

# Reconsidering current objectives for physical activity within physical education

HOBBS, Matthew, DALY-SMITH, Andrew, MCKENNA, Jim, QUARMBY, Thomas and MORLEY, David <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4389-8573">http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4389-8573</a>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/15904/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

#### Citation:

HOBBS, Matthew, DALY-SMITH, Andrew, MCKENNA, Jim, QUARMBY, Thomas and MORLEY, David (2017). Reconsidering current objectives for physical activity within physical education. British Journal of Sports Medicine, bjsports-2016. [Article]

#### Copyright and re-use policy

See <a href="http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html">http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html</a>

#### 1 Article Title: Reconsidering current objectives for physical activity within physical

- 2 education
- 3

#### 4 Corresponding author:

- 5 Matthew Hobbs
- 6 Email: m.hobbs@leedstrinity.ac.uk
- 7 Telephone number: 01132837100
- 8 AGB9,
- 9 Leeds Trinity University
- 10 LS18 5HD,
- 11 Leeds,
- 12 England.
- 13

### 14 Author information:

<sup>1,2</sup>Hobbs, M., <sup>1</sup>Daly-Smith, A., <sup>1</sup>McKenna, J., <sup>1</sup>Quarmby, T. and <sup>3</sup>Morley, D.

16

## 17 Author affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>Carnegie School of Sport, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK.
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>2</sup>School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK.
- <sup>3</sup>Academy of Sport & Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK.
- 21

23

- 22 **Word count** (excluding abstract, tables and references): 798 words
- 24 **Key words**: children, health, physical activity, physical education,

# 2526 Abstract

Children's participation in physical activity (PA) has important positive benefits for 27 their health and academic outcomes. Within the school day, physical education (PE) 28 is increasingly endorsed as a key time for children to accumulate PA. Despite this 29 increasing emphasis, research papers and policy documents frequently identify PE 30 lessons as 'not active enough'. However, contemporary objectives for sufficient PA in 31 PE may not be based on the highest quality evidence. Furthermore, while the 32 objectives appear compatible, they contain profound differences. Continued pursuit 33 of these objectives may be detrimental to achieving positive experiences of PA in 34 PE. For instance, an exclusive focus on PA objectives may encourage teachers to 35 prioritise fitness-based activities over others that young people enjoy. Pursuing 36 short-term goals for PA also risks investing limited lesson time to develop important 37 elements of physical literacy that only become developed after prolonged 38 39 engagement and practice. Importantly, what is at stake is not only achieving sufficient PA in PE, but also encouraging lifelong participation in PA and the long-40 term health of today's children. 41

42

Even though physical activity (PA) contributes to better health, many young people 43 fail to achieve the target of 60-minutes per day (1). The whole school day, and within 44 that Physical Education (PE), are increasingly seen as important opportunities to 45 accumulate PA (1-3). Paradoxically, even though school days including PE are more 46 active than those that are not (3), PE is frequently identified as insufficiently active 47 (4). Research papers and policy documents commonly use two objectives, 48 advocated by organisations within the UK (3) and the USA (5), to ascertain if PE is 49 active enough (Table 1). However, each objective lacks grounding in contemporary 50 evidence and, despite assumptions of their equivalence, contain profound 51 52 differences. Furthermore, over-diligent pursuit of these objectives by research and policy may result in teachers prioritising fitness-based activities over others, such as 53 those that develop physical literacy (6). This is despite increased fundamental 54 movement skill competency, a key component of physical literacy, predicting 55 increased adolescent PA (6). 56

57

Table 1: A summary of objectives to increase activity within physical education

58 59

Organisation	Objective for 'sufficient' PA
Association for Physical Education (AfPE) (3)	Students should be <i>actively moving</i> for at least 50- 80% of the available learning time
The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) (5)	Students should engage in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity ( <i>MVPA</i> ) for >50% of the time they spend in PE class

60

The evidence underpinning current objectives (Table 1) is anachronistic, particularly 61 62 as objective measures of PA are now used to evaluate PA in PE (4). The Association for Physical Education (AfPE) objective fails to cite evidence that informs the 63 recommended duration and intensity of PA in PE (3). The US Department for Health 64 and Human Services (HHS) objective is based on a combination of evidence - all of 65 it low guality; self-reported time spent playing sport, expert advice, interpretations 66 drawn from behavioural theory and a selection of exemplary practice (2,7). This 67 68 misalignment, predominantly arising from the discrepancy between self-report and objective measurement of PA, may be one explanation why few contemporary PE 69 lessons are deemed 'active enough' (4,8). 70

71

A plethora of research, including our own (8), fails to recognise and/or acknowledge these important differences between objectives. This issue is best illustrated by a recent meta-analysis (4), which concluded; objectively measured PA during PE met neither the HHS nor the AfPE objectives for >50% of PE in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA). However, Table 1 clearly shows how only HHS specified a threshold of MVPA intensity.

78

As we move towards research informed practice, it is essential that objectives for PA in PE are appropriate. The uncompromising pursuit of these objectives by research and policy (4,8) is concerning as it may cause teachers to focus on PA, at the expense of fostering an enjoyment of PA or developing physical literacy (2,6). This pursuit has already led to unsubstantiated calls by OFSTED (within the UK, 9) for teachers to engage pupils in sustained periods of high-intensity PA. However, adherence literature demonstrates how sustained, high intensity PA can reduce
 subsequent motivation for PA.

87

A contextualised example highlights the difficulties a teacher may face when trying to 88 achieve the multifaceted outcomes of PE. Imagine this common lesson scenario; the 89 teacher asks pupils to consider how to effectively penetrate a defence in an invasion 90 game. In addition to being 'active enough', children must consider a tactical 91 appreciation of the task, communicate with teammates, allocate roles and 92 responsibilities, and review their success. In this example, the teacher is pursuing an 93 94 appropriately wide range of learner experiences, alongside encouraging PA. While some of this lesson content may have caused inactivity - and conflict with PA 95 objectives - it may be essential to develop the physical literacy that contributes to 96 97 adolescent PA (6).

98

Current objectives for PA in PE need refining as they are underpinned by low-quality 99 evidence and contain unacknowledged differences in PA intensity and duration (2). 100 101 Research must move beyond considering levels of MVPA in isolation. Future research may be warranted to develop an appreciation of how much objectively 102 measured MVPA can be achieved within a typical PE lesson, while meeting the other 103 104 multifaceted aims of PE, for instance, the need for developing physical literacy. While the quest for PA is important, this must not be at the expense of developing 105 physically literate young people. 106

107

Finally, while PE may be reasonably expected to make a substantial contribution to 108 children's daily PA, this must not sacrifice other important PE outcomes. Given their 109 110 long-term value, these other markers of PE quality - such as the enjoyment of PA, or the development of physical literacy - need to be afforded renewed priority, perhaps 111 by explicit integration into future objectives (2,10). To support the development of 112 objectively determined PA objectives, in tandem with achieving the multifaceted 113 requirements of PE, it is essential that education makes a full contribution to these 114 public health debates. Acknowledging that interventions within PE generate only 115 small increases in PA (10), it is now time to look beyond PE as a "silver bullet" for 116 resolving the inactivity crisis, toward all segments of the school day. Importantly, 117 what is at stake is not just achieving PA in PE, but encouraging lifelong participation 118 in PA and the long-term health of children. 119

#### 120 References

- 121 1. Meyer U, Roth R, Zahner L, et al. Contribution of physical education to overall 122 physical activity. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2013;23:600-606.
- Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidelines for school and community programs to promote lifelong physical activity among children and youth. J Sch Health, 1997;67: 202-219.
- Association for Physical Education. *Health Position Paper:* October 2015.
   Worcester: AfPE, 2015.
- Hollis JL, Williams AJ, Sutherland R, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity levels in elementary school physical education lessons. *Prev Med* 2015;86:34-54.
  - 5. US Department for Health and Human Services (HHS). *Strategies to improve the quality of physical education.* Washington: US Department for Health and Human Services, 2010.
- 6. Barnett L, Van Beurden, E et al. Childhood motor skill proficiency as a predictor of adolescent physical activity. *J Adolesc Health* 2009;44:252-259.
- Chong Y, Klein R, Plepys C, and Troiano R. Operational Definitions for Year
   *2000 Objectives: Priority Area 1, Physical Activity and Fitness. 18.* Washington: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998.
- 139 8. Hobbs M, Daly-Smith A, Morley D et al. A case study objectively assessing
  140 female physical activity levels within the National Curriculum for Physical
  141 Education. *Eur Phy Educ Rev* 2015;21:149-161.
- 9. OFSTED. Beyond 2012 outstanding physical education for all. London,
   2013.
- 144 10.McKenzie T, Lounsbery M. The pill not taken: revisiting Physical Education
   145 Teacher Effectiveness in a Public Health Context. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 146 2014;85:287-292.
- 147

131

132

133