

# The contributions of fibre atrophy, fibre loss, in situ specific force and voluntary activation to weakness in sarcopenia

MCPHEE, Jamie S, CAMERON, James, MADEN-WILKINSON, Tom <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6191-045X">http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6191-045X</a>, PIASECKI, Mathew, YAP, Moi Hoon, JONES, David A and DEGENS, Hans

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/18828/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

## Citation:

MCPHEE, Jamie S, CAMERON, James, MADEN-WILKINSON, Tom, PIASECKI, Mathew, YAP, Moi Hoon, JONES, David A and DEGENS, Hans (2018). The contributions of fibre atrophy, fibre loss, in situ specific force and voluntary activation to weakness in sarcopenia. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 73 (10), 1287-1294. [Article]

# Copyright and re-use policy

See <a href="http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html">http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</a>

The contributions of fibre atrophy, fibre loss, in situ specific force and voluntary activation to

weakness in sarcopenia

Running title: Causes of muscle weakness in sarcopenia

Jamie S McPhee (PhD).1\*; James Cameron (MSc)1, Thomas Maden-Wilkinson (PhD)2; Mathew Piasecki

(PhD)<sup>1</sup>; Moi Hoon Yap (PhD)<sup>3</sup>; David A Jones (PhD)<sup>1</sup>; Hans Degens (PhD)<sup>1,4</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>School of Healthcare Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, UK. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Health and Wellbeing,

Sheffield Hallam University, UK. <sup>3</sup>School of Mathematics, Computing and Digital Technology, Manchester

Metropolitan University, UK. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Sport Science and Innovations, Lithuanian Sports University,

Kaunas, Lithuania.

\*Corresponding author. Professor Jamie McPhee. School of Healthcare Science, Faculty of Science and

Engineering, John Dalton Building, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester. M15GD. UK. Email:

J.s.mcphee@mmu.ac.uk

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by funding from the European Commission ("Myoage" nr: 223576) and the

Medical Research Council as part of the Life Long Health and Wellbeing initiative (MR/K/025252/1). We

thank the participants for their involvement.

We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Gerontological Society of

America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse,

distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract** 

The contributions of fibre atrophy, fibre loss, in situ specific force and voluntary activation to weakness in

sarcopenia remain unclear. To investigate, forty older (20 women; age 72±4yrs) and 31 younger adults (15

women, age 22±3yrs) completed measurements. The knee extensor maximal voluntary torque (MVC) was

measured as well as voluntary activation, patella tendon moment arm length, muscle volume and fascicle

architecture to estimate in situ specific force. Fibre cross-sectional area (FCSA), fibre numbers and

connective tissue contents were also estimated from vastus lateralis biopsies. The MVC, quadriceps volume

and specific force were 39%, 28% and 17% lower, respectively, in old compared with young, but voluntary

activation was not different. The difference in muscle size was due in almost equal proportions to lower type

II FCSA and fewer fibres. Five years later (n=23) the MVC, muscle volume and voluntary activation in old

decreased an additional 12%, 6% and 4%, respectively, but there was no further change in specific force.

Conclusions: in situ specific force declines relatively early in older age and reduced voluntary activation

occurs later, but the overall weakness in sarcopenia is mainly related to loss of both type I and II fibres and

type II fibre atrophy.

Key words:

Muscles, sarcopenia, frailty,

Introduction

Skeletal muscle weakness is a key feature of sarcopenia (1) and a core component of the physical frailty

phenotype (2). Weakness increases the effort required to complete everyday physical tasks and is associated

with a higher risk of falling, disability, hospital admission and mortality (3). To develop effective

countermeasures, it is important to understand the factors contributing to weakness.

In young adults, a close relationship exists between muscle cross-sectional area and the maximal force

produced by that muscle (4, 5). During ageing the muscle mass declines in part due to type II fibre atrophy

(6, 7), which contributes to muscle weakness. Fibre losses may also contribute to low muscle mass, although

there is surprisingly little data on this matter and conflicting reports with one suggesting fibres are lost with

ageing (8) and another stating they are not (9). Irrespective of the reasons why muscle mass declines, recent

reports argue that the relationship between muscle mass and maximal force is weak in older adults (10, 11).

This viewpoint is based on the apparent disparity in the age-related changes of maximal force and lean mass

seen in cross-sectional studies (12, 13) and longitudinal studies where a three-fold greater decline of

maximal force compared to appendicular lean mass has been reported (e.g. (14, 15)). It might be concluded,

therefore, that low muscle mass is not the primary cause of weakness in older age and this has led to interest

in possible changes in "muscle quality", measured as maximal force per unit muscle mass (e.g. see (13, 14,

16-18)). However, this literature has two important limitations. First, it is strongly influenced by studies

using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to estimate the muscle size. Additionally, they did not

consider potentially important physiological and anatomical contributions to force production, including

activation of the motor unit pool, muscle architecture and joint structures.

To understand the causes of weakness in older age, it is necessary to take account of several factors. First,

the maximal muscle force depends on all available motor units of the agonist muscles being fully activated

(19). Secondly, muscle force is proportional to the number of fibres (or, sarcomeres) in parallel, represented

by the physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA), rather than the anatomical CSA, of the agonist muscles

(20). Thirdly, muscle and joint architecture influence the external torque because the tendon force decreases

in proportion to the cosine of the fibre pennation angle, while external torque increases proportionately with

the tendon moment arm (20). Considering all of these factors together gives a better estimate of muscle

quality than just normalising maximal force to lean mass derived from DXA, and is referred to here as in situ

specific force. Previous studies showed lower in situ specific force of plantar flexors (21) and voluntary

activation of knee extensors (19) in old compared with young. However, there is currently limited

information about specific force and voluntary activation of sarcopenic muscle and no information about

longitudinal changes in specific force for older adults.

The aim of the present study was to estimate the contributions of muscle size and specific force to the

maximal external muscle torque in young and older adults. The hypothesis was that low muscle mass as well

as reduced voluntary activation and in situ specific force contribute to weakness in sarcopenia. Following on

from this, we aimed to estimate the contributions of muscle fibre atrophy and muscle fibre loss to the overall

quadriceps muscle atrophy with ageing. These aims were addressed through comparison of results from

young and older adults and a longitudinal examination of older adults.

Methods

Ethical approval and research participants

The Local Research Ethics Committee approved the study. All volunteers provided written informed

consent. Volunteers were excluded if they were involved in any competitive sports (recreational sports were

allowed) or had cardiovascular (controlled hypertension was allowed), metabolic, musculoskeletal,

neurological or mental conditions, or body mass index <18 or >32 kg·m<sup>-2</sup>.

Participants arrived at the research facility between 9am and 10am. DXA and MRI images were collected,

followed by the grip strength, timed-up-and-go and 6-minute walk tests. A light snack and drink were

provided and after a 30 minute break, the assessments of knee extensor voluntary activation and architecture

were completed. For longitudinal studies, the older participants were invited to complete the same

assessments 5 years later. Participant characteristics are shown in Table 1, including results for the basic

functional assessments of 6 minute walk test (walking as far as possible in 6 min around cones placed 20 m

apart), maximal grip strength (Jamar dynamometer performed twice on each hand and the maximum value

taken) and timed up and go (TUG: starting from a seated position, stand and walk around a cone placed 3 m

in front and then return to the original seated position), which were all performed following standardised

procedures described previously (22).

Musculoskeletal imaging

Participants were scanned by DXA (Lunar prodigy advance, GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK) after an

overnight fast in the supine position whilst wearing a light cotton robe. Off-line analysis (encore 2006 v

10.50.086) identified whole body lean mass and body fat percentage, arm and leg lean mass and bone

mineral content (22). Appendicular lean mass muscle mass (ALMM) was calculated as: [(lean mass of legs +

lean mass of arms) – (bone mineral content of legs + bone mineral content of arms)] (14).

A 0.25-T magnetic resonance (MRI) scanner (G-scan, Esaote Biomedica, Genoa, Italy) was used to collect

transverse plane sections (Turbo-3D T1-weighted protocol with consecutive 2.8 mm thick slices) from the

dominant leg tibial tubercle through to the anterior-inferior iliac spine with participants in the supine position

(23). Osirix imaging software was used to estimate the anatomical cross-sectional areas of each of the four

heads of the quadriceps muscles from transverse-plane images at 25 mm intervals from the distal to the

proximal ends of the quadriceps. These cross-sectional areas were summed and multiplied by the distance

between slices (2.5 cm) to estimate quadriceps muscle volume. The patella tendon moment arm was imaged

with the leg at full extension and estimated from sagittal-plane slices as the distance from the mid-contact

point between the femoral condyles and tibial plateau to the patella tendon. The moment arm length was

multiplied by 0.99 to adjust for the difference between full knee extension to 90° flexion (24) (the angle at

which MVC was measured) and the resulting value was multiplied by 1.14 to adjust for the 14% increase in

moment arm in transition from rest to maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) (25). This technique has a

coefficient of variation of < 4% (26).

Maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) and voluntary activation

A custom-built isometric dynamometer was used to assess knee extension MVC torque of the dominant leg

with participants sitting with the knee and hip angles at 90°. A strap was firmly secured across the hip joint

and the lower leg securely strapped to the force transducer 2 cm above the malleolus. The linear distance

from the estimated centre of knee rotation to the point of force application (2 cm above the malleolus) was

taken as the lever length (in m). Torque was estimated as force multiplied by lever length. Force signals

sampled at 2000 Hz were digitised for real-time visual display and for recording on a computer interface

running Labview and a customised Matlab script (Matlab, the Mathwork Inc., S Natik, MA, USA).

Participants were familiarised with the knee extension exercise by performing up to five contractions at

around 50% of maximal effort each lasting 3 s, and another two contractions at around 80% maximal effort.

After a 2 min rest, participants performed a maximal isometric contraction, sustained for 3 s with visual

feedback and strong verbal encouragement and this was repeated a further two times. The highest recorded

torque was taken as MVC. The patella tendon force was estimated from the moment equilibrium equation

around the knee joint (27) by dividing the MVC torque by the patella tendon moment arm length.

Voluntary activation was assessed using a version of the interpolated twitch technique (28, 29) with

stimulating electrodes covering the proximal and distal portions of the quadriceps (AmericanImex:

Dispersive electrode, 4 x 7 inch), connected to a Digitimer DS7AH set at 400 V (Welwyn Garden City, UK)

and current increased to deliver supramaximal 'doublet' (two 200-µs pulses separated by 10 ms) stimuli over

the quadriceps muscle group. Stimulation was applied to the relaxed muscle 1 s prior to a maximal voluntary

effort and then again at the highest point of the MVC. In the cross-sectional study, a third doublet was also

applied 2 s after the MVC. The voluntary activation test was performed twice and the result giving the

highest voluntary activation was accepted. The percentage voluntary activation was calculated as:

% voluntary activation =  $100 \times (1 - t/T)$ 

Where t was the amplitude of the superimposed doublet (i.e. the size of the additional peak) and T the value

of the doublet applied to the resting muscle 1 s prior to MVC.

Physiological cross sectional area (PCSA) and in situ specific force

PCSA was calculated for each quadriceps muscle as: [muscle volume / fascicle length], and the sum taken as

quadriceps PCSA (30).

The fascicle length and pennation angle used in these calculations were estimated using real-time B-mode

ultrasonography with a 7.5-MHz linear array probe. Measurements were collected at the mid belly of each of

the four heads of the quadriceps muscles in the sagittal plane at the moment of peak force during MVC

contractions (26). Imaging software (Image J; v1.39b; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda; USA) was

used to determine muscle fascicle length from the superficial to the deep aponeurosis. Pennation angle was

determined as the angle at which the fascicles intercepted the deep aponeurosis. Thickness was measured as

the perpendicular distance between the superficial and deep aponeurosis.

For calculations of *in situ* specific force, the quadriceps PCSA was multiplied by the cosine of the fascicle

pennation angle to account for the reduction in transmission of forces from fibres to aponeurosis to adjust for

the angle between the fascicles and the line of pull through the patella tendon. Specific force was estimated

as: [(external torque / moment arm) / (PCSA \* pennation angle)] or simplified to: [Patella tendon force /

(PCSA \* pennation angle)] (26). The external force used in the calculation of specific force was the "true

MVC", which is the estimated MVC if full voluntary activation was possible: True MVC = MVC

immediately prior to the superimposed doublet /(1 - t/T). Where t was the amplitude of the superimposed

doublet (i.e. the size of the additional peak) and T the value of the doublet applied to the resting muscle 1 s

prior to MVC.

Muscle morphology

Muscle biopsies available from young and old participants were taken using a conchotome from midway

along the length of the right vastus lateralis muscle (VL) under aseptic conditions and local anaesthesia (1%

lignocaine). The samples were placed on cork with fibres running vertically and immediately frozen in liquid

nitrogen whilst shaking vigorously to avoid freezing artefacts. Muscle sections were stained for myosin

ATPase activity after preincubation at pH 4.35 to identify type I and type II fibres and determination of the

fibre cross-sectional areas (FCSA). Serial sections were stained with Sirius Red to assess the collagen

content and analysed using a customised Matlab programme. The total numbers of fibres in the VL PCSA

was estimated as: [VL PCSA / average FCSA]. Biopsies were not collected in the longitudinal follow-up

study.

Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test showed that all data were normally distributed. A two-way ANOVA was used to test

for age and gender effects of outcome parameters. Pearson's Product Moment Correlations were used to

assess the relationship between variables. Changes occurring over the 5-year follow-up period were assessed

using paired samples t-tests. Two stepwise multiple regression models were used to identify factors

associated with MVC torque: the first for baseline and the second for follow-up changes. Both included

quadriceps volume, voluntary activation, VL fascicle pennation angle, patella tendon moment arm length,

age and gender. The second model was adapted to examine predictors of longitudinal changes in MVC

torque and used the percentage changes in each of these variables. Standardised beta coefficients (β) indicate

the change in standard deviation of MVC torque per standard deviation change in the independent variable. Statistical testing was completed using SPSS (IBM v.23. USA) and significance was accepted as P<0.05. Results are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD), unless otherwise stated.



**Results** 

Muscle size, strength and specific force

Table 1 provides the data for grip strength, TUG and 6-min walk, as well as ALMM and ALMM/h<sup>2</sup> and the

data show the older adults to be sarcopenic. There were no significant age x gender interactions for any of

the measurements, indicating that the effects of age described here apply similarly to men and women.

The MVC torque (Figure 1A) and peak patella tendon force (Figure 1B) in old were 37% and 39%,

respectively, lower than values for young. Quadriceps PCSA was 25% lower in old than young (Figure 1C)

and was positively correlated ( $R^2$ =0.598; P<0.001) with tendon force (Figure 1D). The in situ specific force

value for old was 83% of values for young (Figure 2; P<0.001).

During the 5 years of follow up, components of sarcopenia including ALMM and performance in the TUG

and 6-min walk tests all decreased (Table 1). The percentage decrease from baseline values included 12%

(±13) lower MVC torque, 6% (±9) lower quadriceps muscle volume, 5% (±9) lower PCSA and 4% (±6)

lower voluntary activation (all P < 0.05), but the *in situ* specific force did not change significantly (3% ( $\pm 11$ );

Figure 3).

Predictors of MVC torque

The regression model based on data from young and old explained 83% of the variation in external torque.

The majority was due to quadriceps volume (adjusted  $R^2=0.765$ ;  $\beta=0.727$ ; P<0.001) and a small contribution

of age (adjusted  $R^2$ =0.057;  $\beta$ =-0.286; P<0.001). Gender, pennation angle, voluntary activation and moment

arm length did not contribute significantly to the model. A similar result was found if quadriceps PCSA was

used in the model rather than quadriceps muscle volume. The remaining 17% of the variation in external

torque not explained by any variables in this model includes the contribution of muscle specific force.

The regression model based on longitudinal data explained 72% of the change in external torque, with the

main factor being change in quadriceps volume (adjusted  $R^2=0.510$ ;  $\beta=0.730$ ; P<0.001) and a contribution of

change in voluntary activation (adjusted  $R^2=0.210$ ;  $\beta=-0.460$ ; P=0.001). Gender, age, pennation angle and

moment arm length did not contribute significantly to the model.

Muscle fibre cross sectional area and estimated fibre numbers per PCSA

Since the results comparing young with old and the longitudinal study point towards loss of muscle mass

being the main determinant of low MVC torque in older age, additional analysis was completed to determine

the relative contributions of fibre atrophy and fibre loss to the difference between young and old in VL

PCSA.

The relative area occupied by type I and type II fibres did not differ significantly between young and old

(P=0.423) or between men and women (P=0.726) (type I: young men:  $38.8 \pm 11.7$ ; young women:  $37.3 \pm 11.7$ ; young women:  $38.8 \pm 11.7$ ; y

8.8; older men:  $42.0 \pm 12.8$ ; older women:  $40.8 \pm 7.4\%$ ).

There was no significant difference between young and old in type I FCSA (P=0.487), but type II fibres had

26% lower FCSA in old (P<0.001; Table 2). Considering the type I and type II fibres together, the overall

FCSA was 15% lower in old than young, which would give approximately 9.5 cm<sup>2</sup> smaller VL PCSA in old

than young. However, the actual VL PCSA was 17.5 cm<sup>2</sup> (28%) smaller in old than young, suggesting that

fibre atrophy alone accounts for approximately 54% of the overall muscle atrophy and the remainder (46%)

is due to old having fewer fibres than young. The estimated numbers of muscle fibres per VL PCSA (PCSA

divided by FCSA) was 1.22 million in young and 1.03 million (15% fewer) in old. The old had a higher

proportion of connective tissue than young (11.3  $\pm$  1.0% in young and 14.2  $\pm$  1.4% in old). Taking into

account this 3% difference reduces the estimated number of fibres per VL PCSA to 1 million in old, which is

18% fewer than the young.

**Discussion** 

We have considered muscle quantity, quality and activation to understand the causes of muscular weakness

(sometimes referred to as dynapenia) in sarcopenia. The results show that 28% lower muscle mass was the

main cause of weakness in old compared with young and a further decrease in muscle mass was the main

predictor of progressing weakness over the follow-up period. In situ specific force was 17% lower in old

compared with young and did not decrease further during the follow-up period. Voluntary activation was

similar for young and old, but the 4% decrease over five years of follow-up contributed to the declining

MVC torque. The lower muscle mass in older age was due in about equal proportions to fibre atrophy and

loss of fibres.

Knee extensor torque and size

Low muscle mass is the criterion measurement for sarcopenia and can be estimated as ALM/h<sup>2</sup>. The average

ALM/h<sup>2</sup> of 6.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for older men and 5.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for older women at baseline were below the recommended

sarcopenia cut-off values of 7.26 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for men and 5.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for women (1). Components of sarcopenia

further declined at follow-up (Table 1). In the results comparing young with older adults there was a 26-28%

lower muscle size (PCSA and volume, respectively) and 37% lower MVC torque. If we assume muscle

declines begin from age 30 years (13, 18, 31, 32), the rate of change is estimated to be 0.9, 0.7 and 0.4% per

year, respectively, for MVC torque, quadriceps size and in situ specific force. A further 12% decline in MVC

was observed over the 5 years follow-up of the older adults. This rate of decline is more than twice that

estimated for the previous 40 years and is generally in agreement with the literature highlighting accelerated

deterioration with advancing older age (33).

Our results suggest that the cause of muscle weakness during ageing to around age 70 years is due to loss of

muscle mass and, to a lesser extent, specific force. An important novel finding of the present study was that

the further weakening into the late 70s is primarily attributable to continued decline of muscle mass and a

lower voluntary activation.

In situ specific force

Recent reports suggest that muscle quality is the major determinant of strength in older age (10, 11). This

literature is largely based on DXA studies to estimate lean mass, where only weak relationships are seen with

MVC torque or force (10, 11, 13). These studies using DXA do not measure the agonist muscle size and in

this respect, the MRI is the criterion technique and computed tomography (CT) is also preferable to DXA

(34). Our results using MRI do not support the literature stating a large discordance between muscle mass

and strength in older adults. Rather, a positive relationship exists between quadriceps PCSA and patella

tendon force in young and old (Figure 1), which is in keeping with the long-standing literature from MRI and

CT imaging (4, 5, 35-38).

A large-scale longitudinal observation of muscle quality that included an accurate (CT) measurement of

muscle size showed around 5% loss of thigh muscle CSA and 16% decrease in MVC force over 5 years in

older men and women (39). This disproportionate loss of strength compared to mass was interpreted as

reduced muscle quality being the decisive factor for weakness (39). However, without a measurement of the

neural activation, these findings alone should not be interpreted in this way because strength can decline due

to subjects being less willing to perform a maximum contraction or less able to activate the motor unit pool,

as we have demonstrated in our results and others have previously shown (19, 40). We calculated voluntary

activation using the superimposed doublet normalized to the pre-MVC doublet, based on the assumption that

the superimposed stimulus activates motor units (muscle fibres) not recruited during the voluntary effort.

Other studies normalized the superimposed stimulus to a stimulus applied 2 s after the MVC when the

muscle response can be potentiated. Our cross-sectional study dataset includes both the "pre-stimulus" and

the "post-stimulus" so we were able to compare the results. The average voluntary activation calculated for

all participants pooled was 90.4% and 90.8% (p<0.001) when using the "pre-stimulus" and the "post-

stimulus", respectively. It therefore made no difference to results if the pre- or the post- stimulus was used to

normalize the superimposed stimulus.

The best estimate of the muscle quality comes from measurement of the *in-situ* specific force, which takes

into account the agonist muscle size, architecture, activation of the motor unit pool and the patella tendon

moment arm length. Our results show 17% lower specific force in old compared with young, which is

similar to the findings of a previous study normalizing knee extensor isokinetic MVC to quadriceps

anatomical cross-sectional area (41). We observed no significant change in specific force over the 5-year

follow-up in older adults. This is the first longitudinal study of *in situ* specific force accounting for patella

tendon force, PCSA and muscle architecture. One previous study measured specific force in a similar way to

us, but comparing young and older plantar flexors. They reported that a 37% lower Achilles tendon force in

older muscle was mostly due to a lower (30%) specific force (21). Their conclusion that muscle quality

changes are more important than muscle quantity differs from our own, but closer inspection of the

published results (21) also reveals 28% lower muscle volume (21), which is in fact in agreement with our

own findings that changes in muscle quantity are playing the largest role in age-related weakness.

The results of the present and a previous publication (26) reveal that the force/ACSA gives a very similar

age-related difference as the more comprehensively measured in situ specific force. In situ specific force is

calculated as: [Patella tendon force / (PCSA \* pennation angle)], where the patella tendon force is the force

that could be produced if full voluntary activation was possible. Since the moment arm and the voluntary

activation did not differ between young and old, the tendon force decreased proportional to that of torque.

Furthermore, the lower PCSA in old was mainly due to a change in muscle volume because the fascicle

length was similar for old and young and age-dependent differences in pennation angle have minimal

influence on force transmission to the tendon. Thus, the age-dependent differences for in situ specific force

are reasonably estimated from force/ACSA.

In mice (42), connective tissue accumulation was associated with lower specific force. The small increase in

connective tissue in old compared with young in our study explains at best just 3% of the difference between

young and old in specific force, since connective tissue makes up a relatively small proportion of the overall

muscle. The lower specific force is likely due to lower specific tension of individual muscle fibres in old

compared with young (43-45). We recently reported 16% lower single fibre specific tension in old compared

with young (45) and this matches the estimates of the *in situ* specific force made in the present study.

The age-dependent muscle atrophy

Our results are consistent with previous reports that type II fibres are highly susceptible to age-related

atrophy, while type I FCSA is well preserved (e.g. see (6, 7, 9, 46)). However, surprisingly little information

is available about muscle fibre numbers in humans and the scarcity of information limits current

understanding of the contributions of muscle fibre changes underpinning the overall atrophy. In the present

study, fibre atrophy accounted for 54% of the difference between the PCSA of young and old. The remaining

46% is presumably due to fibre losses and we estimate that the old had around 200,000 fewer fibres than

young in the VL cross section. Despite the selective type II atrophy, the relative area occupied by type I and

type II fibres did not differ between young and old, which must mean that a greater number of type I fibres

than type II is lost to balance the reduction in type II FCSA. These findings are in general agreement with

data from autopsy examinations of the VL muscle, suggesting that loss of fibres and type II fibre atrophy

both contribute to the loss of VL muscle mass with ageing, although the autopsy studies indicate similar

proportional losses of type I and type II fibres (8, 46).

A different conclusion was reached by Nilwick et al (9), who reported that young and old men had similar

fibre numbers and that loss of muscle mass with ageing was due to type II fibre atrophy only. Notably,

Nilwick et al's (9) older subjects were not sarcopenic and thus had much larger quadriceps anatomical cross-

sectional area (QACSA: 59 cm<sup>2</sup> in our subjects and approximately 68 cm<sup>2</sup> in Nilwik et al. (9)), but similar

FCSA and therefore higher fibre numbers than our older, sarcopenic participants. These differences between

studies may reflect differences between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic old, or differences in the ageing

process due to lifestyle and habitual activity patterns.

Our estimate of 200,000 fewer fibres in the VL cross-section of old compared to young is similar to Lexell et

al (8) who estimated about 264,000 fewer fibres in septuagenarians compared with young adults. Lexell's (8)

data show fibre numbers decline after age 30 years, which is the same age that muscle mass begins to

decrease (13, 18, 31, 32). It is highly likely that the other quadriceps muscles age in a similar way based on

the fact that the different quadriceps muscles experience the same degree of atrophy (23) and undergo similar

motor unit remodelling (47, 48). Given that the VL accounts for about 30% of the quadriceps mass, it can be

estimated that 20,000 fibres are lost in each quadriceps muscle per year, or 40,000 fibres across both quadriceps muscles per year, from age 30 years, assuming linear progressive declines. The loss of fibres may be linked to declining numbers of motor units, as old have 30-50% fewer leg motor neurons than young adults (47, 49). A resistance training programme will help to recover the type II fibre atrophy (9, 50) and improve specific force (27), but is unlikely to recover lost fibres or motor units.

### **Conclusions**

The *in situ* specific force declines relatively early during ageing and reduced voluntary activation of muscle occurs later, but the overall weakness in sarcopenia is mainly related to loss of both type I and type II muscle fibres and type II fibre atrophy.

#### REFERENCES

- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Age and ageing. 2010;39:412-423. DOI 10.1093/ageing/afq034
- Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 2001;**56**:M146-156.
- Clark BC, Manini TM. Functional consequences of sarcopenia and dynapenia in the elderly. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2010;13:271-276. DOI 10.1097/MCO.0b013e328337819e
- Bamman MM, Newcomer BR, Larson-Meyer DE, Weinsier RL, Hunter GR. Evaluation of the strength-size relationship in vivo using various muscle size indices. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32:1307-1313.
- Maughan RJ, Watson JS, Weir J. Strength and cross-sectional area of human skeletal muscle. J Physiol. 1983;338:37-49.
- Andersen JL. Muscle fibre type adaptation in the elderly human muscle. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2003;**13**:40-47. DOI 0299 [pii]
- Barnouin Y, McPhee JS, Butler-Browne G, Bosutti A, De Vito G, Jones DA, et al. Coupling between skeletal muscle fiber size and capillarization is maintained during healthy aging. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2017;8:647-659. DOI 10.1002/jcsm.12194
- Lexell J, Taylor CC, Sjostrom M. What is the cause of the ageing atrophy? Total number, size and proportion of different fiber types studied in whole vastus lateralis muscle from 15- to 83-year-old men. J Neurol Sci. 1988;84:275-294.
- Nilwik R, Snijders T, Leenders M, Groen BB, van Kranenburg J, Verdijk LB, et al. The decline in skeletal muscle mass with aging is mainly attributed to a reduction in type II muscle fiber size. Exp Gerontol. 2013;48:492-498. DOI 10.1016/j.exger.2013.02.012

- 10. Senechal M, Johannsen NM, Swift DL, Earnest CP, Lavie CJ, Blair SN, *et al.* Association between Changes in Muscle Quality with Exercise Training and Changes in Cardiorespiratory Fitness Measures in Individuals with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Results from the HART-D Study. PLoS One. 2015;**10**:e0135057. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0135057
- 11. Clark BC, Manini TM. Sarcopenia =/= dynapenia. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2008;**63**:829-834. DOI 63/8/829 [pii]
- 12. Chen L, Nelson DR, Zhao Y, Cui Z, Johnston JA. Relationship between muscle mass and muscle strength, and the impact of comorbidities: a population-based, cross-sectional study of older adults in the United States. BMC Geriatr. 2013;13:74. DOI 10.1186/1471-2318-13-74
- 13. Lynch NA, Metter EJ, Lindle RS, Fozard JL, Tobin JD, Roy TA, *et al.* Muscle quality. I. Age-associated differences between arm and leg muscle groups. J Appl Physiol (1985). 1999;**86**:188-194.
- 14. Goodpaster BH, Park SW, Harris TB, Kritchevsky SB, Nevitt M, Schwartz AV, *et al.* The loss of skeletal muscle strength, mass, and quality in older adults: the health, aging and body composition study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2006;**61**:1059-1064. DOI 61/10/1059 [pii]
- 15. Hughes VA, Frontera WR, Wood M, Evans WJ, Dallal GE, Roubenoff R, *et al.* Longitudinal muscle strength changes in older adults: influence of muscle mass, physical activity, and health. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;**56**:B209-217.
- 16. McGregor RA, Cameron-Smith D, Poppitt SD. It is not just muscle mass: a review of muscle quality, composition and metabolism during ageing as determinants of muscle function and mobility in later life. Longev Healthspan. 2014;**3**:9. DOI 10.1186/2046-2395-3-9
- 17. Hairi NN, Cumming RG, Naganathan V, Handelsman DJ, Le Couteur DG, Creasey H, *et al.* Loss of muscle strength, mass (sarcopenia), and quality (specific force) and its relationship with functional limitation and physical disability: the Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2010;**58**:2055-2062. DOI 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03145.x
- 18. Moore AZ, Caturegli G, Metter EJ, Makrogiannis S, Resnick SM, Harris TB, *et al.* Difference in muscle quality over the adult life span and biological correlates in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;**62**:230-236. DOI 10.1111/jgs.12653
- 19. Clark BC, Taylor JL. Age-related changes in motor cortical properties and voluntary activation of skeletal muscle. Curr Aging Sci. 2011;4:192-199.
- 20. Degens H, Erskine RM, Morse CI. Disproportionate changes in skeletal muscle strength and size with resistance training and ageing. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2009;**9**:123-129.
- 21. Morse CI, Thom JM, Reeves ND, Birch KM, Narici MV. In vivo physiological cross-sectional area and specific force are reduced in the gastrocnemius of elderly men. J Appl Physiol. 2005;99:1050-1055.
- 22. McPhee JS, Hogrel JY, Maier AB, Seppet E, Seynnes OR, Sipila S, *et al.* Physiological and functional evaluation of healthy young and older men and women: design of the European MyoAge study. Biogerontology. 2013;**14**:325-337. DOI 10.1007/s10522-013-9434-7
- 23. Maden-Wilkinson TM, Degens H, Jones DA, McPhee JS. Comparison of MRI and DXA to measure muscle size and age-related atrophy in thigh muscles. Journal of musculoskeletal & neuronal interactions. 2013;**13**:282-290.
- 24. Baltzopoulos V. A videofluoroscopy method for optical distortion correction and measurement of knee-joint kinematics. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 1995;**10**:85-92.
- 25. Tsaopoulos DE, Baltzopoulos V, Richards PJ, Maganaris CN. In vivo changes in the human patellar tendon moment arm length with different modes and intensities of muscle contraction. J Biomech. 2007;**40**:3325-3332. DOI S0021-9290(07)00201-1 [pii] 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.05.005
- 26. Erskine RM, Jones DA, Maganaris CN, Degens H. In vivo specific tension of the human quadriceps femoris muscle. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2009;**106**:827-838. DOI 10.1007/s00421-009-1085-7
- 27. Reeves ND, Narici MV, Maganaris CN. Effect of resistance training on skeletal muscle-specific force in elderly humans. J Appl Physiol. 2004;**96**:885-892. DOI 10.1152/japplphysiol.00688.2003 00688.2003

[pii]

- 28. Rutherford OM, Jones DA, Newham DJ. Clinical and experimental application of the percutaneous twitch superimposition technique for the study of human muscle activation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1986;49:1288-1291.
- 29. McPhee JS, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Narici MV, Jones DA, Degens H. Knee extensor fatigue resistance of young and older men and women performing sustained and brief intermittent isometric contractions. Muscle & nerve. 2014;**50**:393-400. DOI 10.1002/mus.24174
- 30. Narici M. Human skeletal muscle architecture studied in vivo by non-invasive imaging techniques: functional significance and applications. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 1999;**9**:97-103.
- 31. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang ZM, Ross R. Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18-88 yr. J Appl Physiol. 2000;**89**:81-88.
- 32. Silva AM, Shen W, Heo M, Gallagher D, Wang Z, Sardinha LB, *et al.* Ethnicity-related skeletal muscle differences across the lifespan. Am J Hum Biol. 2010;**22**:76-82. DOI 10.1002/ajhb.20956
- 33. Mitchell WK, Williams J, Atherton P, Larvin M, Lund J, Narici M. Sarcopenia, dynapenia, and the impact of advancing age on human skeletal muscle size and strength; a quantitative review. Front Physiol. 2012;3:260. DOI 10.3389/fphys.2012.00260
- 34. Lustgarten MS, Fielding RA. Assessment of analytical methods used to measure changes in body composition in the elderly and recommendations for their use in phase II clinical trials. J Nutr Health Aging. 2011:**15**:368-375.
- 35. Hakkinen K, Hakkinen A. Muscle cross-sectional area, force production and relaxation characteristics in women at different ages. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1991;62:410-414.
- 36. Young A, Stokes M, Crowe M. Size and strength of the quadriceps muscles of old and young women. Eur J Clin Invest. 1984;**14**:282-287.
- 37. Overend TJ, Cunningham DA, Kramer JF, Lefcoe MS, Paterson DH. Knee extensor and knee flexor strength: cross-sectional area ratios in young and elderly men. J Gerontol. 1992;47:M204-210.
- 38. Rutherford OM, Jones DA. The relationship of muscle and bone loss and activity levels with age in women. Age Ageing. 1992;**21**:286-293.
- 39. Delmonico MJ, Harris TB, Visser M, Park SW, Conroy MB, Velasquez-Mieyer P, *et al.* Longitudinal study of muscle strength, quality, and adipose tissue infiltration. Am J Clin Nutr. 2009;**90**:1579-1585. DOI 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28047
- 40. Harridge SD, Kryger A, Stensgaard A. Knee extensor strength, activation, and size in very elderly people following strength training. Muscle & nerve. 1999;22:831-839.
- 41. Jubrias SA, Odderson IR, Esselman PC, Conley KE. Decline in isokinetic force with age: muscle cross-sectional area and specific force. Pflugers Arch. 1997;**434**:246-253. DOI 10.1007/s004240050392
- 42. Ballak SB, Degens H, Buse-Pot T, de Haan A, Jaspers RT. Plantaris muscle weakness in old mice: relative contributions of changes in specific force, muscle mass, myofiber cross-sectional area, and number. Age (Dordr). 2014;**36**:9726. DOI 10.1007/s11357-014-9726-0
- 43. D'Antona G, Pellegrino MA, Adami R, Rossi R, Carlizzi CN, Canepari M, *et al.* The effect of ageing and immobilization on structure and function of human skeletal muscle fibres. J Physiol. 2003;**552**:499-511. DOI 10.1113/jphysiol.2003.046276 jphysiol.2003.046276 [pii]
- 44. Frontera WR, Suh D, Krivickas LS, Hughes VA, Goldstein R, Roubenoff R. Skeletal muscle fiber quality in older men and women. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2000;**279**:C611-618.
- 45. Brocca L, McPhee JS, Longa E, Canepari M, Seynnes O, De Vito G, *et al.* Structure and function of human muscle fibres and muscle proteome in physically active older men. J Physiol. 2017;**595**:4823-4844. DOI 10.1113/JP274148
- 46. Lexell J, Taylor CC. Variability in muscle fibre areas in whole human quadriceps muscle: effects of increasing age. J Anat. 1991;**174**:239-249.

- 47. Piasecki M, Ireland A, Stashuk D, Hamilton-Wright A, Jones DA, McPhee JS. Age-related neuromuscular changes affecting human vastus lateralis. J Physiol. 2016;**594**:4525-4536. DOI 10.1113/JP271087
- 48. Ling SM, Conwit RA, Ferrucci L, Metter EJ. Age-associated changes in motor unit physiology: observations from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2009;**90**:1237-1240. DOI 10.1113/JP271087
- 49. Tomlinson BE, Irving D. The numbers of limb motor neurons in the human lumbosacral cord throughout life. J Neurol Sci. 1977;**34**:213-219.
- 50. Doherty TJ. Invited review: Aging and sarcopenia. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2003;**95**:1717-1727. DOI 10.1152/japplphysiol.00347.2003

**Table 1: Participant characteristics** 

Baseline study of young and older adults							Longitudinal study of older adults				
	YM	YW	OM	OW	P value:	P value:	O vs	Baseline	Follow-up	P value:	Change
	(n = 16)	(n = 15)	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	gender	age	Y (%)	(n = 23)	(n = 23)		(%)
Age (yrs)	23 ± 4	22 ± 2	72 ± 5	71 ± 4		16	)	71 ± 4	$76 \pm 4$		
Height (m)	$1.79 \pm 0.06$	$1.67 \pm 0.06$	$1.74 \pm 0.08$	$1.60 \pm 0.07$	<0.001	0.001	-3	$1.68 \pm 0.10$	$1.67 \pm 0.10$	0.001	-1
Body mass (kg)	$70.6 \pm 8.3$	$61.2 \pm 10.7$	$78.9 \pm 14.4$	$67.3 \pm 12.0$	0.008	0.014	11	$73.2 \pm 14.8$	$73.4 \pm 16.1$	0.730	0
BMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	$21.3 \pm 2.2$	$21.6 \pm 3.6$	$25.9 \pm 2.8$	$26.3 \pm 4.1$	0.625	<0.001	19	$26.0 \pm 4.8$	$26.4 \pm 4.7$	0.126	1
Body fat (%)	$16.2 \pm 6.6$	$29.6 \pm 8.4$	$30.2 \pm 7.8$	$39.7 \pm 8.3$	< 0.001	< 0.001	47	$32.6 \pm 10.4$	$33.5 \pm 10.1$	0.049	3
ALM (kg)	$24.2 \pm 1.9$	15.1 ± 1.9	$20.9 \pm 4.0$	$13.1 \pm 2.1$	< 0.001	<0.001	-13	$19.9 \pm 5.0$	$19.3 \pm 4.7$	< 0.001	-3
$ALM \cdot h^{-2} (kg \cdot m^{-2})$	$7.6 \pm 0.6$	$5.4 \pm 0.5$	$6.9 \pm 0.8$	$5.1 \pm 0.7$	< 0.001	0.005	-8	$6.9 \pm 1.0$	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.070	-1
Grip strength (kg)	$48.6 \pm 12.1$	$34.3 \pm 6.6$	$37.6 \pm 7.7$	$25.3 \pm 4.5$	< 0.001	<0.001	-24	$32.2 \pm 9.0$	$33.1 \pm 7.9$	0.799	3
TUG (s)	$3.9 \pm 0.4$	$4.2 \pm 0.3$	$5.1 \pm 0.8$	$5.6 \pm 1.0$	0.083	<0.001	32	$5.2 \pm 0.7$	$6.6 \pm 1.2$	< 0.001	27
6 min walk (m)	$735 \pm 40$	683 ± 45	562 ± 60	551 ± 87	0.081	<0.001	-21	563 ± 79	507 ± 69	<0.001	-10

Data shown as mean ± SD. *Abbreviations*: YM: young men; YW; young women; OM: older men; OW: older women. BMI: body mass index; ALM: appendicular lean muscle mass; TUG: timed-up-and-go.

**Table 2: Skeletal muscle characteristics** 

	YM	YW	OM	OW	P value:	P value:	O vs Y
	(n = 16)	(n = 15)	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	gender	age	(%)
Voluntary activation (%)	90.1± 3.7	$92.2 \pm 3.8$	$88.5 \pm 5.9$	$90.9 \pm 5.1$	0.073	0.252	-1
Moment arm (cm)	$4.0 \pm 0.2$	$3.3 \pm 0.3$	$4.0 \pm 0.3$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	< 0.001	0.447	3
R. leg lean mass (kg)	$10.3 \pm 1.0$	$6.5 \pm 0.8$	$8.8 \pm 1.6$	$5.6 \pm 0.8$	< 0.001	< 0.001	-14
R. Quads mass (kg)	$2.2 \pm 0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	< 0.001	< 0.001	-28
VL fascicle length (mm)	90.6 ± 11.3	$86.6 \pm 12.0$	$95.0 \pm 13.9$	$88.4 \pm 10.1$	0.073	0.290	4
VL Pennation angle (deg)	$15.3 \pm 2.9$	$13.8 \pm 1.8$	$12.3 \pm 2.1$	11.9 ± 1.4	0.061	< 0.001	-17
VL Thickness (mm)	$25.2 \pm 3.2$	$19.9 \pm 2.9$	$20.4 \pm 3.5$	$17.9 \pm 2.8$	< 0.001	< 0.001	-15
VI fascicle length (mm)	$100.5 \pm 11.0$	$92.5 \pm 10.5$	$93.3 \pm 12.6$	$87.4 \pm 11.2$	0.014	0.028	-6
VI Pennation angle (deg)	$12.0 \pm 2.5$	$10.9 \pm 2.0$	$11.9 \pm 2.7$	$10.5 \pm 1.7$	0.025	0.699	-2
VI Thickness (mm)	21.1 ± 4.9	$18.2 \pm 4.0$	$19.0 \pm 3.1$	$15.9 \pm 3.6$	0.002	0.026	-11
RF fascicle length (mm)	$76.7 \pm 16.0$	$70.7 \pm 10.8$	$78.3 \pm 20.0$	71.9 ± 19.7	0.150	0.738	2
RF Pennation angle (deg)	$19.2 \pm 4.0$	$18.0 \pm 2.4$	$15.6 \pm 3.1$	$14.9 \pm 2.7$	0.084	<0.001	-20
RF Thickness (mm)	$23.6 \pm 4.2$	$19.9 \pm 4.0$	$20.4 \pm 4.3$	$17.8 \pm 5.0$	0.005	0.015	-12

VM fascicle length (mm)	$94.7 \pm 13.1$	$74.1 \pm 15.9$	$87.5 \pm 12.0$	$74.9 \pm 17.7$	< 0.001	0.375	-4
VM Pennation angle (deg)	$20.8 \pm 4.3$	$19.5 \pm 7.2$	$19.6 \pm 3.2$	$15.3 \pm 2.7$	0.011	0.014	-13
VM Thickness (mm)	$31.3 \pm 5.1$	$21.5 \pm 3.4$	$26.6 \pm 4.2$	$19.3 \pm 4.8$	<0.001	0.002	-13
Type I FCSA (μm²)	$4880 \pm 690$	$4180 \pm 920$	$5230 \pm 1940$	4160 ± 1190	0.708	0.487	4
Type II FCSA (μm²)	$6110 \pm 1330$	$4600 \pm 650$	$5000 \pm 1440$	$2960 \pm 500$	<0.001	< 0.001	-26

Data shown as mean ± SD. *Abbreviations*: YM: young men; YW; young women; OM: older men; OW: older women; FCSA: fibre cross-sectional area (available from 13 young men, 8 young women, 20 older men and 10 older women); VL: vastus lateralis; VI: vastus intermedius; RF: rectus femoris; VM: vastus medialis.

Figures and legends

Figure 1: Knee extensor size and strength measurements in young and older men and women. A: knee extensor MCV torque; B: MVC patella tendon force; C:

quadriceps physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA); D: the relationship between patella tendon force and quadriceps PCSA for young (shaded circles, continuous

line) and older (filled circles, dashed line) adults. Data shown as mean ± SD (Figs 1A-C) and individual data points (Fig 1D). Abbreviations: MVC: maximal

voluntary contraction; PCSA: quadriceps physiological cross sectional area; YM: young men; YW: young women; OM: older men; OW: older women. P values

indicate the results of a two-way ANOVA; age x gender interactions were not significant for any of these measurements.

Figure 2: Knee extensor in situ specific force. Data shown as mean ± SD. YM: young men; YW; young women; OM: older men; OW: older women. P values

indicate the results of a two-way ANOVA; there was no significant age x gender interaction.

Figure 3. Five-year changes to muscle torque, size, activation and specific force. Data from older adults only and shown as mean ± SD. \* indicates significant

change from baseline

Figure 1




Figure 2






Figure 3

