to give some consideration to the strengthening mechanisms operable in steel in order
to optimise properties and cost. The main strengthening mechanisms are:-

(i) **Solid Solution Strengthening**

Solute elements increase the yield strength and ultimate tensile strength and the strengthening effect is mainly due to the difference in atomic size between the solute element and iron. The effect of both substitutional and interstitial solutes has been shown to influence strength by a factor proportional to the square root of their concentration. In dilute solution, as in the case with most steels, this relationship can be simplified to a linear dependance of solid solution strengthening upon the atomic percentage of solute. The strengthening effect of interstitial solutes is between one and two orders of magnitude greater than substitutional solutes. However their solubility is limited and they cannot be used to any greater extent.

Substitutional and interstitial solutes are detrimental to steel toughness, with the exception of nickel. Other effects may be achieved by the addition of solutes such as the alteration of the ferrite-pearlite ratio, refining the grain size by depressing the transformation temperature, precipitation effects and withdrawing interstitials from solution (ie Aliminium).
(ii) **Grain Size Effects**

Refinement of the grain size leads to an increase in the yield strength and also decreases the impact transition temperature. The dependence of yield stress on grain size is given by the Hall Petch relationship

\[ \sigma_{YS} = \sigma_i + K_y d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]

Where \( d \) is the grain size, \( \sigma_{YS} \) is the yield stress, \( \sigma_i \) is the friction stress and \( K_y \) is a constant. This combination of desirable properties accounts for the extensive use of grain refined steels. Refined by additions of aluminium or niobium.

(iii) **Dislocation Strengthening**

The flow stress can be related to the dislocation density by the following relationship:

\[ \sigma_f = \sigma_o + K \sqrt{\rho} \]

Where \( \sigma_o \) is the flow stress due to other strengthening mechanisms, \( \rho \) is the dislocation density and \( K \) is a constant incorporating the shear modulus and the Burgers vector. Flow stress increases as dislocation density increases. The work hardening rate is dependant upon the way the dislocations are distributed and their interactions.
(iv) **Precipitation Strengthening**

Precipitation of second phase particles can increase material strength but also lower impact resistance. The effectiveness of elements such as niobium, titanium, and vanadium depend upon their solubility in austenite. Grain refinement is also achieved by using small quantities of these elements. During cooling interphase precipitation occurs which produces fine precipitates which lead to strengthening.

(v) **Transformation effects**

Generally, the lower transformation temperature the greater the strengthening effect. Lower transformation temperatures produce a finer grain size. This is used in high strength low alloy steels of ferrite-pearlite structures and low carbon bainitic steels. The dislocation density is also increased by lowering transformation temperatures. Solid solution strengthening is also enhanced by lower transformation temperatures. Whilst these effects all contribute to strengthening, effects on ductility and toughness can be variable and combinations of these effects can lead to complex results.

A number of different steel types are available for consideration for gas storage applications and these are reviewed below.
(a) **Carbon Manganese Steels**

Manganese additions to steels have a number of beneficial effects. Combination of manganese and sulphur to form high melting point sulphide inclusions which when uniformly distributed throughout the steel do not greatly affect toughness. The alternative product is usually iron rich sulphides which have a very damaging effect on material properties. Manganese imports solid solution strengthening and also retards the austenite to ferrite/pearlite reaction which results in more uniform properties. Higher strength levels can be obtained for a given cooling rate.

The major advantages of C.Mn steel are their relative cheapness and their ease of production in large quantities. These steels include the mild steel boiler plate with yield strengths of about 230 MNm\(^{-2}\) and UTS of about 390 MNm\(^{-2}\). They are extensively used in boiler and high pressure plant upto about 350\(^{0}\)C. Above this temperature strength levels fall off rapidly. Corrosion properties and scaling resistance are also poor. Ambient temperature properties can be improved by normalising, controlled rolling and quenching and tempering.
(b) Molybdenum, Chromium-Molybdenum and Chromium-Molybdenum-Vanadium Steels

The addition of Molybdenum to C-Mn steels increases strength levels slightly at ambient temperature but has a marked effect at higher temperatures. Carbon manganese steels containing 0.5% Mo are used extensively in pressure vessel applications. The creep ductility and high temperature oxidation is limited in these steels and therefore chromium-molybdenum type steels, containing upto 9% Cr and 1% Mo may be preferred.

For higher temperature applications properties can be improved by further additions of Cr and Mo. 2.25% - 1% Mo steels are used for their superior hot strength 5% Cr-Mo and 9% Cr-Mo steels are used widely in the petrochemical industry for their superior corrosion and oxidation resistance.

Creep strength can be improved by the addition of vanadium in addition to chromium and molybdenum to give nominal compositions such as 1% Cr - 1¼% Mo - ¼% V and ½% Cr - ½% Mo - ½% V. On air cooling or oil quenching these steels are martensitic and good combinations of properties can be achieved by tempering. One of the principle factors promoting high creep strength is the presence of small vanadium carbide particles in the structure.
(c) **Complex Bainitic Steels**

The addition of small quantities of elements such as manganese, chromium, molybdenum and vanadium in the right quantities promotes transformation to bainite. The alloying elements retard the transformation from austenite and therefore effectively move the TTT diagram to the right. The resulting structure is relatively strong at ambient temperatures and it maintains its strength well at higher temperatures. These steels are particularly suited to applications where the required working temperatures are not particularly high but a higher strength level, than obtained with C-Mn steels, is required. Superior fracture toughness and weldability are also achieved.

(d) **Quenched and Tempered Steels**

The addition of combinations of alloying elements to steel leads to a retardation in the transformation kinetics and this allows harder structures to be obtained at equivalent rates of cooling. Increasing hardenability by correct addition of alloying elements to produce a martensitic structure throughout when quenched or air cooled produces an important group of steels. These steels can then be tempered to produce an adequate combination of properties. The total quantity of alloying elements in these steels may be high but it is possible to obtain a good combination of high strength and toughness. They
are also relatively easy to weld since the carbon content can be kept low.

Table 1 shows a number of commercial steels with typical compositions and properties.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Nb</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>HEAT</th>
<th>YS</th>
<th>UTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 4360-43C</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 4360-43D</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5 (max)</td>
<td>0.1 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT 131 A</td>
<td>0.2 (max)</td>
<td>0.5 (max)</td>
<td>1.6 (max)</td>
<td>0.1 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>QT</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT 131 B</td>
<td>0.2 (max)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.6 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>QT</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT 445 A</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>QT</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT 445 B</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>QT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 4360-55C</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.6 (max)</td>
<td>1.6 (max)</td>
<td>0.1 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 4360-55E</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.6 (max)</td>
<td>1.6 (max)</td>
<td>0.1 (max)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRESSURE VESSEL WELDING

Cylindrical pressure vessels are usually formed by a steel plate and welding along the seam. End plates can then be fitted and welded. The most likely site of failure of cylindrical vessels of this type is the welded seam. Consequently much time and expense is expended in ensuring that both weld and heat effected zone are of a suitably high quality.

For all the main seams mechanised processes are preferred to reduce the number of welding defects and reduce costs. A number of welding processes are used and it is worthwhile reviewing these at this point.

Submerged arc welding is an automatic process capable of high deposition rates on straightforward joints. The process is limited to flat, horizontal and vertical welding positions and is restricted to steels. Careful joint alignment is also required. Increased usage of the submerged arc process is envisaged for further welds and butt welds on steels from 5 to 50 mm thick for weld lengths greater than one metre.

Manual metal arc (MMA) may be used to produce the required external weld profile. Manual metal arc is extremely versatile and is also a low cost process. Excellent joint properties can be obtained in mild, low alloy, stainless steels and copper and nickel based alloys. It is basically a manual technique requiring a skilled
operator for best results. Multirun welds are necessary in thick plates and slag removal is required after each pass together with frequent changes of electrodes.

Other welding processes are the MIG (Metal inert gas) and TIG (Tungsten inert gas) techniques which both rely on gas shielding. In the MIG process the arc is made between base filler wire and the workpiece and in the TIG process the arc is made between a non-consumable tungsten electrode and the workpiece with filler material being added when necessary. The TIG process is relatively slow but a high degree of control is possible. It is therefore frequently used for root runs and other welds where a good root bead shape is important. The MIG process is capable of higher deposition rates and is easily mechanised which produces welds of a more even quality.

Welding effects on microstructure

During welding the parent metal adjacent to the molten weld pool is rapidly heated, the rate of heating being dependent upon the rate of movement of the heat source along the weld and the rate at which energy is supplied. When the welding head has passed the parent metal adjacent to the weld is rapidly cooled by conduction of heat into the surrounding mass of cold parent material. The rate of cooling is dependent upon the amount of material heated in relation to the thickness and dimensions of the job. The weld metal usually cools rapidly to form a chill casting.
The parent metal is heated during welding to a temperature which depends upon the distance from the fusion boundary. A large temperature gradient is produced and peak temperatures adjacent to the fusion boundary are approaching the liquidus. A few millimeters away the temperature may only reach a few hundred degrees °C. Material heated below the lower critical temperatures is very little changed although properties may be affected by the combined effects of strain and temperature. Material heated above this undergoes a phase change to austenite and on cooling transforms to a structure which may differ considerably from the parent metal. This may lead to weld cracking or poor joint properties.

The effect of a local application of heat is to cause expansion on heating and contraction on subsequent cooling. However this expansion and contraction are constrained by the surrounding cold material and result of which is a tensile residual stress in the weld and heat affected zone. Tensile residual stresses exist approximately three weld widths on either side of the weld and are of yield stress magnitude. Plastic deformation also occurs in the region of the weld and may result in cracking if the ductility falls to a level where the plastic strain cannot be accommodated. Control of cracking problems is achieved by manipulating the plastic strain and the ductility.
Welding Defects

Welding can result in a number of defects which are outlined below:

Solidification cracking occurs as the growth of dendritic crystals occurs in the molten weld. Solute and impurity elements are rejected ahead of the growing crystals and become concentrated in the residual liquid lowering its freezing point. If the freezing point of the remaining liquid is sufficiently lowered then liquid films may persist. At the same time the weld and its immediate surroundings are contracting so a tensile strain is applied across the weld. If the ductility of the weld metal is lowered by the presence of a residual film then cracking may occur. Cracking will be affected by the impurity content of the weld material, parent metal and by the amount of strain occurring during solidification. Weld metals with a low susceptibility to solidification cracking are available for most alloy steels. Incorrect setting of welding parameters in automatic processes may lead to problems with solidification cracking.

Heat affected zone liquation cracking may occur where high peak temperatures occur in the HAZ and local melting may occur at grain boundaries in ferritic steels due to the formation of eutectic sulphide. This phenomena in conjunction with plastic strains may cause microcracks to open up at the liquation boundaries. When the liquid solidifies the resulting grain boundary sulphide film
may reduce the fracture toughness of the heat effected zone to unacceptable levels. This problem may be overcome by specifying a low sulphur content of the parent metal. Phosphorus must also be maintained at a low level.

Hydrogen cracking may occur after welding if - hydrogen is introduced during welding, the weld metal or HAZ is susceptible and a high level of residual stress is present after welding. Cracking may then occur under the influence of the residual stress in the susceptible HAZ or weld metal. The extent to which the weld HAZ strength is reduced depends strongly upon the analysis of the parent and weld material. High strength steels are more susceptible than low strength steels.

If the through thickness ductility is low, lamellar tearing may result. Lamellar tearing most frequently occurs when long range stresses are present as well as local welding stresses. This phenomena occurs when planar inclusions (particularly large ones) are present parallel to the plate surface. Arrays of small inclusions can also have the same effect. The possibility of lamellar tearing also increases with increasing material strength. This phenomena can be avoided by the use of forged products as lamellar inclusion in plate are the result of large reductions occurring during rolling.
This case involves the production of a number of storage vessels for a secondary shutdown system at a nuclear power station which, when activated, injects nitrogen into the reactor core. The system requires a large permanent storage capacity for high pressure gaseous nitrogen. The vessels were part of a contract proposal by a pressure vessel manufacturer for the CEGB in 1981.

The storage system is normally pressurised but inactive. When the system is activated the nitrogen is injected into the reactor until the store and reactor pressures equalise. Part of the storage capacity will be exhausted to reactor pressure in approximately one minute and the remainder will take several hours.

Specifications required by the CEGB were as follows:

The design of the vessels must be in accordance with BS 5500 Category 1.

The design life is 30 years. All welded joints are to be designed to allow for full ultrasonic inspection.

The vessels are required for storing dry nitrogen gas and will be sited outdoors, possibly under cover. For a large part of the working life the system will be inactive and the vessels will be kept at a pressure which will vary with ambient temperatures. When the system is operated the store discharges into the reactor over a period of several hours.
During discharge of the nitrogen the vessels temperature falls to low values.

The ambient temperature range is \(-13^\circ\text{C}\) to \(+30^\circ\text{C}\). The corresponding maximum working pressures are 130.5 bar abs and 158.9 bar abs respectively. As the gas is discharged its pressure and temperature fall. For an ambient temperature of \(-13^\circ\text{C}\) the lowest gas and vessel temperature is \(-28^\circ\text{C}\). The number of these cycles is, for design purposes, 300. The minimum total vessel volume is 487 m\(^3\) and a corrosion allowance of 1 mm is to be allowed on each metal surface.

The full length of all welds is to be examined by ultrasonics and by magnetic particle methods. The inside surface of the vessels are to be completely free of any scale or grit or corroded material which may become loose during service and possibly be carried into the system control valves.

In addition to being hydraulically tested the vessels are to be leak tested with a suitable search gas and are given a maximum leak rate of 1 insec at 0.1 times the design pressure. After testing and final inspection the vessels are to be sealed and filled with dry, oil free nitrogen.

**MATERIALS**

In order to illustrate this case study three steels have been selected as possible, suitable candidates for this application. This is not a complete list of suitable steels.
The selected steels are: BS 1501 224, BS 1501 281 and HYPLUS 29. Analysis and mechanical properties are given in tables 2 and 3. Table 3 indicates the mechanical properties in the normalised condition and since mechanical properties are variable with thickness only the properties at the relevant vessel wall thickness are considered.

STRESSES ACTING ON A CYLINDRICAL VESSEL UNDER INTERNAL PRESSURE

Stresses acting on cylindrical bodies can be analysed in terms of the radial forces acting on the circumference of a circular ring. Hoop forces are produced which act in a tangential direction. An enlargement of the ring will occur if the forces are radial outwards and the opposite will occur if the forces are radial inwards. The magnitude of the force in a section of the ring can then be expressed as follows:-

\[ F = qr \]

where \( q \) is the unit length of circumference and \( r \) is the radius of the ring.

The unit stress in the ring is then obtained by dividing the force \( F \) by the cross sectional area.

\[ \sigma = \frac{qr}{A} \]

where \( A \) = Cross sectional area
\( r \) = Vessel radius
### TABLE 2  CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Cp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 224</td>
<td>0.15 - 0.22</td>
<td>.10 - .55</td>
<td>.90 - 1.50</td>
<td>.050 max</td>
<td>0.050 max</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 281</td>
<td>0.09 - 0.15</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.90 - 1.30</td>
<td>.040 max</td>
<td>0.040 max</td>
<td>.40 - .70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPLUS 29</td>
<td>0.22 max</td>
<td>.15 - .50</td>
<td>1.60 max</td>
<td>.050 max</td>
<td>.050 max</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Nb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 224</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 281</td>
<td>.20 - .28</td>
<td>.70 - 1.00</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.12</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPLUS 29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 3  MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UTS (MNm⁻²)</th>
<th>YS (MNm⁻²)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 224</td>
<td>490 - 600</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 281</td>
<td>590 - 680</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPLUS 29</td>
<td>600 - 695</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If the ring is considered to be a section of a cylindrical vessel of thickness, \( h \), and stress in the vessel is:

\[
\sigma = \frac{pr}{h}
\]

where \( p \) = internal pressure.

The longitudinal stress can then be determined by equating the total pressure acting against the end of the cylinders to the longitudinal forces acting on a transverse section of the cylinder:

\[
\sigma h2\pi r = p\pi r^2
\]

\[
\sigma = \frac{pr}{2h}
\]

**FRACTURE MECHANICS CONSIDERATIONS**

If it is assumed that steel contains defects which are sufficiently small so as to be undetectable by non-destructive testing methods then it is likely that these defects may grow, by fatigue, during service. It is therefore important in selecting materials and operating limits for pressure vessels that catastrophic failure does not occur by defects growing to critical sizes. A leak before break criterion may be applied. This is based on the fracture mechanics concept that a detectable leak can be supported by the vessel without catastrophic failure occurring. Some experience with thin walled pressure vessels shows that vessels containing through cracks perpendicular to the hoop stress of length twice the
thickness of the vessel will deform sufficiently under pressure to permit leakage.

Critical crack sizes required to produce catastrophic failure can be determined using suitable analysis. Equations based upon the one below are commonly used:

\[ a_{\text{crit}} = \frac{K_{\text{IC}}^2 Q}{1.21 \pi \sigma^2} \]

where \( Q \) is a flaw shape parameter and \( \sigma \) is the hoop stress.

The flaw shape parameter, \( Q \), is dependant upon the major and minor axis dimensions, particularly of non metallic inclusions. A knowledge of fracture toughness parameters and inclusions sizes, therefore provides vital information in determining critical crack sizes and the amount of allowable growth before failure may occur.

The situation is further compounded if stress corrosion cracking and/or fatigue are taking place. Sub-critical crack growth may occur as a result of the presence of either of these mechanisms and lead to growth of defects to critical sizes.

Fatigue crack propagation studies in a number of materials have shown that under steady loading conditions the rate of crack growth is often a unique function of the stress intensity range \( \Delta K \). A simple power law relationship suggested by Paris has been shown to hold for intermediate fatigue crack growth rates.
\[ \frac{da}{dN} = C(\Delta K)^n \]

where \( C \) and \( n \) are constants.

Crack propagation data may be used to determine values of \( \Delta K_i \) that may be tolerated or alternatively the number of cycles required to extend a crack of initial length, \( a_i \), to a critical size, \( a_c \), can be established.

Thus, the uses of linear elastic fracture mechanics data and fatigue data can be instrumental in providing detailed knowledge of defect tolerance in the pressure vessel field leading to more efficient use of materials and resources.

**PRESSURE VESSEL FABRICATION**

The fabrication of the pressure vessel is carried out in accordance with BS 5500:1982.

For cylindrical shells the minimum calculated thickness of shell plate excluding corrosion allowances is given by the design equation

\[ e = \frac{pD_i}{2f-p} \]

where \( p \) is the design pressure.

- \( D_i \) is the inside diameter of the shell without corrosion allowance.
- \( f \) is the nominal design stress.
This equation produces the following material data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Vessel Length (mm)</th>
<th>Vessel Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness of Shell (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness of ends (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 224</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 281</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPLUS 29</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vessel length and diameter are as prescribed by the vessel specification. The thickness of the end plates are derived from spherical shell formula.

The reduction in shell thickness leads to some savings in material costs, however this may be balanced against the cost per tonne of a given steel.

The vessel material costs for the vessels of thickness indicated above are as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>£/Vessel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS 1501 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPLUS 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All cost data has been provided by a company working in the pressure vessel field and were correct in 7 June 1983.

In all three vessel cases welding is identical. A T.I.G. root run is made to produce high quality weld deposits and a good bead shape. This process is carried out automatically. The welding is completed by automatic multi-run manual metal arc. Weld perheat and stress relief treatment
is necessary to avoid the possibility of cracking. The costs of forming and welding are shown below.

\[
\begin{array}{l|c}
\text{£/Vessel} & \\
BS 1501 224 & 3931 \\
BS 1501 281 & 5126 \\
HYPLUS 29 & 4738 \\
\end{array}
\]

In the case of pressure vessel manufacturer considered, cost of forming the vessels was increased by press tool non-availability and the necessity of using non-standard press tools for the thinner shelled vessels.

The amount of non-destructive testing required depends upon the type and thickness of the shell being welded and is carried out in accordance with BS 5500: 1982. BS 1501 224 requires ultrasonic inspection of the weldment at a cost of £159.00 BS 1501 281 and Hyplus 29 have more stringent requirements imposed upon them. Higher yield stress and thinner vessel walls make the detection of small defects very important and therefore a full X-ray is required and ultrasonic inspection of the weld costing £778.

The total cost of production including finishing treatments (painting etc) is as follows.

\[
\begin{array}{l|c}
\text{£/Vessel} & \\
BS 1501 224 & 5454 \\
BS 1501 281 & 8307 \\
HYPLUS 29 & 7151 \\
\end{array}
\]
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It must be noted at this point that in practice BS 1501 281 has a minimum quantity delivery from steel suppliers, of 3 tonnes and therefore material cost would increase from £2,087 to 3,651. This increases the total cost of this vessels fabrication to £9,871.

Clarely the cheapest vessel to produce is the BS 1501 224, approximately 25% cheaper than Hyplus 29.

DISCUSSION

In this example there is a considerable difference in total production costs between the vessels described. Had this not been the case a number of other factors may have contributed in the final decision. Fatigue, corrosion fatigue or, more importantly, stress corrosion cracking properties may be significant.

Corrosion below the paint layers can reduce fatigue life through damage to surfaces causing roughening and also by pitting which reduces the cross-sectional area, thereby increasing the magnitude of the applied stress. During corrosion fatigue corrosion occurs both on the surface and in a crack once formed, accelerating growth rates by corrosion products wedging open cracks to allow corrosion to continue. Stress corrosion cracking is the most serious problem in this example since the number of fatigue cycles likely to be experienced by a vessel in this application is probably less than $10^2$. Stress corrosion properties particularly in the suseptable heat affected zone may be
significant if high residual stresses remain in the HAZ and a corrosive environment is present. Preferential rusting has been observed adjacent to weld seams and illustrates the problems which may occur.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>O₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1800 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1805 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1810 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1820 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840 A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

SERIES 2 CAST ANALYSIS (Wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>O₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1SO5(2) A</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S10(2) A</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>O₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110A</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115A</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120A</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120B</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100B</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
<td>&lt;.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**

SERIES 3 CAST ANALYSIS (wt%)

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Sb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SILICON</td>
<td>&gt;10ppm</td>
<td>&gt;10ppm</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.68</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;10ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRON</td>
<td>&lt;5ppm</td>
<td>&lt;10ppm</td>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>&lt;50ppm</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;10ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 5

**ANALYSIS OF RESIDUAL ELEMENTS (Wt%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>B (ppm)</th>
<th>Ti (ppm)</th>
<th>Cu (ppm)</th>
<th>Al (%)</th>
<th>Cu (%)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>Sb (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO0(1) A</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) A</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) B</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO10(3) A</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO10(3) B</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) A</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) B</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO20(1) A</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
<td>&lt;50 ppm</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;.002</td>
<td>&lt;5 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIMEN</td>
<td>GRAIN SIZE μ</td>
<td>d⁻¹</td>
<td>95% CONFIDENCE LIMITS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO0(1) A 1</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO0(1) A 2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO0(1) A 3</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) A 1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) A 2</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) A 3</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) B 1</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO5(3) B 2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3) A 1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>±10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3) A 2</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3) A 3</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3) B 1</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3) B 2</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3) A 1</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>±15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3) A 2</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3) B 1</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3) B 2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3) B 3</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>±15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1) A 1</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1) A 2</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1) A 3</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen</td>
<td>Proof Stress $\text{MNm}^{-2}$</td>
<td>Ultimate Tensile Stress $\text{MNm}^{-2}$</td>
<td>% Elongation</td>
<td>% Reduction of Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS00(1)A 1</td>
<td>274.7</td>
<td>403.2</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS00(1)A 2</td>
<td>231.7</td>
<td>348.1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS00(1)A 3</td>
<td>312.4</td>
<td>396.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS05(3)A 1</td>
<td>387.1</td>
<td>450.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS05(3)A 2</td>
<td>204.9</td>
<td>329.8</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS05(3)A 3</td>
<td>254.9</td>
<td>340.8</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3)A 1</td>
<td>220.7</td>
<td>391.4</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3)A 2</td>
<td>267.6</td>
<td>330.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS10(3)A 3</td>
<td>313.2</td>
<td>361.4</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3)A 1</td>
<td>314.8</td>
<td>400.8</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3)A 2</td>
<td>320.8</td>
<td>412.8</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3)B 1</td>
<td>343.6</td>
<td>417.9</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS15(3)B 2</td>
<td>334.9</td>
<td>426.8</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1)A 1</td>
<td>351.3</td>
<td>467.8</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1)A 2</td>
<td>408.8</td>
<td>468.3</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS20(1)A 3</td>
<td>390.0</td>
<td>442.5</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 9

**SHOWING MONOTONIC AND CYCLIC PLASTIC ZONE SIZES FOR THRESHOLD STRESS INTENSITIES AND GRAIN SIZES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIES</th>
<th>SPECIMEN</th>
<th>MONOTONIC PZS</th>
<th>CYCLIC PZS</th>
<th>GRAIN SIZE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(μm)</td>
<td>(μm)</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IS00(1)A1</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS00(1)A2</td>
<td>324-459</td>
<td>51-73</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS00(1)A3</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>IS05(3)A1</td>
<td>157-156</td>
<td>25-25</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS05(3)A2</td>
<td>408-631</td>
<td>65-100</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS05(3)A3</td>
<td>445-625</td>
<td>71-100</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS05(3)B1</td>
<td>198-227</td>
<td>32-36</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS05(3)B2</td>
<td>378-662</td>
<td>60-106</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IS10(3)A1</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS10(3)A2</td>
<td>454-331</td>
<td>53-73</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS10(3)A3</td>
<td>256-323</td>
<td>41-52</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS10(3)B1</td>
<td>542-776</td>
<td>86-90</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS10(3)B2</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IS15(3)A1</td>
<td>417-433</td>
<td>67-69</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS15(3)A2</td>
<td>224-225</td>
<td>36-36</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS15(3)B1</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS15(3)B2</td>
<td>210-217</td>
<td>33-35</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS15(3)B3</td>
<td>267-313</td>
<td>43-50</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IS20(1)A1</td>
<td>190-257</td>
<td>30-41</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS20(1)A2</td>
<td>190-320</td>
<td>30-36</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IS20(1)A3</td>
<td>101-107</td>
<td>16-17</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Values determined from 0.1% proof stress in each case,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIES</th>
<th>SPECIMEN</th>
<th>THRESHOLD $\Delta K$ MNm$^{-3/2}$</th>
<th>THRESHOLD $K_{MAX}$ MNm$^{-3/2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1S00(1)A1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S00(1)A2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S00(1)A3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1S05(3)A1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S05(3)A2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S05(3)A3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S05(3)B1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S05(3)B2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1S10(3)A1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S10(3)A2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S10(3)A3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S10(3)B1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S10(3)B2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1S15(3)A1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S15(3)A2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S15(3)B1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S15(3)B2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S15(3)B3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1S20(1)A1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S20(1)A2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1S20(1)A3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2

(a) Elastic stress distribution around a geometric stress concentration.

(b) Through thickness variation of $\sigma_{zz}$
Figure 3

Schematic elastic/plastic stress distribution near a crack under plane strain conditions.
\( \sigma_{yy} = \frac{K}{(2\pi r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \)
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(c)

\( \sigma_{yy} = \frac{K}{(2\pi r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \)

(d)

\( \sigma_{yy} = \frac{K^*}{(2\pi r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \)
Figure 5

Schematic representation of a plastic zone across a crack of finite width.
Figure 6

Schematic load displacement curve for region ahead of crack tip.
Stress $\sigma$

Displacement

$\sigma_{ys}$
Reversed flow plastic zone
Monotonic plastic zone
Figure 8

Schematic variation of fatigue crack growth rate with alternating stress intensity, ΔK, in steels, showing regimes of primary crack growth mechanisms.
regime A
non-Continuum Mechanisms

large influence of:
(i) Microstructure
(ii) Mean Stress
(iii) Environment

Primary Mechanisms
regime B
Continuum Mechanisms
little influence of:
(i) Microstructure
(ii) Mean Stress
(iii) Dilute Atmosphere
(iv) Thickness

\[ \frac{\delta a}{\delta N} = C(\Delta K)^m \]

threshold \( \Delta K_0 \)

log \( \Delta K \)
Figure 9

Schematic illustration of internal necking process; represents increasing strain which causes crack blunting, void growth, and eventual coalescence of crack tip and void.
MnS INCLUSIONS

STRETCH ZONE

\( \delta_i \)
Figure 10
Schematic representation of dislocation arrangements of plastic zones as compared to grain size.

($\delta_S = \text{static, } \delta_f = \text{cyclic plastic zone}$)

(a) Stage I  (b) Stage II  (c) Transition II $\rightarrow$ II
(d) Stage III
Figure 11
(a) Crystallographic geometry of fatigue crack propagation in BCC structures.
(b) Fatigue crack path in coarse grained ferrite.
(c) Fatigue crack path in finer grained ferrite.
(a) CRACK

(b) A - B

(c) A - B

\[ \sigma \]

\[ \sigma' \]

CRACK PATH

\[ (110) \text{ SLIP PLANE} \]

\[ (100) \text{ MACROSCOPIC FRACTURE PLANE} \]
Figure 13

Schematic representation of fatigue crack advance by a plastic blunting process.
Figure 14

A shear decohesion mechanism for stage II fatigue crack growth.
(a) Maximum Compression

(b) Small Tensile Stress

(c) Maximum Tensile Strain
New Crack Surface Formed by Shear Decohesion

(d) Small Compressive Stress

(e) Maximum Compressive Strain

(f) Maximum Tensile Strain
Figure 15

The influence of R ratio on fatigue crack growth for a pearlitic steel tested in laboratory air.
Crack growth rate, $da/dN$ (mm/cycle)

Stress intensity range $\Delta K$ (MNm$^{-3/2}$)
Figure 16

Orientation of maximum shear stress planes set up in plane strain.
Overall Crack Growth Direction
Figure 17

Fatigue crack growth rate versus stress intensity range for a pearlitic steel.
Crack growth rate, da/dN (mm/cycle)

Stress intensity range $\Delta K$ (MN m$^{-3/2}$)

- $x$ 0.06
- $\Delta$ 0.05
- $\circ$ 0.36
- $\bullet$ 0.36
- $+$ 0.72
- $\vee$ 0.72
- $\Delta$ 0.72
(a) The influence of monotonic yield strength ($\sigma_{ys}$) on threshold stress intensity for fatigue crack growth ($\Delta K_{Th}$).

(b) The influence of U.T.S. on threshold for fatigue crack growth ($\Delta K_{Th}$).
Threshold $\Delta K_{T h}$ (MNm$^{-3/2}$) vs Yield Stress, $\sigma_{YS}$ (MPa)

Threshold $\Delta K_{T}$ (MNm$^{-3/2}$) vs U.T.S., $\sigma_{UTS}$ (MPa)
Figure 19

The variation of fatigue crack growth rate \((\frac{da}{dN})\) with alternating stress intensity \((\Delta K)\) at \(R = 0.05\) for 300.M steel, quenched and tempered between 100°C and 650°C, showing the influence of material strength. 30
Fatigue crack growth rate $da/dN$ (mm/cycle)
Figure 20

Results showing the variation of threshold for fatigue crack growth (ΔKth) at R = 0 with yield strength for steels.29
Threshold $\Delta K_{\text{th}}$ at $R = 0$ MN m$^{-3/2}$ vs. Yield Strength MPa
Figure 21

Effect of tempering temperature on threshold values.
High Carbon Tool Steel
Low Alloy Steel

\[ R = 0.333 \]

Threshold stress intensity \[ \Delta K_{\text{th}} \text{ MN m}^{-3/2} \]

Tempering temperature \[ ^\circ \text{C} \]
The effects of grain size and interstitial content on fatigue crack propagation rates in $\alpha$-titanium at $R = 0.35$.\textsuperscript{20}
Crack propagation rate, $da/dN$ (mm/cycle)

- **115 Small Grain**
- **130**
- **155**
- **115 Large Grain**
- **115**

Stress intensity range $\Delta K \text{ MN m}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$
Figure 23

The variation of fatigue crack growth rate (da/dN) with alternating stress intensity (ΔK) for 300.M steel, austenitized at 870°C and 1200°C, showing the influence of grain size.\textsuperscript{30}
Alternating stress intensity $\Delta K$ (MN m$^{-1/2}$) vs. $\Delta a$ (mm/cycle) for different crack lengths (20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 um).
Figure 24

The effect of grain size on the threshold stress intensity factor for $R = 0$. 
Threshold Stress intensity $\Delta K_{th}$ (MN m$^{-3/2}$)
Figure 25

(a) Variation of threshold stress intensity range ($\Delta K_{Th}$) with grain size.\textsuperscript{89}

(b) Variation of threshold stress intensity range ($\Delta K_{Th}$) with 0.1\% proof stress.\textsuperscript{89}
Grain diameter $d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, (m$^{-\frac{1}{2}}$)

$0.1\%$ Proof Stress (MNm$^{-2}$)
Figure 26

The effect of grain size on dislocation structure at the crack tip. a) Small grain size, b) Large grain size.
Plastic zone size

(a)

(b)
Figure 27

The prediction of grain size effects on the threshold stress intensity range for low alloy steel.\textsuperscript{67}
Figure 28

Fatigue crack propagation results for 300.M, oil quenched and step cooled after tempering at 650°C showing the influence of impurity induced embrittlement.
Alternating stress intensity $\Delta K$, (MN m$^{-3/2}$)
Figure 29

Percentage area of intergranular fracture versus $\Delta K$ and $K_{\text{max}}$, illustrating its transient nature and dependance on $\Delta K$ in air.\textsuperscript{46}
Figure 30

Variation of fatigue crack growth rate with amplitude stress intensity factor for high purity B.S. 817M40 steel tempered at 200°C and tested in vacuo in Laboratory air.
Alternating stress intensity $\Delta K$ (MN m$^{-3/2}$)
Figure 31

The relationship between threshold stress intensity range $\Delta K_{th}$ and $R$ for air and vacuum.
Load Ratio, R

$\Delta K_{Th}$  (MN m$^{-3/2}$)

Vacuum

Air
Figure 32

The increase in crack propagation rate and the associated percentage of intergranular fracture in a hydrogen environment over a range of stress intensities.
$R = 0.10$
$2\text{ Hz}$
$273^\circ\text{ K}$

Graph showing the relationship between $\frac{\text{da}}{\text{dN}}$ and $\Delta K^2$ for Integranular Fracture.
Figure 33

Solute concentration effects on fatigue crack growth rates for the Fe-Si system.
Crack growth rate $\frac{da}{dN}$ (mm/cycle)
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- Fe
- Fe - 1% Si
- Fe - 2.5% Si
- Fe - 4% Si

Stress intensity range (MN m$^{-3/2}$)

Crack growth rate $\frac{da}{dN}$ (mm/cycle)

Stress intensity range (MN m$^{-3/2}$)
Figure 34

Variation of grain size with holding time at 1100°C in IS10A
Figure 35

Variation of grain size with holding time at 900°C in IS10A
Grain Size (mm)

Holding time (hrs)
Figure 36

Variation of grain size with 5 mm cycles (α-γ-α) at 950°C in IS20A
All Dimensions in mm.
Position of tensile specimen

All Dimensions in mm.
Figure 40

Schematic circuit diagram for fatigue threshold testing equipment.
1 TEST PIECE AT CONSTANT TEMPERATURE, ELECTRICALLY INSULATED FROM TEST FRAME.
2 IRON WIRES SPOT WELDED TO TEST PIECE.
3 THERMOCOUPLES SPOT WELDED TO TEST PIECE.
4 Fe/Cu WIRE JUNCTIONS SUNK IN Al BLOCK TO ELIMINATE ELECTRICALLY GENERATED TEMPERATURE DIFFERENTIALS.
5 STABLE MICROVOLT SOURCE, SCREENED AND ISOLATED FROM MAINS SUPPLY.
6 STABLE MICROVOLT METER SCREENED AND BATTERY POWERED.
7 EARTHING POINT.
8 FEEDBACK STABILISED CONSTANT CURRENT SUPPLY.
Figure 41

Fatigue specimen wired for threshold testing.
Heat element

Control
Thermocouple

Monitoring Thermocouple
\[
\Delta K_1 = K_{\text{max}_1} - K_{\text{min}_1}
\]
\[
\Delta K_2 = K_{\text{max}_2} - K_{\text{min}_2}
\]
\[
R_1 = \frac{K_{\text{min}_1}}{K_{\text{max}_1}}
\]
\[
R_2 = \frac{K_{\text{min}_2}}{K_{\text{max}_2}}
\]
Figure 43

Rectangular rosette for residual stress measurements.
3 Strain gauge elements
Figure 44

Positioning of strain gauge for residual stress measurement.
Machined Notch

Fatigue Crack

Strain Gauge
Figure 45

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen ISO0(1) A2.
Figure 46
Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS00(1) Al.
Figure 47

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS00(1) A3.
Figure 48

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS05(3) A3.
Figure 49

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS05(3) B1.
Figure 50

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS05(3) A1.
Figure 51

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS05(3) B2.
Figure 52

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS05(3) A2.
Figure 53

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS10(3) B2.
Figure 54

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS10(3) A2.
Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS10(3) A3.
Figure 56

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS10(3) B1.
Figure 57

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS10(3) Al.
Figure 58

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS15(3) A1.
Figure 59

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS15(3) A2.
Figure 60

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS15(3) B3.
Figure 61

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS15(B) B2.
Figure 62

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS15(3) B1.
Figure 63

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS20(1) A1.
Figure 64

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS20(1) A2.
Figure 65

Fatigue crack growth results for specimen IS20(1) A3.
GROWTH RATE $\text{mm/cycle}$ vs $\Delta K \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$
Figure 66

Fatigue crack growth results for series IS00(1) specimens.
Figure 67

Fatigue crack growth results for series IS05(3) specimens.
Figure 68

Fatigue crack growth results for series IS10(3) specimens.
Figure 69

Fatigue crack growth results for series IS15(3) specimens.
Figure 70

Fatigue crack growth results for series IS20(1) specimens,
GROWTH RATE mm/cycle

\( \Delta K \) MNm\(^{-3/2} \)

IS20 1 A1 □
IS20 1 A2 △
IS20 1 A3 ○
Figure 71

Fatigue threshold results as a function of grain size ($d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$)
Threshold stress intensity range, $\Delta K_{\text{Th}}$ (MNm$^{-3}$)
Figure 72

Relationship between strain hardening exponent, $n$, and silicon content.
Figure 73

Transmission electron micrographs of the plastic zone attending a propagating fatigue crack.
Figure 74

Intergranular fatigue fracture surface in material IS00(1)A1
Propagation

x 150

ISO 01 A1

303
Figure 75

Threshold and near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS05(3)A3.
Figure 76

Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material ISO5(3)A3.
Direction of
Figure 77

(a) Fatigue fracture surface at near threshold growth rates in material IS05(3)Al.

(b) Fatigue fracture surface at a higher stress intensity range in IS05(3)Al.
Direction of Propagation
Figure 78

Mixed intergranular/transgranular failure in material IS05(3)B2.
Figure 79
Transgranular fatigue crack propagation observed in IS10(3)A2.
Figure 80

(a) Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS10(3)Al.

(b) Near threshold propagation in material IS10(3)Al.
Direction of Propagation

IS10 3 A1

X 250

X 500
Figure 81

Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS10(3)B2.
Direction of Propagation

\[ \text{SW ft} \]

\[ x \times 300 \]

IS10 3 B2

317
Figure 82
Transgranular fatigue crack propagation in material IS15(3)B1.
Propagation

IS15 3 B1
Figure 83

Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS15(3)B3
Direction of
Figure 84

Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS20(1)A3.
Figure 85

Near threshold fatigue crack propagation in material IS20(1)A2.
Direction of Propagation

x 250

IS20 1 A2
Macroscopic features observed on material IS05(3)B2.
Figure 87

(a) Fatigue crack propagation profile observed at 
$\Delta K = 17 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ in material IS10(3)B2.

(b) Fatigue crack propagation profile observed at 
$\Delta K = 18 \text{ MNm}^{-3/2}$ in material IS10(3)B1.
Figure 88

(a) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at
$\Delta K = 14.5 \text{ MNm}^{-2}$ in material IS15(3)A2.

(b) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at
$\Delta K = 19.4 \text{ MNm}^{-2}$ in material IS15(3)B1.
Figure 89

(a) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at $\Delta K = 14.0$ MNm$^{-3/2}$ in material IS20(1)A3.

(b) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at $\Delta K = 14.0$ MNm$^{-3/2}$ in material IS20(1)A3.
Figure 90

(a) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at $\Delta K = 14.0 \text{ MNm}^{-2}$ in material IS20(1)A2.

(b) Features observed on fatigue fracture surface at $14.0 \text{ MNm}^{-2}$ in material IS20(1)A2.
Direction of Propagation

X 500

X 500

IS20 I A2

335
Figure 91

(a) Crack branching observed in material ISO00(1)A1.

(b) Crack branching observed in material ISO5(3)A1.
Direction of Propagation

\[ A \times 10^{-6} \text{ MNm}^{' 55} \times 1000 \]

ISO0 1 A1

\[ A \times 120 \text{ MNm}^{' 4^\wedge} \times 500 \]

ISO5 3 A1
Figure 92

(a) Crack branching observed at near threshold growth rates in material IS05(3)A3.
\[ \Delta K = 13.2 \text{ MNm}^{-3} \]

(b) Crack branching observed at near threshold growth rates in material IS05(3)A3.
\[ \Delta K = 13.4 \text{ MNm}^{-3} \]
Direction of Propagation
Figure 93

(a) Secondary cracking observed near the threshold in material IS15(3)B1.

(b) Secondary cracking observed at $\Delta K = 17 \text{ MNm}^2$ in material IS10(3)B2.
Direction of Propagation
(a) Secondary cracking occurring both intergranular and transgranular near the threshold in material IS10(3)B2.

(b) Secondary cracking occurring transgranular at $\Delta K = 16.6$ MNm$^2$ in material IS10(3)B2.
Direction of Propagation

X 500

X 300

ISIO B B2

343
Figure 95

(a) Crack profile observed in material IS00(1)A2.

(b) Complex crack profile observed in material IS00(1)B2.
Direction of Propagation

ISO0 1 A2

ISO0 1 B2
Figure 96

(a) Fatigue crack sensitivity to the presence of grain boundaries observed at $\Delta K = 16.4 \text{ MNm}^2$ in material IS15(3)A1.

(b) Fatigue crack sensitivity to the presence of grain boundaries observed at $\Delta K = 18.0 \text{ MNm}^2$ in material IS15(3)A1.
Direction of Propagation

X 600

IS 15 3 Al

347
(a) Striations observed at $\Delta K = 14.1\text{ MNm}^2$ in material IS20(1)A2.

(b) Striations observed at $\Delta K = 14.1\text{ MNm}^2$ in material IS20(1)A2.
Direction of Propagation
FIGURE 98

(a) Detached particles of material observed on fracture surface at near threshold values in material IS20(1)A2.

(b) Detached particles of material observed on fracture surface at higher ΔK values in material IS15(3)B3.
Propagation
Figure 99

(a) Rough intergranular facets observed in material IS15(3)A1.

(b) Rough intergranular facets observed in material IS15(3)B1.
Figure 100
Proportion of transgranular failure produced during fatigue testing as a function of stress intensity range, $\Delta K$. 
Figure 101

Relationship between strain hardening exponent, n and the width of the yield zone, ln.
In Low n

ln Low n

In High n

ln High n

ln proportional to n
Figure 102

Mode II displacements leading to wedging open of the crack.
Crack opening and Contribution of mode II opening.

Crack wedged open at contact points.