A comparison of transcriptomic profiles in endometrium during window of implantation between women with unexplained recurrent implantation failure and recurrent miscarriage
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The endometrium becomes receptive to the embryo only in the mid-luteal phase, but not other stages of the menstrual cycle. Endometrial factors play an important role in implantation. Women with recurrent miscarriage and recurrent implantation failure have both been reported to have altered expression of receptivity markers during the window of implantation. We aimed to compare the gene expression profiles of the endometrium in the window of implantation among women with unexplained recurrent implantation failures (RIF) and unexplained recurrent miscarriages (RM) by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq). In total 20 patients (9 RIF and 11 RM) were recruited. In addition 4 fertile subjects were included as reference. Endometrium samples were precisely timed on the 7th day after luteal hormone surge (LH+7). All the 24 endometrium samples were extracted for total RNA. The transcriptome was determined by RNA-Seq in first 14 RNA samples (5 RIF, 6 RM, and 3 fertile). Differentially expressed genes between RM and RIF were validated by quantitative real time PCR (qPCR) in all 24 RNA samples (9 RIF, 11 RM and 4 fertile). Transcriptomic profiles of RM and RIF, but not control samples, were separated from each other by principle component analysis (PCA) and support vector machine (SVM). Complementary and coagulation cascades pathway was the significantly up-regulated in RIF while down-regulated in RM. Differentially expressed genes C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF and SERPING1 in complement and coagulation cascade pathway between RM and RIF were further validated by qPCR. This study compared endometrial transcriptome among patients with RIF and RM in the window of implantation; it identified differential molecular pathways in endometrium between RIF and RM, which potentially affect the implantation process.
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Introduction

Implantation is a process when the embryo attaches to the endometrium, followed by migration and invasion into the deeper layer of the endometrium to become embedded, which involves a complex sequence of cellular and molecular changes. There are two well defined categories of reproductive failure attributable to implantation disorders, namely recurrent miscarriage (RM) and recurrent implantation failure (RIF). Recurrent implantation failure refers to failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after transfer of at least four good-quality embryos in a minimum of three cycles in a woman under the age of 40 years (Coughlan et al. 2014). The failure usually occurs at an earlier stage, resulting in complete failure to implant or failure to establish the pregnancy. On the other hand, recurrent miscarriage is defined as three or more consecutive clinical miscarriages (Saravelos & Li 2012). It commonly manifests as pregnancy loss later on in the pregnancy, often around 6-8 weeks gestation.

A number of earlier studies suggested that the endometrium in unexplained RM and RIF shared some common pathological changes. For example, both uNK cell count and interleukin 15 expressions have been reported to be increased in the two conditions (Tuckerman et al. 2007, Tuckerman et al. 2010). On the other hand, certain molecules have been found to be deranged in one condition but not the other. A notable example is beta3 integrin, which is down regulated in RM (Germeyer et al. 2014) but not in RIF (Coughlan et al. 2013); whereas leukaemia inhibitory factor is down regulated in RIF (Mariee et al. 2012), but not in RM (Xu et al. 2012, Karaer et al. 2014). In most of these earlier studies, only one specific marker was examined, with the exception of a few which examined up to 3 specific markers at the same time (Xu et al. 2012). The study of a single or a few biomarkers has a limited value especially in the case of implantation as it is a rather complex process involving several well recognized steps (apposition, adhesion, and invasion including angiogenesis) (Fitzgerald et al. 2008), each of which involves many molecules.

An alternative approach which enables the simultaneous study of all different molecules involved in the implantation process is transcriptomic study by using micro-array analysis or RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq). Several studies have used this approach to examine the endometrium in the peri-implantation period but they focused either on the changing transcriptome profiles before and during the window of implantation (Diaz-Gimeno et al. 2011, Hu et al. 2014), or in a specific population such as PCOS (Qiao et al. 2008), RIF (Koler et al. 2009, Altmae et al. 2010, Ruiz-Alonso et al. 2013, Koot et al. 2016) or RM (Othman et al. 2012, Kosova et al. 2015), or under the impact of different hormonal treatment (Mirkin et al. 2004, Haouzi et al. 2009). However, none of the earlier studies employed the RNA sequencing to compare and contrast the transcriptome profiles of endometrium in unexplained RM and RIF.

More recently, Brosen et al (Teklenburg et al. 2010, Brosens et al. 2014, Macklon & Brosens 2014) hypothesized that RM is associated with an over-receptive endometrium which would allow defective or abnormal embryos to implant and in turn leads to super-fertility, but followed by an increased risk of miscarriage of an abnormal embryo. In contrast, in women with RIF, implantation often fails to take place despite the replacement of many good quality embryos, implying that the defect is in the endometrium which is less receptive. The underlying molecular mechanism of altered endometrium receptivity during window of implantation in RM and RIF are still unclear.
In this study, we wish to directly compare the transcriptome profiles of RM and RIF, on precisely timed endometrial specimens obtained seven days after the LH surge (LH+7) with a view to establishing to what extent RM and RIF represent two ends of the spectrum of implantation disorder.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Subjects were recruited from the Prince of Wales Hospital, Chinese University of Hong Kong. The inclusion criteria of all subjects recruited include: age no more than 40 years, with regular cycles (25-35 day), had not used steroid hormone in the preceding 2 months. Women with one or more of the following situations were excluded: peripheral blood showing chromosomal anomaly, tested positive for anticardiolipin antibody or lupus anticoagulant, abnormal thyroid function test, uncorrected uterine anomalies, intra-uterine device in situ, intrauterine adhesions or serious systematic disease. Women with unexplained RIF was defined as failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after transfer of at least four good-quality embryos in a minimum of three cycles in a woman under the age of 40 years, in whom routine investigations had not uncover any obvious cause (Coughlan et al. 2014). Unexplained RM was defined as three or more consecutive miscarriages before 24 weeks of gestation, with no identifiable cause after routine investigations according to an established protocol (Saravelos & Li 2012). Fertile control subjects referred to women who had one or more live birth following spontaneous conception, stopped breastfeeding for more than 6 months, and without any history of spontaneous miscarriage, were also included as reference. In total, 14 women were recruited for transcriptome sequencing as screening, 5 women with unexplained RIF, 6 women with unexplained RM, and 3 fertile. For validation, additional 10 women were included, with 4 unexplained RIF, 5 unexplained RM and 1 fertile.

Endometrial sample

In the cycle of study, all subjects started daily urine LH test from day 9 of the cycle onwards until the LH surge had been identified. An endometrial biopsy was obtained on day LH+7 as an outpatient procedure with the use of a Pipelle® sampler. The samples were immediately snap-frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen for later processing.

RNA extraction and expression calculation

For the first batch of 14 recruited samples (5 with RIF, 6 with RM, 3 fertile), total RNA was extracted from endometrium by TRIzol according to manufacturer’s protocols (Invitrogen). RNA quality and integrity was confirmed by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and Bioanalyzer 2100 Eukaryote Total RNA Pico (Agilent Tech, Inc), respectively. All 14 extracted RNA samples were rRNA depleted by Ribozero (Illumina) and the paired-ends strand-specific libraries were prepared by TrueSeq Stranded Total RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina). All samples were sequenced by Illumina HiSeq2000. After sequencing, low quality reads whose sequencing quality below 20 were trimmed. All reads were mapped to human genome hg38 by Tophat2(Kim et al. 2013) with default parameters. The Reads Per Kilobase Per Million Reads (RPKM) of gene expression was calculated based on the GENCODE v23 annotation (Harrow et al. 2012). All expressions were normalized by quantile normalization method using median
The differential expressed genes (DEGs) were determined by two criteria: (a) the fold change between the means of groups was higher than 1.5; and (b) the p-value calculated from pooled T-test was smaller than 0.05.

 Hierarchical clustering and principle component analysis (PCA)

The expressions of each gene were firstly scaled as follow:

\[ S_{ij} = \frac{R_{ij}}{\max(R_i)} \]

where \( S_{ij} \) is the scaled expression of gene \( i \) in sample \( j \), \( R_{ij} \) is the raw normalized expression of gene \( i \) in sample \( j \), \( \max(R_i) \) is the largest value of gene \( i \) among all samples from RM, RIF and fertile groups. Afterwards the scaled expressions will be used for unsupervised hierarchical clustering and then PCA by R packages gplot (Warnes et al. 2009) and prcomp.

After PCA was done, the vector of each principle component was calculated. In the space constructed by any two principle components \( V_p \) and \( V_q \), the direction of classification which was vertical to the calculated boundary \( aP + bQ = c \) by SVM is \( V_D = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \), where \( a^2 + b^2 = 1 \). Thus the contribution of each gene to the classification direction was calculated by:

\[ aV_p + bV_q = X \]

where \( X \) contains the contribution of the corresponding genes to the classification direction. Support vector machine (SVM) was performed by Python library sklearn 0.17.0 (Pedregosa 2011). Genes whose absolute values of the contribution scores were larger or equal to 0.01 were considered to have significant contribution.

Gene ontology and pathway analysis

Only genes with significant differential expression were retrieved for gene ontology (GO) and pathway analysis. Pathway enrichment was analyzed by DAVID 6.7 (the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) (Risso et al. 2014). The pathways whose correlated p-value (q-value) smaller than 0.05 were considered significantly enriched.

Quantitative RT-PCR

In additional to the 14 sequenced samples, extra 10 independent samples (4 RIF, 5 RM, and 1 fertile) were added to measure relative gene expression using quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qPCR) for validation. TATA-box binding protein (TBP) and ribosomal protein L13a (RPL13A) were used as reference genes for expression normalization. Total RNA was extracted and quality checked as above. One microgram of total RNA was used for reverse transcription. Quantitative PCR was performed by using SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with Roche LightCycler® 480 II. Primer sequences can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Wilcoxon test was used to exam the statistical significance between RIF and RM.

Ethics
Results

The demographics of the recruited subjects are summarized in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, BMI, cycle length and endometrium thickness at the time of biopsy amongst RIF, RM and fertile groups (Table 1).

The reads mapping of all 14 samples for RNA-Seq were satisfactory (Supplementary Figure 1). All samples had over 80% reads mapped to the human genome hg38. The raw sequencing data was uploaded to NCBI with reference BioProject ID: PRJNA314429. Firstly, we explored whether RM, RIF and fertile samples could be separated in the transcriptome profiling. After normalization and scaling of gene expressions, un-supervised hierarchical clustering was performed to all samples (Figure 1). Most RIF and RM samples were clustered to two sub-trees, while fertile samples could not be grouped and were clustered within RIF or RM samples. There were 661 genes significantly up-regulated in RIF compared with RM; whilst 301 genes up-regulated in RM compared with RIF. To further compare and contrast the differences between RIF and RM, fertile samples were excluded and principle component analysis (PCA) was performed. RIF and RM samples showed distinct spatial distribution in the three-dimensional space constructed by the first three components. In the space constructed by the first component and the third component, RIF and RM were perfectly linear separated (Figure 2). The boundary between RIF and RM could be further learnt by SVM, where the classification direction which was vertical to the linear boundary gave the best resolution to distinguish RIF and RM. To identify the genes that contribute the most to the classification direction, the contribution score for each gene was calculated (see Method). The genes with positive contribution scores showed higher expressions in RM, while genes with negative contribution scores showed higher expressions in RIF (Figure 3). Genes whose absolute values of contribution scores were larger or equal to 0.01 were considered to have significant contribution to the classification of RIF and RM, where 183 genes had significant positive contribution and 380 had significant negative contribution.

To investigate which biological and molecular pathway contributed most to the differential transcriptomic pattern between RIF and RM, pathway enrichment analysis was applied on genes with significant contribution on both directions (Figure 3). Pathways with \(-\log(q\text{-value}) > 2\) were considered as significant. The localization of proteins encoded by genes in both directions showed high enrichment in extracellular regions indicated by GO cellular component terms. However, the pathways where they were involved were distinct, which could be revealed by GO biological process terms and KEGG pathways (Figure 4). On negative direction, many responses to wounding and inflammatory genes were predominately enriched, including the most enriched complement and coagulation cascades in KEGG (Figure 5). Several central components of complement and coagulation cascades have significantly higher expressions in RIF than those in RM, with significant t-test p-values less than 0.05. We chose the top six over-expressed genes in RIF from complement cascade, namely C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF and SERPING1, for real time PCR validation (Figure 6). In contrast, on positive direction, genes that were involved in extracellular structure organization and biological adhesion by GO biological process terms and
neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction and calcium signaling pathways in KEGG, were significantly enriched, but only few (2/78) significant differentially expressed genes were identified.

Real time PCR was performed on all 24 samples to validate the differential expression of 6 genes in complement cascade (Figure 6). All the gene expressions were significantly up-regulated in RIF group compared with that of RM with Wilcoxon test, p values less than 0.05. However, the real time PCR results of control group showed great variation, and most of the difference are not significant.

Discussion

In this study, we have found that the transcriptome profiles of the two groups of patients studied (RM and RIF) are distinctively different from one another. In addition, we have found significant amounts of differentially expressed genes (661 up-regulated in RIF and 301 up-regulated in RM) and one distinctively and validated pathway between women with RIF and RM.

Among all the enriched pathways, the complement and coagulation cascades pathway was the most significantly affected pathway with -log(q-values) >4 in KEGG pathway analysis in RIF. This particular pathway was upregulated in RIF patients compared with RM patients, the genes involved in this pathway included C3, C4, C4BP, DAF, DF and SERPING1. All of these 6 up-regulated genes had been validated with qPCR, which confirmed the up-regulation generally existed in patients with unexplained RIF. Reference to Figure 6 showed that C3 expression in RIF was significantly higher than that of control, whereas the expression in RM was not different to that of control subjects. The complement system, represented by complement component 3 (C3), is a proteolytic cascade in plasma and an upstream mediator of innate immunity. It is known that human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) has positive effects on endometrial C3 expression (Palomino et al. 2013). Whilst the adverse effect of decreased C3 expression on placental development and fetal development has been shown in C3 deficient mice (Chow et al. 2009), and variants in FOXD1 that enhance the expression of C3 were associated with miscarriage in humans and mice (Laiissue et al. 2016). The possible adverse effect of over expression of C3 has not been previously reported. It seems therefore a fine balance is necessary; both under expression as well as over expression of C3 may be detrimental. Our observation that the C3 was over expressed in RIF but not in RM suggested that the two conditions affect uterine receptivity in different ways. One of the major immune functions of C3 pathway is to form the membrane attack complex, leading to cell lysis (Ricklin et al. 2010). While DAF (complement-protective protein decay-accelerating factor, also known as CD55) was considered as an inhibitor to the increased complement activity (Young et al. 2002) and expression of DAF was minimal in the proliferative and early secretory phase in endometrium, increased to a maximum on LH+7, and decreased until next cycle. Endometrial C3 and DAF expression was associated with human chorionic gonadotropin, indicating its roles in early embryo development (Palomino et al. 2013). Whilst there has not been any study in the literature which reported on the expression of any of the genes involved in this pathway in the endometrium of RIF or RM at the time of implantation, previous genetic association studies found the loss of functional mutation of some genes in this pathway were associated with RM (Mohlin et al. 2013) or other adverse pregnancy outcome, such as preeclampsia (Salmon et al. 2011). Although both C3 and DAF were upregulated in RIF when compared with RM, the increased C3 expression (3.5 folds) was higher than the increased DAF expression (2.8 folds), suggesting the inhibitory
complement system in RIF may be more likely a reactive response. Further studies of its inhibitory
mechanism and subsequent downstream innate immune response in RIF are needed.

On the other hand, though the neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction pathway and calcium signaling
pathway were enriched in RM according to the positively contributed gene list from SVM analysis, we
did not consider them as important as the complement and coagulation cascades pathway as discussed
above. Firstly, most of the genes with positive contribution in these two pathways were expressed at very
low expression level. Furthermore, almost no differentially expressed genes were identified in those two
pathways. One explanation for lack of significantly expressed genes in calcium pathway in our study
could be that this activity could be prominent in endometrial epithelium cells (Thie & Denker 2002,
Brosens et al. 2014, Ruan et al. 2014), which might be diluted if sequencing endometrium tissue as a
whole. However, it has long been known that Ca\(^{2+}\) channels involves in a variety of implantation
processes and increased Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization can assist blastocyst-endometrium adhesion (Thie & Denker
2002, Brosens et al. 2014, Ruan et al. 2014). Brosen et al. found that competent and low-quality embryos
elicited different Ca\(^{2+}\) channel responses in vitro, which indicate the active role of endometrial selective
function of human embryos (Brosens et al. 2014). And this selection was impaired in the endometrium of
RM subjects (Teklenburg et al. 2010). In this study, the genes with positive contribution in calcium
signaling pathway may suggest the higher activity of the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel in the endometrium of RM
compared with that of RIF. It might indicate that the endometrium during WOI is more favorable for
implantation in RM compared with RIF, which would also be in consistent with in vitro study carried out
by Brosen et al. that pattern of Ca\(^{2+}\) signals was associated with the implantation results.

According to the hypothesis put forward by Brosen and Macklon et al (Teklenburg et al. 2010, Brosens
et al. 2014, Macklon & Brosens 2014), women with unexplained RM would be superfertile because the
endometrium is over-receptive, less able to discern and prevent the abnormal embryos from implantation,
in contrast to that of women with RIF, in which the abnormality makes it difficult for even the normal
embryo to implant. Whilst our findings do not directly confirm or refute the Brosen hypothesis that the
endometrium is over-receptive in women with RM, our finding regarding the differential regulation of the
pathways between the two groups of women may provide insight into the molecular mechanism
controlling the implantation process in the endometrium to make it under-receptive (as in women with
RIF) or over-receptive (as in women with RM).

The transcriptome pattern of fertile women seems dispersedly distributed among RIF and RM subjects,
but the sample size in our study indeed is very small to make any conclusion. The dispersed distribution
may be due to the heterogeneity of endometrial receptivity status. Although they were classified as fertile
controls as they had previously successful pregnancy in early years, unfortunately it does not necessarily
imply they will still be able to achieve successful pregnancy if conceived. This is one of the limitations of
our study.

A particular strength of our study is the precise timing of the endometrial specimen, all obtained on day
LH+7. Whilst some earlier studies did time the specimen precisely on a single day (Diaz-Gimeno et al.
2011, Hu et al. 2014) others obtained the specimen over two or more days (Ledee et al. 2011, Koot et al.
2016). Given that the endometrium changes very rapidly around the time of implantation, the inclusion of
samples collected on different days after the LH surge could introduce a significant source of variance to
the results. It may help to explain why, in a previous study by Ledee et al. which studied similar subjects
groups as in our study but with biopsies obtained over a three-day period from days LH+7 to +9, they could find gene expression differences between RM and RIF, consistent with our findings, but not able to identify the pathways (Ledee et al. 2011).

Another strength of this study is that we used RNA-seq rather than micro-array to analyze the specimens. Earlier transcriptome studies of the endometrium used micro-array analysis (Ledee et al. 2011, Othman et al. 2012, Ruiz-Alonso et al. 2013, Koot et al. 2016) although 2 recent studies did use sequencing techniques (Hu et al. 2014, Kosova et al. 2015). It is now well accepted that sequencing technique is more comprehensive in coverage and precise in quantification of global gene expression profiles (McGettigan 2013). Furthermore, in our study we have used more straightforward and more comprehensive methods to mine the features which contributed to the classification of the two groups of women, and thus identified genes and pathways that were differentially expressed between RIF and RM. The chosen testing platforms and analysis methods could also greatly contributed to the identification of significant pathways.

One possible limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size (RIF=9, RM=11, control=4) and so the conclusions reached in this study should be considered preliminary, especially in view of the potential heterogeneity of the study populations.

To conclude, we have identified that the complement and coagulation cascades pathway are significantly different between women with RM and RIF. The identified pathways provide an insight into how the process of implantation in these two types of implantation disorder differs from one other.
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