

Experiential sponsorship activation at a sports mega-event: The case of Cisco at London 2012

GILLOOLY, Leah, CROWTHER, Philip <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0124-4547>> and MEDWAY, Dominic

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:
<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/14790/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

GILLOOLY, Leah, CROWTHER, Philip and MEDWAY, Dominic (2017). Experiential sponsorship activation at a sports mega-event: The case of Cisco at London 2012. *Sport, Business and Management: an International Journal*, 7 (4), 404-425.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>



**Experiential sponsorship activation at a sports mega-event:
The case of Cisco at London 2012**

Journal:	<i>Sport, Business, Management: an International Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	SBM-04-2016-0015.R3
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	sponsorship, activation, experiential marketing, experience design, business-to-business, event design

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3 **Experiential sponsorship activation at a sports mega-event: The case of Cisco at London**
4
5 **2012**
6
7
8
9

10 **Abstract**

11 Purpose: The application of event design principles in the creation and execution of effective
12 experiential sponsorship activations (ESAs) by B2B brands is explored. Challenges posed by
13 the sponsorship context to sponsors seeking to create ESAs are examined, with potential
14 solutions proposed.
15
16
17
18
19

20 Methodology: A case study of Cisco's ESA activities as part of its London 2012 Olympic and
21 Paralympic Games sponsorship activation is developed, drawing on interviews with key
22 Cisco employees and secondary sources of data, both internal and external to Cisco.
23
24
25
26

27 Findings: Blending the event design principles typically associated with B2B events with
28 those more commonly found in corporate hospitality or B2C events, enables sponsors to
29 address the cognitive needs of attendees as business representatives while also satisfying their
30 needs as individuals seeking more sensorial experiences. Effective use of event design
31 principles, creative marketing and promotion, and collaboration with other sponsors allows
32 brands to overcome constraints placed on them by the unpredictable nature of sponsorship,
33 sponsorship rights agreements and the increased clutter in the sponsorship environment.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Research implications: Existing knowledge on sponsorship activation is extended, drawing
43 on principles of event design to offer a sponsor-focused perspective on the creation and
44 execution of effective ESAs for B2B brands. Existing thinking around B2B event design is
45 challenged and augmented when considering its application to ESA design.
46
47
48
49
50

51 Practical implications: Inter-sponsor collaboration and the blending of cognitive and sensorial
52 elements of event design are important for sponsors seeking to create and deliver effective
53 ESAs.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Originality: The paper draws on event design literature to appraise the execution of ESA by
4
5 B2B brands within the context of event sponsorship.
6
7
8

9
10 **Keywords:** sponsorship; activation; experiential marketing; event design; experience design;
11
12 business-to-business
13

14
15
16 **Article classification:** Research paper
17
18
19

20 21 22 23 **1.0 Introduction**

24
25 The sponsorship of sporting events represents an established form of marketing
26
27 promotion, with global sponsorship expenditure totalling \$55.3 billion in 2014 (IEG, 2015).
28
29 However, sponsorship practices are changing, with a shift from a short-term, sales-oriented
30
31 approach, towards a more strategic and longer-term relational outlook (Farrelly *et al.*, 2006).
32
33 Parallel to these changes is a significant growth in experiential marketing practice
34
35 (Smilansky, 2009), and consequently event marketing emerges as a useful strategy through
36
37 which to enhance the effectiveness of sponsorship (Fransen *et al.*, 2013; Whelan and
38
39 Wohlfeil, 2006; Wood, 2009).
40
41
42

43
44 Against this backdrop, it is becoming routine for a whole series of spin-off events to
45
46 be activated by sponsors around a sporting occasion. Yet, within the sponsorship, event and
47
48 experiential marketing literature, there remains inconsistency in the terminology used to
49
50 describe these spin-off events, with, for example, Zarantonello and Schmitt (2013, p. 257)
51
52 suggesting that 'event marketing includes but is not limited to event sponsorship'. Certainly
53
54 event marketing encompasses a much broader range of activity than that involving
55
56 sponsorship, however the argument couched in this paper, and others, is that these spin-off
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 events, used to activate the sponsorship, are firmly positioned as examples of event marketing
4
5 within the domain of sponsorship activation. While the sponsored event is customarily
6
7 referred to as the 'property', Whelan and Wohlfeil (2006) make the argument that these spin-
8
9 off events are distinct from actual sponsorship of the event in that they may be removed from
10
11 the live sporting occasion temporally and/or spatially and they are designed, managed and
12
13 controlled by the sponsoring brand. In this paper we refer to such events as 'experiential
14
15 sponsorship activation' (ESA). ESA thus represents the application of event marketing as an
16
17 experiential marketing communications strategy (Björner and Berg, 2012; Wohlfeil and
18
19 Whelan, 2006) used as part of the activation of a sponsorship.
20
21

22
23 ESA in relation to sporting events is a term encompassing varied activities, such as
24
25 VIP parties, bespoke customer areas, visitor attractions, sponsorship-linked roadshows
26
27 (Papadimitriou and Apostolopoulou, 2009) and on-site activations. For example, Samsung
28
29 Galaxy Studios in the Rio 2016 Olympic Park allowed visitors to interact with the company's
30
31 products and technologies, including the opportunity to 'experience' Olympic sports such as
32
33 kayaking, using Samsung virtual reality products and 4D technology (IEG, 2016). ESA was
34
35 also enacted by many London 2012 Olympic Games sponsors, typically within purposely
36
37 constructed spaces around Olympic venues. Examples included Cisco House (Cisco, 2012a)
38
39 and Cadbury House (Degun, 2012). The growth of Web-enabled marketing also widens the
40
41 scope of ESA into digital space (Pine and Gilmore, 2016; Gilmore and Pine, 2002). Thus,
42
43 London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games sponsor Cadbury hosted a series of successful
44
45 Google+ hangouts with British Olympic athletes (Google, 2012). Another example of
46
47 online/social-media hosted ESA was Panasonic's Dream 'FITA' Project, which invited fans
48
49 to share their dreams, create a 'FITA' charm (a fita is a type of ribbon worn around the wrist),
50
51 and possibly receive a message from Brazilian footballer Neymar Jr as a result of uploading
52
53 their dreams to the dedicated website (Panasonic, 2016).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The concept of sponsorship activation as a broad collection of promotional activities
4
5 is receiving increasing attention from scholars. However, this has principally focussed on
6
7 established activation tools such as sponsorship-linked advertising (Fortunato, 2015, 2013;
8
9 Degaris and West, 2012), sales promotions (Dodds et al., 2014), public relations (Degaris and
10
11 West, 2012) and online promotions (Cahill and Meenaghan, 2013, Weeks *et al.*, 2008).
12
13
14 Where event marketing in a sponsorship context has been explored, this has been wholly
15
16 concerned with B2C sponsor brands, largely with a focus on consumer response to these
17
18 activations (Close and Lacey, 2014; Fransen *et al.*, 2013, Papadimitriou and Apostolopoulou,
19
20 2009; Sneath *et al.*, 2005). However, B2B brands are increasingly involved in sponsorship,
21
22 with 22 out of the 53 sponsors of the London 2012 Olympic Games having a B2B orientation
23
24 (Guardian, 2012). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to focus on event
25
26 marketing and design literature in examining ESA by a B2B brand. Therefore, our paper
27
28 addresses a gap in the literature by assessing the elements of event design necessary for the
29
30 successful execution of ESA by B2B brands, as well as examining the challenges posed by
31
32 the nature of contemporary sponsorship environments and the restrictions in sponsorship
33
34 agreements for sponsors seeking to implement ESAs. Our paper's principal contribution is,
35
36 therefore, to refine existing understanding of the application of event design principles in
37
38 experiential sports sponsorship activation activity, particularly for B2B brands.
39
40
41
42

43 Our paper is an exploratory study, which first sets the theoretical context by
44
45 examining literature on sponsorship activation and event marketing and design. From this
46
47 review of literature, two research questions are advanced, which are subsequently addressed
48
49 using data from a case study of Cisco's sponsorship of the London 2012 Olympic Games.
50
51 Within the conclusion, the implications of the paper's findings for sponsorship and event
52
53 marketing theory and practice are considered.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.0 Theoretical context

2.1 Changing approaches to sponsorship

The motivations underpinning corporate sponsorship of sport have evolved from philanthropy to develop a keener preoccupation with return on investment (RoI) (Lough *et al.*, 2000).

Indeed, as sponsorship matures, its objectives have begun to move beyond mere awareness and image benefits to incorporate relational outcomes. Thus, sponsorship is increasingly seen by organisations as a means of interacting with their multiple stakeholders and getting to know them better (Farrelly *et al.*, 2006; Kumar, 1997). Changing sponsorship objectives, and the concomitant emergence of ESA, are understandable given the suggested structural shift in marketing from a transactional to a relational emphasis (Grönroos, 1990), and towards doing things 'with' customers (Vargo and Lusch, 2004). Such interpretations of contemporary marketing practice are echoed in recent sponsorship literature discussing objectives linked to loyalty and affinity (Cahill and Meenaghan, 2013; Mazodier and Merunka, 2012) and relationship building (Henseler *et al.*, 2011; Singh and Bhatia, 2015).

Crucial in maximising the possibility of positive sponsorship RoI is activation, defined by Weeks *et al.* (2008, p.639) as 'communications that promote the engagement, involvement, or participation of the sponsorship audience with the sponsor'. Notably, there is a participative emphasis in the narrative surrounding 'activation' that is not present in other terms such as sponsorship 'articulation' (Coppetti *et al.*, 2009) or 'leverage.' Sponsorship activation, traditionally involving linked-advertising and promotional tools such as sweepstakes, competitions, product sampling and themed brand websites, has evolved considerably over recent years. This has been due, in part, to advances in new technologies, including social media (Dees, 2011; Delia and Armstrong, 2015), and wider structural shifts towards an integrated and cross-platform approach to sponsorship strategy (Cahill and Meenaghan, 2013; Penna and Guenzi, 2014). Alongside the growing importance of new

1
2
3 technology, sponsorship activation has been influenced by wider shifts in marketing towards
4
5 an experience orientation, heralded by authors such as Pine and Gilmore (1998) and Schmitt
6
7 (1999), who recognise the potency of customer and consumer experiences in generating
8
9 emotional connections that can deliver a competitive edge for organisations in crowded
10
11 marketplaces. Such a focus on customer and brand experience is central to notions of event
12
13 marketing (Björner and Berg, 2012), defined by Whelan and Wohlfeil (2006, p. 314) as:

14
15
16 ‘the interactive communication of brand values by staging marketing events as three
17
18 dimensional brand-related hyperrealities in which consumers are actively involved on
19
20 a behavioural level and which would result in their emotional attachment to the
21
22 brand.’
23
24

25
26 Sponsors are increasingly seeking these types of ‘experiential branding’ opportunities
27
28 to better engage the target stakeholders of sponsorship activity (Performance Research,
29
30 2014), and are therefore employing event marketing, such as event-based sponsor exhibits, as
31
32 part of their sponsorship activation activities (Close and Lacey, 2014; Fransen *et al.*, 2013,
33
34 Papadimitriou and Apostolopoulou, 2009; Sneath *et al.*, 2005). The creation by corporate
35
36 sponsors of what Gilmore and Pine (2002) refer to as customer experience places - that is
37
38 spaces where they can physically, or virtually, coalesce with customers, suppliers and other
39
40 key stakeholders, and where there is ‘purposeful’ design of the experiential setting - thus
41
42 provides considerable opportunity to facilitate the achievement of relational aims (Crowther,
43
44 2010).
45
46

47
48 In order to express the growing prominence of event marketing within sponsorship we
49
50 employ the term ‘experiential sponsorship activation’. Consistent with Wood’s (2009, p. 248)
51
52 definition of an event as a ‘live “occurrence” with an audience’, ESA denotes *sponsorship-*
53
54 *linked* spin-off events (both physical and virtual), which offer *immersive* brand experiences
55
56 and encourage high levels of *active participation*. Crucial in this definition is that there is a
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 connection to the sponsored property through an ESA event's theme, location and content,
4
5 thus distinguishing it from a brand's other event marketing activities. Equally important to
6
7 note is the high degree of active audience participation, beyond that involved in other
8
9 activations such as watching a TV commercial, browsing a website or entering a sponsorship-
10
11 linked contest, thus framing ESA as a subset of wider sponsorship activation. Such a
12
13 definition acknowledges that in an age where value is increasingly co-created between brands
14
15 and customers (Vargo and Lusch, 2004), brands are creating immersive experiences (Gilmore
16
17 and Pine, 2002) under the umbrella of their sponsorships, which facilitate a close degree of
18
19 connection between attendees at an ESA and the brand. Such activations, if well-conceived
20
21 and executed, can add value for the sponsoring company, in terms of cementing existing
22
23 customer relationships and generating new leads (Cahill and Meenaghan, 2013; Davies and
24
25 Tsiantas, 2008; Stokes, 2005). However, ESAs may also help in the delivery of experiential
26
27 'value' (Papadimitriou and Apostolopoulou, 2009) for consumers of the sponsored event,
28
29 making them more predisposed to doing future business with the sponsoring company. While
30
31 previous studies of experiential activation of sponsorship have concerned themselves with
32
33 assessing consumer responses to these activations, none have thus far taken a sponsor
34
35 perspective and focused on the design principles required to effectively create and deliver
36
37 these events.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *2.2 Event design and marketing*

46
47 The growth of ESA reflects the emergence of events as a noteworthy promotional approach.
48
49 As investment in marketing events grows, their design sophistication becomes a competitive
50
51 battleground (McCole, 2004). In this context, designing ESA to achieve the sponsor's
52
53 objectives demands an enlightened and creative approach that recognises what will entice and
54
55 engage prospective attendees (Alcántara *et al.*, 2014; Nelson, 2009). Events can be
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 characterised by a range of features, such as the voluntary and active participation of
4 attendees (Wohlfeil and Whelan, 2006), the potential to facilitate a sense of community
5 through shared experiences (Björner and Berg, 2012), and the capacity to provide and
6 provoke opportunities for on-going and consistent conversations with consumers and other
7 stakeholders (Crowther and Donlan, 2011). In short, the creation and facilitation of these
8 kinds of interactions between corporate sponsoring entities and their stakeholders can be a
9 source of competitive advantage to the sponsor brands (Prahalad and Ramaswamy, 2004).

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 As the appropriation of marketing events and, more broadly, experiential marketing
20 approaches flourishes, there has been an increasing focus among academics on experience
21 design (Gentile *et al.*, 2007; Kale *et al.*, 2010; Pullman and Gross, 2004; Wohlfeil and
22 Whelan, 2007), drawing on perspectives as diverse as theatre studies (Nelson, 2009) and
23 service design (Zomerdijk and Voss, 2010). Much early thinking in this area was influenced
24 by Pine and Gilmore (1998), who identify four realms of experience: entertainment,
25 education, escapist and aesthetic, with the richest experiences derived from a combination of
26 these. Implicit in this thinking is the notion that, as business clients are increasingly selective
27 about the sponsored events they attend (Archer, 2011), it is no longer sufficient for sponsors
28 to merely entertain clients (Pine and Gilmore, 1999); there is a need to creatively engage
29 them through absorbing, immersive, but also personally relevant, experiences (Poulsson and
30 Kale, 2004; Wood, 2009).

31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 Pine and Gilmore (1998) go on to suggest five experience design principles: theming
46 the experience, harmonising impressions with positive cues, eliminating negative cues,
47 mixing in memorabilia, and engaging all five senses. Similarly, Schmitt (1999) refers to
48 addressing different dimensions of customer experience, such as sensorial, affective,
49 cognitive, physical, behavioural and social identity, while other authors identify a range of
50 attributes which are posited to enhance a given event experience, including: innovation,
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 integrity (Wood and Masterman, 2007), novelty (Tafesse, 2016), personal relevance, surprise,
4
5 exclusivity (Poulsson and Kale, 2004), intellectual stimulation, and opportunities to interact
6
7 with others (Zarantonello and Schmitt, 2013). What emerges is a more sophisticated and
8
9 subtle perspective, which elevates the opportunities in designing and executing ESAs.
10

11 The 'designing in' of these more experiential event dimensions recognises the
12
13 centrality of the needs of the event attendee or participant (Crowther and Donlan, 2011; Kale
14
15 *et al.*, 2010; Wohlfeil and Whelan, 2007). If ESAs are to be successful, there is a need to
16
17 provide a compelling reason to attend. Thus it is incumbent upon the sponsoring brand to
18
19 curate an event environment in which affirmative and memorable consumer experiences can
20
21 be promised and, hopefully, delivered (Schmitt, 1999). In this sense, corporate sponsors are
22
23 often at an advantage as they regularly have rights of access to desirable properties, allowing
24
25 them to attract attendees to brand-related events and experiences linked to personal interests
26
27 (Wohlfeil and Whelan, 2007) and passions (Close and Lacey, 2014), such as sport and
28
29 entertainment.
30
31
32
33

34 Much of the extant literature on event and experiential marketing concerns B2C
35
36 companies, with many authors stressing that consumers' needs are not only rational but also
37
38 emotional (Schmitt, 1999), as they seek stimulation and entertainment as well as pure
39
40 information from the brands with which they interact. Many studies of consumer experience
41
42 have taken as their starting point the view of Holbrook and Hirschmann (1982), whereby
43
44 consumer actions are motivated not just by rationality, but by fantasy, fun and feelings.
45
46 Conversely, Rinallo *et al.* (2010) argue that this focus on the emotional and hedonic elements
47
48 of consumption in experiential marketing might explain its limited application thus far in
49
50 B2B settings, which can often be characterised by relatively rational purchase decision
51
52 making (Gilliland and Johnston, 1997). Indeed, Rinallo *et al.* (2010) identify that, in the
53
54 context of trade shows, sensorial stimulation is only a means to the end goal of visitors
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 acquiring knowledge (i.e. a cognitive motivation), suggesting that such events should focus
4
5 predominantly on the cognitive and relational elements. The implication here is that event
6
7 attendees seeking cognitive stimulation and those seeking the more sensory or autotelic
8
9 motivations of fun, fantasy and feelings are unlikely to be satisfied by the same event
10
11 (Altschwager *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, sensorial-oriented event features might be ineffective
12
13 for B2B event audiences (Rinallo *et al.*, 2010). The present study, therefore, examines the
14
15 application of the principles of event design to create effective ESAs, addressing the
16
17 following research question:
18
19

20
21 *RQ1: How can B2B sponsors draw on principles of event design and experiential*
22
23 *marketing to execute effective ESAs?*
24
25

26 27 2.3 Sports sponsorship and ESA 28

29
30 Within the academic literature, the most frequently discussed examples of experiential
31
32 activations concern the creation of sponsor exhibits where sponsoring brands offer visitors,
33
34 typically existing or potential consumers, the opportunity to personally interact with their
35
36 products (Close and Lacey, 2014; Sneath *et al.*, 2005). A key success factor in such ESAs is
37
38 the centrality of the brand to the consumer experience and how the brand is infused in the
39
40 event space (Crowther and Donlan, 2011). Unlike these B2C contexts, the targets of B2B
41
42 sponsorship activations are wider, and may range from members of a business's employees to
43
44 its shareholders and clients (Meenaghan *et al.*, 2013).
45
46

47
48 Sponsorship-linked event marketing by B2B brands has historically been dominated
49
50 by corporate hospitality (CH), where clients are typically entertained (i.e. wined and dined)
51
52 and given access to watch a particular sporting event. Despite the professed advantages of
53
54 relationship building and increased sales arising from CH (Bennett, 2003; Keynote, 2014),
55
56 the practice has attracted criticism in recent years. Most significantly, although the basic
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 format involves hosting and entertaining B2B clients (or any other stakeholders) at a
4
5 sponsored sporting event, rivalry amongst corporate hospitality hosts to gain their
6
7 participants' attention automatically raises the stakes. In the past, the solution to this was for
8
9 brands to try and 'out-lavish' each other in order to attract the right attendees (Bennett, 2003).
10
11 Following the 2008 financial crisis, this led to perceived examples of excessive hospitality
12
13 being stigmatised as a form of corporate irresponsibility (Meenaghan *et al.*, 2013). Other
14
15 criticisms have included suggestions that CH is a form of bribery, in that it provides benefits
16
17 that its recipients could not normally afford and which are not always business-related
18
19 (Chetwynd, 1998). In a UK context, this concern is more pressing following the 2010 Bribery
20
21 Act (Ministry of Justice, 2012). Hence, as the ethical compass of organisations and society
22
23 shifts, brands are increasingly, in the opinion of Archer (2011), moving away from 'glitz and
24
25 glamour' in their hospitality activities and seeking to recalibrate and augment their ESAs
26
27 towards a format for event-based activations that are more engaging, informative, socially
28
29 responsible and value for money (Donlan and Crowther, 2014). Against this backdrop, B2B
30
31 sponsors face the challenge of how to make such events attractive to in-demand top
32
33 executives, especially those receiving multiple event invitations from a variety of sponsors
34
35 (Bennett, 2003). In the context of sports mega-events, with their complex, multi-layered
36
37 sponsorship structures (Panja, 2016), the challenge of how to combat sponsorship clutter is
38
39 thus relevant not only in the context of B2C sponsors fighting for audience attention
40
41 (Cornwell and Relyea, 2000), but also in the market for executive-level corporate hosting.
42
43
44
45
46

47 The above suggests that sponsorship-related activity in the B2B domain is moving
48
49 away from conventional CH practices into a more progressive form of event marketing,
50
51 drawing on the principles of event and experience design. This is exemplified through event
52
53 marketing activations carried out by a range of sponsors at the London 2012 and Rio 2016
54
55 Olympic Games (for example, Cisco House (Cisco, 2012a), Cadbury House (Degun, 2012)
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and Panasonic World of Wonders (VisitRio, 2016)). However, unlike the creation of branded
4
5 marketing events (Altschwager *et al.*, 2015), where brands have complete control, event
6
7 rights holders such as the IOC or FIFA, can exert significant influence over the activation
8
9 rights of sponsors, often implementing strict rules over what sponsors and non-sponsors alike
10
11 can and cannot do (Rio2016, 2016). For example, depending on the level of the sponsorship,
12
13 brands may only have activation rights in a particular market (e.g. the six UEFA EURO2016
14
15 national partners could activate only in France) (UEFA, 2016), or their rights may only cover
16
17 a subset of the company's overall product offerings. As an example, despite producing and
18
19 selling a broad range of consumer electronics products including mobile phones, TVs and
20
21 kitchen appliances, Samsung has category exclusivity only in wireless communications
22
23 equipment as part of its Olympic sponsorship. Similarly, Panasonic's rights as part of the
24
25 same event cover audio/TV and video equipment (see <https://www.olympic.org/sponsors> for
26
27 full details of current TOP sponsor rights). This illustrates how sponsors can be constrained
28
29 by their agreements in terms of which of their products they can promote through their
30
31 sponsorship-linked activities. In addition, the nature of sponsorship means that sponsors are
32
33 ceding some control of the presentation of their brand to the sponsored event (Meenaghan,
34
35 1991; Westberg *et al.*, 2008) and, in the event of scandals or other negative publicity
36
37 surrounding the sponsored event, are at risk of negative image transfer (Carrillat *et al.*, 2014).
38
39
40
41
42 In summary, the restrictions imposed by the terms of sponsorship agreements, alongside
43
44 growing clutter in the B2B sponsorship market and the inherently unpredictable nature of
45
46 sponsorship itself, present challenges to sponsors in delivering effective ESAs. Thus, the
47
48 following research question is proposed:
49
50

51
52 *RQ2: How can sponsors overcome the challenges posed by the increased clutter, the*
53
54 *terms of sponsorship agreements and the unpredictable nature of sponsorship to deliver*
55
56 *effective ESAs?*
57
58
59
60

The next section will outline the methodology adopted for the study, before the focal case is presented and discussed. The paper concludes with implications for theory and practice and the signposting of areas for future research.

3.0 Method

3.1 Research strategy and context

The multi-stakeholder nature of ESA in relation to any sporting event (e.g. event attendees as both individuals and representatives of companies, sponsoring organisations, engaged media representatives) commands a research method allowing exploration of this complexity and its implications for ESA design and execution. Consistent with a realist paradigm (Perry, 1998) and the exploratory nature of the research in this paper, a case study approach was deemed the most appropriate method for examining ESA at a sporting event and as a contemporary marketing phenomenon. The study adopted a single-case design (Yin, 2009), centring on Cisco's sponsorship of a sports mega-event; namely, the London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games (hereinafter 'London 2012'). Whilst acknowledging criticisms of a single-case design, in terms of its limited powers of generalisability (Eisenhardt, 1989), it does permit a detailed strategic and tactical examination of Cisco's ESA activity, facilitating an examination of how B2B sponsors can draw upon principles of event design in crafting their ESAs. The use of a single case is also consistent with other sponsorship studies in *Sport, Business and Management: An International Journal* (Kahuni and Rowley, 2013; Crader and Santomier, 2011) and with studies of event marketing and sponsorship activation more widely (Penna and Guenzi, 2014; Whelan and Wohlfeil, 2006; Sneath *et al.*, 2005).

3.2 Data Sources

1
2
3 Woodside and Wilson (2003, p. 493) emphasise that case study research can 'confirm
4 and deepen understanding by using multiple sources all focusing on the same process/event'.
5

6
7 Understanding in this paper was similarly obtained by meticulously examining multiple
8 perspectives from within and outwith the study organisation (Cisco). This involved data from
9 a wide variety of secondary and primary sources. Secondary source material comprised Cisco
10 printed and digital content relating to its London 2012 sponsorship activity, including: web
11 pages, internal strategy documents and best-practice reports (hereafter referred to as Cisco
12 Digital Best Practice Report), Cisco-produced YouTube videos, marketing communications
13 material, the contents of a blog on Cisco House - see below for details of this activation
14 (Collett, 2012), and Cisco's broadcast Twitter activity from January 2011 to September 2012.
15 The latter identified all Twitter feeds relating to the company's London 2012 ESA activity
16 using the hashtag '#plan4success', which Cisco used to promote its ESAs. Similarly, tweets
17 from visitors/attendees to Cisco House were gathered via a search for the hashtag
18 '#ciscohouse', which was widely used on social media by both attendees and employees
19 commenting on this ESA. Secondary data, external to Cisco, were also collected using a
20 Google search to identify all press reports relating to Cisco House. Primary data were
21 gathered through in-depth interviews with the Digital Marketing Program Manager (DMPM)
22 and the Marketing Communications Lead (MCL) at Cisco UK, examining the company's
23 sponsorship of London 2012. The interviews took place immediately prior to the Games. In
24 seeking to judge the success of Cisco's London 2012 ESA activities, it is important to
25 acknowledge that many sources used were produced by the brand itself. However, the
26 interviewees were happy to share both examples of what had and had not worked, while the
27 press reports used offered some degree of independent appraisal of the ESAs' performance.
28
29 As articulated below in the conclusion, future studies could look to draw on independent
30 research to further examine the effectiveness of ESAs.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3.3 Data analysis

Following the approaches of Abdallah and Langley (2014) and Heracleous (2006), the analysis began from the position of viewing all data as text (e.g. transcripts of online content in the form of reports and blogs about Cisco's London 2012 sponsorship, Twitter feeds, interview transcripts). These textual data were placed in chronological order to build up an understanding of the emergence of events and occurrences within the case. The researchers then independently looked for central themes within this body of data as text – i.e., a form of confirmability testing. Finally, the researchers' interpretations of these data were aggregated around the research questions. The paper proceeds to present the case study of Cisco's ESA activities, evidenced with data from the wide variety of printed/digital secondary data sources and the interviews, either in the form of direct quotes or key statistics, followed by a discussion of the findings.

4.0 Case Elements: Cisco and London 2012

4.1 Case context

Cisco is a multinational corporation providing network solutions to businesses and consumers, although the current focus of the business is largely on the B2B sector. In July 2009, Cisco signed an agreement with LOCOG, the London Organising Committee of the Olympic and Paralympic Games, to become a tier two Supporter of the Games (London 2012, 2009). Therefore, Cisco was the Official Network Infrastructure Supporter for London 2012, providing network infrastructure to support voice, video and data traffic across 34 competition venues, along with network infrastructure equipment such as routing and switching equipment, network security appliances and IP telephony (Cisco, 2012b). In pursuit of its overall strategic vision and objectives, and embodying the focal idea of 'not on what

1
2
3 Cisco makes, but on what we make possible' (Collett, 2012), Cisco embraced three
4
5 experiential activations linked to their sponsorship of London 2012, which were aimed at
6
7 their B2B stakeholders - the Cisco Interview Series (CIS), Cisco House and the Plan for
8
9 Success (PFS) webinars. These activations were identified as fitting within the stated
10
11 definition of ESA, in that they took the form of events, either physical or virtual, bringing
12
13 together a range of individuals around the uniting theme of London 2012.
14
15

16 Pre-Games, and reflective of the technological nature of the industry in which they
17
18 operate, Cisco placed an emphasis on virtual events focussed around learning and inspiration.
19
20 The PFS webinar series fitted this brief as it provided expert insight themed around business
21
22 issues raised by London 2012, such as transport, HR, media and security. Similarly, the CIS
23
24 was a virtual event prior to the Games and featured interviews with Olympic athletes which,
25
26 even if not providing learning to B2B stakeholders, would hopefully be inspiring. Both of
27
28 these activations were streamed live online and also archived for subsequent viewing.
29
30 Alongside these virtual events, the mainstay of Cisco's ESA activity was Cisco House. This
31
32 purpose-built interactive experience was located on the roof of Westfield Shopping Centre in
33
34 East London overlooking the Olympic Park, and was open from May 2012 until the end of
35
36 the Paralympic Games in September.
37
38
39

40 These three B2B-focused ESAs, which form the main focus of this paper, were part of
41
42 Cisco's overall London 2012 and wider brand marketing strategy. Nevertheless, the
43
44 company's marketing engagement with (and leverage of) London 2012 also involved media
45
46 and PR, advertising, social media, and a 'games readiness' online tool for businesses. Cisco
47
48 also developed a small number of activations that were focused more towards the end-
49
50 consumers of their products, including: an interactive website where people could compare
51
52 their lifestyle to that of an Olympian, an online game called KayakAttack, and a visitor
53
54 installation as part of LOCOG's 'Walk In The Park' programme. While all of the above
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 examples relate to Cisco's London 2012 sponsorship, it is important to acknowledge that in
4
5 the run up to the Olympic Games the organisation also enacted other, non-sponsorship-linked
6
7 promotional campaigns. These, however, are not the focus of this paper. All of Cisco's
8
9 sponsorship and marketing activities in relation to London 2012 were driven by the vision to
10
11 'seize this unique and amazing opportunity to show Cisco as the platform of change in the
12
13 way we live, learn, work and play' (Cisco Digital Best Practice Report). According to the
14
15 DMPM, this vision drove the sponsorship objectives, which were to:

- 16
17
18 '1. Position Cisco as most trusted and innovative in technology;
19
20
21 2. Drive customer preference and demand for Cisco architecture and solutions;
22
23 3. Drive growth in commercial and small segments through marketing enablement'. (Cisco
24
25 Digital Best Practice Report)
26
27
28
29

30 **4.2 The PFS webinars**

31
32 The target audience for the PFS webinars was 'business leaders and business and
33
34 technical decision-makers' (Cisco Digital Best Practice Report). As indicated above, the aim
35
36 of these webinars was to add value for attendees by giving them an opportunity to learn about
37
38 business issues their companies may face as a result of the Olympic Games, and gain insight
39
40 into how they could either embrace the opportunities or overcome the challenges these
41
42 presented. In the words of the MCL:

43
44
45 'I think that the Plan for Success webinars very clearly [matched] the Cisco
46
47 target audience. [They were] ...structured around something that people didn't know
48
49 anything about and felt they needed to know something about in order to maintain
50
51 business as usual, ...during the Games' (MCL interview).
52
53

54 The PFS webinars centred on 'position[ing] Cisco as a business advisor for London 2012,
55
56 creat[ing] engagement and two-way conversation[s, and] build[ing] relationships with [the]
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 audience' as well as the more pragmatic 'data capture for lead generation' (Cisco Digital Best
4
5 Practice Report). Key to the ability of the PFS webinars to embody the ethos of what Cisco
6
7 'make possible' (Collett, 2012), was the involvement of other London 2012 partners in
8
9 webinar delivery, such that Cisco became a facilitator of business information. Thus, the
10
11 transport webinar involved experts from Transport for London (CiscoUKI, 2012a), while
12
13 Cisco was joined by representatives from Deloitte and Lloyds TSB for the HR webinar
14
15 (CiscoUKI, 2012b; CiscoUKI, 2012c), and by the BBC, BT and Adecco for the media
16
17 webinar (CiscoUKI, 2012d; CiscoUKI, 2012e). The remaining PFS webinar, on security,
18
19 contained content on network security from Cisco's UK and Ireland Chief Technology
20
21 Officer and Technical Director, as well as providing information on security at a wider level
22
23 from experts at Atos, Deloitte and G4S (CiscoUKI, 2012f).
24
25
26

27 Under the terms of the sponsorship agreement, Cisco's rights did not cover video
28
29 conferencing; therefore it could not explicitly talk about such products in any activities
30
31 related to its London 2012 sponsorship. However, in relation to the PFS webinars (and the
32
33 CIS – see section 4.4 for full details): 'the beauty about virtual events is ...[they] showcase
34
35 our Cisco products and we use TelePresence in all our virtual events without speaking about
36
37 the TelePresence, but people can see it' (DMPM interview). The design of the PFS webinars
38
39 also included live tweeting by Cisco, 'ask a question' functionality on the PFS website, and
40
41 the dedicated hashtag '#plan4success'. Through this, Cisco delivered a virtual ESA
42
43 environment that fostered engagement through user sharing of their interactive content, as
44
45 well as delivering on the objectives of collecting data on potential clients (Cisco Digital Best
46
47 Practice Report).
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 *4.3 Cisco House*

55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cisco House, which ‘showcas[ed] ideas and concepts around business transformation for
4 cities, countries, and organisations’ (MCL interview), welcomed 12,000 visitors (CiscoUKI,
5 2012i) pre- and during the Games. Attendance at Cisco House was by invitation only, with
6 attendees given a designated date and time of arrival. While many targeted invitees were at
7 Chief Officer level, a key strategy to encourage their attendance was to also communicate the
8 benefits and attractiveness of Cisco House to employees at lower levels in target
9 organisations, who were less likely to be invited. This helped develop an air of exclusivity
10 around an invitation to this ESA:
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 ‘So we are doing stuff to target the next level down who won’t necessarily be invited
22 to the House, but we want them to be able to turn around [to their boss] and go,
23 “What, you’ve been invited to Cisco House, clear your diary and get down there ...I’d
24 kill to have an invitation to go.” So that’s part of our strategy’ (MCL interview).
25
26
27
28

29 Evidence of this approach working was found in related Twitter commentary. Thus, someone
30 without an invitation to Cisco House noted:
31
32
33

34 ‘@CiscoUKI @CiscoSystems Dedicated Cisco network engineer here, desperately
35 wanting to visit the 2012 #CiscoHouse !Any chance??#CSL2012’ (14zz4, 2012).
36
37
38

39 The counter-intuitive technique of fostering dialogue about an ESA amongst those
40 *less* likely to be invited to it is distinct from the way business events have traditionally been
41 marketed. In many cases, the same small pool of organisations and potential guests are
42 typically bombarded with constant invitations (Bennett, 2003), which can lead to the sense of
43 a ‘run-of-the-mill’ event. Cisco, however, attempted to elevate the attractiveness of Cisco
44 House in the minds of potential attendees by presenting it as an exclusive and unmissable
45 opportunity; a promise on which this ESA appeared to deliver in its execution, according to
46 average satisfaction scores of 4.7/5 (CiscoUKI, 2012h). This was viewed as impressive,
47 bearing in mind the ‘very much in demand’ nature of the audience for these kinds of
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 activations by Cisco and its competitors (MCL interview). In a further effort to demonstrate
4
5 its commitment to engaging and gaining the trust of key influencers, Cisco responded to
6
7 several of the individuals who had contacted the brand on social media wanting invitations
8
9 and arranged for them to visit Cisco House (Cisco DMPM, personal communication).
10
11 Evidencing the apparent success of this integrated communications strategy, one of these
12
13 visitors went on to write a blog about his experience at Cisco House (Riccioni, 2012),
14
15 resulting in a powerful word-of-mouth peer recommendation for Cisco and its ESA activities
16
17 as well as lengthening their impact beyond ESA's temporal and spatial boundaries.
18
19

20
21 As with the PFS webinars, Twitter activity surrounded the Cisco House ESA, with the
22
23 '#CiscoHouse' hashtag reaching 1,668,514 Twitter accounts during the Olympic and
24
25 Paralympic Games (CiscoUKI, 2012h). Indeed, the interplay between an ESA and social
26
27 media networks appears to be an especially important dimension to this experiential
28
29 activation. Even simple devices, such as the creation of the ESA-specific hashtags can
30
31 facilitate word-of-mouth activity and not only extend the reach of ESAs beyond their
32
33 immediate attendees, but ideally, enhance wider audience perceptions of their impact. The
34
35 importance of an integrated approach to marketing communications when being used in
36
37 conjunction with ESA is clearly identified by the Cisco MCL:
38
39

40
41 '...events by their nature tend to be very short-term, and people have very short
42
43 memories. So you can push an awful lot in, and the brand halo effect may not last
44
45 very long compared to perhaps some other activities that you could do. So from an
46
47 integrated approach, if one is doing events, it's how do you stretch those out in some
48
49 way, either directly or using other marketing channels to build on the goodwill that
50
51 you've created through them' (MCL interview).
52
53

54
55 Whilst Cisco House did showcase the new technologies of Cisco and its partners such
56
57 as Citrix and Intel (Riccioni, 2012; Moore-Evans, 2012), the focus was less about selling
58
59
60

1
2
3 their products and more on the ways in which these technologies are changing the way people
4
5 live and work (Marsden, 2012). Upon arrival, guests entered through a dedicated reception
6
7 area, before being taken on a journey through a series of interactive and 3D presentations,
8
9 experiences and hands-on exhibits. Visitors began their Cisco House journey by being taken
10
11 through the 'Business Transformation Experience'. This comprised a mocked-up London
12
13 Underground carriage where they were immersed in a 3D presentation about businesses that
14
15 have been transformed by network technology, hosted by British actor and self-confessed
16
17 technology fan Stephen Fry (Riccioni, 2012; Event Marketer, 2013). Subsequently, visitors
18
19 listened to a series of presentations from Cisco partners about both current and potential
20
21 future technologies (e.g. 3D printing) (Riccioni, 2012) and were then invited to engage with
22
23 other interactive features at their own pace. These included: kinetic technology allowing them
24
25 to virtually try on clothing from retail stores, touchscreen guides to Olympic venues, and
26
27 Cisco's TelePresence video conferencing offering (Marsden, 2012; Moore-Evans, 2012;
28
29 Riccioni, 2012; Event Marketer, 2013). Visitors were therefore enabled to think beyond the
30
31 'nuts and bolts' of Cisco technologies and products, with Cisco House acting 'as a critical
32
33 catalyst for provoking fresh thinking around the needs of organisations today and in the
34
35 future' (Collett, 2012). Cisco House also featured open areas for networking and
36
37 refreshments, including a balcony area overlooking the Olympic Park. The aim here was to
38
39 ensure attendees were actively involved on both physical and cognitive levels with their visit,
40
41 ideally allowing them to 'take some time out and [be] inspired to think [i.e., the cognitive
42
43 dimension] about business transformation for their organisation' (MCL Interview). One
44
45 Cisco House visitor helpfully articulated his response to this:
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 'The transformation experience that I just went through has really kind of ignited a
53
54 little bit of a spark in my brain about where we're going to take the future, where my
55
56 business is going to go' (CiscoUKI, 2012h).
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A problem with traditional CH is that the link to the sponsor brand is often weak
4
5 (Chetwynd, 1998). This was reflected by the MCL, who noted:

6
7 ‘...I think from a hospitality point of view, [attendees] should go away with
8
9 something about your business and your brand that is relevant to them and their
10
11 organisation, not merely that they had a good time and they drunk far too much beer’
12
13
14 (MCL interview).
15

16
17 Conventional CH can exhibit a disconnect between pandering to the entertainment
18
19 desires of attendees as individuals and delivering organisational value to the actual B2B
20
21 client as a corporate entity. Cisco House, with a focus on showcasing current and future
22
23 technologies (Marsden, 2012; Riccioni, 2012), provided ‘an opportunity to fully immerse
24
25 [the] target audience in the sort of things that [Cisco] wants to get them thinking about’
26
27 (MCL interview), but was also ‘about letting visitors experience things that are business
28
29 relevant’ (Incisive Media, 2012). The emphasis thus becomes one of business application,
30
31 rather than mere entertainment. Even within their more traditional client hosting programme,
32
33 Cisco still strove to control the presentation of the brand within an ESA context, with guests
34
35 ‘immersed in Cisco at Cisco House before they [were] allowed anywhere near an Olympic
36
37 ticket’ (MCL interview). Several Cisco House attendees praised this business focus, with one
38
39 delegate declaring Cisco House ‘a cerebral treat’ (Collett, 2012). Similarly, one attendee took
40
41 to Twitter to praise the ‘amazing technology and wonderful presentation’ (BethanLucas1st,
42
43 2012), while another declared it the ‘best business partnering event I have ever attended,’
44
45 going on to say ‘I look forward to growing my business with Cisco in the future’ (CiscoUKI,
46
47 2012g). In its own review of Cisco House, Cisco reported that 63% of visitors had been
48
49 ‘inspired with new ways of thinking for their own business’, with 94% of Cisco House
50
51 attendees considering Cisco and its partners ‘very relevant for the future of their business
52
53 strategy’ (CiscoUKI, 2012g).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The PFS webinars and Cisco House both demonstrate the benefits of bringing in other
4 stakeholder contributions (e.g. customers, suppliers, fellow sponsors, expert commentators)
5
6 in the design and delivery of ESA content. It helps enhance the value of the ESA experience
7
8 for attendees, thereby delivering value back to the sponsoring organisation through the
9
10 development of trust. As the DMPM explained:
11
12

13
14 ‘...trust and engagement are key to success, so we had to develop engaging campaigns
15
16 that focus on adding value to our target audience in order to gain their trust and make
17
18 them engaged with our brand’ (DMPM interview).
19

20
21 This represents a move away from the classic sales pitch, which is often associated with B2B
22
23 events. Indeed, for some attendees of Cisco’s ESAs, the lack of an overt, product-led sales
24
25 pitch came as a positive surprise. Thus, one Twitter user commented favourably on the focus
26
27 of Cisco House being on what can be done with the product rather than on the product itself:
28

29
30 ‘#Cisco leveraging Olympics gig with #CiscoHouse – good focus on what networks
31
32 do, not just networks themselves – key positioning for them’ (j_caron, 2012).
33

34
35 Despite some Tweets suggesting that they would have liked to see more Cisco ‘hardware’ on
36
37 show (asendent88, 2012) the overwhelming majority of feedback relating to Cisco House was
38
39 positive, which leads us to suggest that the design of Cisco House, in its creation of a mutual
40
41 space where the organisation could coalesce with its customers and wider stakeholders,
42
43 facilitated both sponsor and attendee objectives.
44
45

46 47 *4.4 Cisco Interview Series*

48
49 The Cisco Interview Series comprised three online interviews with current and former
50
51 British Olympians - athlete Darren Campbell, sailor Iain Percy and swimmer Liam Tancock -
52
53 discussing:
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ‘...their journey to the Olympics... the link between technology and the sport...
4
5 [how] to learn from their experiences of developing winning strategies in sport and
6
7 how these can be transferred into a business context’ (DMPM Interview).
8
9

10 These interviews, facilitated by Cisco’s WebEx online meeting and video conferencing
11 software, were broadcast live, with opportunities for attendees to ask questions through
12 WebEx. As with the PFS webinars, the CIS interviews were also recorded and made available
13 through YouTube, thus again broadening their potential reach.
14
15
16
17

18 In contrast to the success of the PFS webinars and Cisco House, the MCL admitted
19 that the Cisco Interview Series ‘could not drive the audience sufficiently to make it cost-
20 effective in any way’ and, as a result, this ESA was stopped early. Part of the failure of the
21 CIS was attributed to its inability to attract ‘higher profile’, world-class athletes due to
22 budgetary constraints (MCL interview). However, a key difference between the CIS and the
23 other two Cisco ESAs appeared to lie in the value or relevance to the B2B client. Whilst the
24 PFS webinars and Cisco House delivered content and experiences that were both engaging to
25 attendees and had direct B2B relevance, the CIS contained simply ‘nice to know’ information
26 (e.g. athletes’ training habits) rather than business-crucial content. Among the target audience
27 of executives who are pressed for time (MCL interview), the CIS, which seemed more akin to
28 the after-dinner speaker seen in conventional CH, clearly failed to deliver relevant and value-
29 adding reasons for business attendance. Indeed, reflecting upon the failure of the CIS to
30 contribute towards the meaningful achievement of Cisco’s objectives, the MCL noted that it
31 was like trying to ‘fit a square peg into a round hole,’ going on to explain that ‘had we got the
32 biggest, you know, Michael Phelps, Usain Bolt, etc., we might have done better, but equally
33 we might have lost a shedload more money’. While the CIS may have been of interest to
34 general sports fans, these were not Cisco’s direct target audience (MCL interview). No
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 amount of 'Olympification' of content appeared to compensate for this ESA's absence of
4
5 relevance to Cisco's business stakeholders.
6
7
8

9 10 **5.0 Discussion**

11 12 *5.1 RQ1: Using event design principles to deliver effective ESAs*

13
14 It is clear from the above case discussion that Cisco drew on a number of key
15
16 principles of event design in creating and delivering its successful ESAs. The Cisco case
17
18 suggests that a starting point for effective ESA lies in considering the multiple stakeholder
19
20 priorities of attendees (as both individuals and B2B clients) alongside the host's (Cisco's)
21
22 strategic priorities, and then combining the importance of both of these perspectives in the
23
24 process of ESA design. In fact, careful consideration of ESA design at all stages of its
25
26 planning and execution appears crucial to success. Where this is lacking, even in part, then
27
28 the utility and impact of ESA may be diminished. For example, by not embedding multiple
29
30 stakeholder priorities and associated business relevance (Poulsson and Kale, 2004), or
31
32 business-related intellectual stimulation (Zarantonello and Schmitt, 2013) for the target
33
34 audience within the CIS content, Cisco failed to drive audience traffic for this particular ESA.
35
36
37

38
39 Much sponsorship activation literature continues to focus on the sponsor's objectives
40
41 and priorities in developing effective activations (O'Reilly and Horning, 2013). However,
42
43 this case study further endorses the view of Papadimitriou and Apostolopoulou (2009) that
44
45 sponsors who offer something of value to wider stakeholder groups, including customers, are
46
47 more likely to be successful than those who focus solely on their own company's benefits.
48
49 Adopting this focus when considering the needs of ESA attendees appears to be the key
50
51 reason why Cisco was able to report success in broadly achieving its sponsorship objectives
52
53 with its London 2012 ESAs (Cisco Digital Best Practice Report; CiscoUKI, 2012g). It also
54
55 explains the positive impact of these ESAs, especially Cisco House, upon attendees. In short,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 whilst the objectives of the sponsor are imperative in designing ESAs, all other stakeholders,
4 including attendees as individual ESA consumers and B2B clients, need to be considered in
5 that event design process.
6
7
8

9
10 One particularly relevant design element which is central to the creation and delivery
11 of effective ESAs is theming (Nelson, 2009; Pine and Gilmore, 1998), in this case defined as
12 forging some link between event content and London 2012. The sponsorship context confers
13 onto sponsors the advantage of association with desirable properties, facilitating the nurturing
14 of connections between ESA attendees and sponsor brands which are both memorable and
15 relevant (Pine and Gilmore, 2016, 1999; Poulsson and Kale, 2004). For example, in the PFS
16 webinars, the Olympic theme facilitated focus on both sponsor and attendee objectives
17 (specifically, the former wished to be associated with the Olympic Games, while the business
18 challenges arising from London's hosting of the Games were of interest to the latter).
19

20
21 However, as exemplified by the CIS, in a B2B context sponsors must balance the theming of
22 the ESA with a strong focus on relevance to the business client; merely adding an Olympic
23 (or other similar sporting) theme to a planned event does not appear sufficient to entice busy
24 executives to devote time to attend it.
25
26
27
28

29
30 In contrast to the traditional view that company representatives in a B2B context
31 appraise marketing communications activities for their business-related reasons with less
32 emotional attachment than B2C consumers (Gilliland and Johnston, 1997), the motivation for
33 attendees to frequent and take part in ESA in a B2B context is driven by their combined
34 identity as both representatives of their employer and pleasure-seeking individuals. This
35 duality of roles has implications for designing effective ESAs if sponsor brands are to attract
36 enough of their target attendees. Where Cisco's ESAs (most notably Cisco House) were
37 particularly successful was in crafting multi-dimensional experiences, combining Pine and
38 Gilmore's (1998) elements of education (such as the PFS webinars or the Business
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Transformation Experience at Cisco House which offered attendees deeper and more
4
5 immersive opportunities for learning, knowledge exchange, networking, and time and space
6
7 to think about how experiences gained can be embedded within their organisations) with
8
9 more escapist (e.g. kinetic technology), aesthetic (e.g. the overall look and feel of Cisco
10
11 House with the view across the Olympic Park) and entertaining elements (e.g. the interactive
12
13 exhibits and, for some, tickets to Olympic events). Here, we see a departure from previous
14
15 work on B2B events such as trade shows, where sensorial elements have been deemed less
16
17 relevant (Rinallo *et al.*, 2010), and where there are suggestions that entertainment and
18
19 education needs cannot be satisfied by the same event (Altschwager *et al.*, 2015). By
20
21 recognising the dual motivations for attendance at B2B-focused events and adopting an
22
23 intricate and multifaceted event design, B2B sponsors can create experiences which deliver
24
25 on sensorial, affective, physical and cognitive levels (Schmitt, 1999). This can excite
26
27 attendees from an individual entertainment perspective, as well as offering them more
28
29 intellectually stimulating and justifiable business outcomes as representatives of their
30
31 employer. The latter is particularly relevant in light of the shifting ethical compass of
32
33 organisations in a post-recessionary climate, where businesses may increasingly need, or
34
35 need to be seen, to conduct their affairs in a financially and socially responsible manner
36
37 (Stern, 2012).
38
39
40
41
42

43 Thus, in answer to RQ1, the Cisco case has demonstrated that by drawing on not only
44
45 the principles of event/experience design traditionally associated with B2B events in a trade
46
47 show guise (i.e. a focus on the educational or cognitive dimensions), but also attending to the
48
49 entertainment, escapist and aesthetic needs of attendees as individuals, sponsors can deliver
50
51 successful ESAs. The Cisco ESAs which were successful in this were both virtual (PFS
52
53 webinars) and physical (Cisco House), thus it would appear that it is not the nature of the
54
55 event *per se* which facilitates this integration of functional and hedonistic value. Rather, it is
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 a focus on delivering business value to attendees in a setting or manner which they find
4 personally enticing in some way, either through its ease of access (e.g. archived PFS
5 webinars), opportunity to try something new (e.g. kinetic technology), or the sparking of a
6 personal interest (e.g. the unique view across the Olympic Park). This represents a departure
7 from CH, with its sole focus on hedonic entertainment. It also sets ESA apart from traditional
8 B2B event design thinking, which, based on notions of a rational customer (Gilliland and
9 Johnston, 1997), typically emphasises business relevance and positions sensorial stimulation
10 as merely a means to the end goal of event attendees acquiring knowledge (Rinallo *et al.*,
11 2010). The blending of business relevance with more entertainment-oriented sensorial event
12 design features (Holbrook and Hirschmann, 1982) represents an advancement of our
13 understanding of how sponsors should craft ESAs to achieve maximum value for both
14 themselves and attendees.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 *5.2 RQ2: Overcoming challenges posed by increased clutter, the terms of sponsorship*
33 *agreements and the unpredictable nature of sponsorship to deliver effective ESAs*
34
35

36 The restrictions placed on sponsor activity associated with the specific rights granted
37 to each sponsor present a challenge in the design and delivery of ESAs, as contrasted with
38 non-sponsorship-linked experiential marketing activities. In the case of Cisco's ESAs, the
39 organisation was able to overcome the restrictions on the promotion of its video conferencing
40 software by showcasing the benefits and features of its TelePresence technology during the
41 PFS webinars. The lack of overt presentation of Cisco products was also a feature of Cisco
42 House, with the emphasis placed more on what Cisco makes possible (i.e. positioning the
43 brand as a solutions provider). Thus, we see that well-crafted ESAs shift the managerial focus
44 of sponsorship activation towards the facilitation of experiences, where the products become
45 integral to the ESA delivery and hopefully sell themselves. Clearly, ESAs may work best for
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 sponsors that produce certain types of products and services. Although Cisco is not unique
4
5 amongst B2B organisations, its products are technology solutions, and these lend themselves
6
7 to the curation of innovative (Wood and Masterman, 2007) ESA activities that facilitate
8
9 participant involvement and interactive showcasing around business-relevant experiential
10
11 content. Creating an ESA similar to Cisco's might be more challenging for other B2B
12
13 organisations, particularly those whose product offerings are more tangible and/or potentially
14
15 less involving. However, such a challenge need not be insurmountable, particularly where
16
17 sponsors are able to work together creatively to deliver effective ESAs.
18
19

20
21 The PFS webinars provide a clear example of how the multi-sponsor network
22
23 associated with a large sporting event such as the Olympic Games may actually deliver
24
25 sponsors additional design opportunities for their ESAs by bringing them together with other
26
27 sponsors to enhance the value provided to attendees. Rather than seeing the cluttered, multi-
28
29 sponsor network as a challenge, the opportunity to collaboratively produce ESAs with a
30
31 diverse range of organisations, which offer potentially complementary products, services or
32
33 capabilities, opens up huge possibilities for brands to engage with audiences they may
34
35 otherwise have struggled to reach. Sponsors can thus become facilitators, rather than mere
36
37 providers. This means they can work together to deliver ESAs that adhere to sponsorship
38
39 rights restrictions but which are also memorable (Pine and Gilmore, 2016, 1999), not only for
40
41 their content, but also for their ability to stimulate attendees intellectually (Zarantonello and
42
43 Schmitt, 2013) and thus deliver solutions to their particular needs (Crowther and Donlan,
44
45 2011; Kale et al., 2010; Wohlfeil and Whelan, 2007). As discussed above, the creative
46
47 blending of these educational and entertaining design features also allows sponsors to
48
49 navigate the accountability challenge posed by legal restrictions and ethical codes of conduct
50
51 surrounding B2B hospitality.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The increasingly cluttered sponsorship environment presents a further challenge,
4
5 which is the question of how to attract the desired attendees to ESAs. In this respect, the
6
7 Cisco case showcases an innovative means of event promotion, such that an invitation to a
8
9 particular ESA confers a sense of exclusivity and therefore is more likely to lead to
10
11 attendance by the target market. This effective targeting of messages towards particular
12
13 individuals (who, as seen above, sometimes were not even the directly sought attendees)
14
15 helps defuse the conventional CH problem of sponsors attempting to out-lavish each other to
16
17 secure stakeholder attendance at the same sporting event (Bennett, 2003; Luckhurst, 1996).
18
19

20
21 Echoing previous studies of sponsorship activation, which emphasise the importance
22
23 of selecting an appropriate mix of activation tools (O'Reilly and Horning, 2013; Davies and
24
25 Tsiantas, 2008), it is clear that ESA activities will be less effective and impactful, both for
26
27 sponsors and the businesses represented through client attendees, if they do not make full use
28
29 of the various other promotional media platforms available. This case also demonstrates the
30
31 power of social media in maximising the impact of ESA, which in turn has implications for
32
33 sponsorship activation and evaluation more generally (Meenaghan *et al.*, 2013). Properly
34
35 integrated social media and other sponsorship-linked marketing communications can extend
36
37 the impact of ESAs away from the immediate time and space boundaries of the sponsored
38
39 sports event itself (Crowther, 2010), thereby helping avoid direct interaction with other
40
41 potential sponsorship clutter around a given event.
42
43
44

45
46 Inherent in the nature of sponsorship is a sponsor's lack of control over the actions of
47
48 the sponsored property (Meenaghan, 1991; Westberg *et al.*, 2008), and the potential negative
49
50 image transfer associated with scandals, athlete transgressions or other negative publicity
51
52 associated with the sponsored event (Hughes and Shank, 2005). In contrast, a much lauded
53
54 strength of event marketing is that it allows marketers full control over the way in which their
55
56 brands are presented (Whelan and Wohlfeil, 2006). In the case of London 2012, the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 prevailing sentiment during the Games was positive (Clark and Gibson, 2012), presenting
4
5 few real issues to sponsors such as Cisco. However, the unique nature of each sponsored
6
7 event means that this may not always be the case. Staging custom-built ESAs places the
8
9 sponsor brands at the heart of the attendee experience (Cliffe and Motion, 2005), allowing
10
11 them to more fully shape the outcomes and retain some modicum of control over at least that
12
13 part of an attendee's interaction with their brand within the sponsored event context (Donlan
14
15 and Crowther, 2014).
16
17

18
19 In summary, the Cisco case suggests that sponsors may face a series of challenges
20
21 arising from the unpredictable nature of sponsorship itself, the restrictions imposed by
22
23 sponsorship agreements and increasing sponsorship clutter. In answer to RQ2, the integration
24
25 of sponsor products and services into ESA design and delivery, along with creativity and
26
27 innovation in the marketing of ESAs as part of a wider integrated sponsorship activation
28
29 campaign, and also the adoption of multi-sponsor collaboration, all appear crucial in allowing
30
31 sponsors to navigate these challenges.
32
33

34 35 36 **6.0 Conclusion and Implications**

37
38 Consistent with wider growth in event and experiential marketing, the staging of spin-
39
40 off events, or ESA, is increasingly a means for brands to activate their sports sponsorships to
41
42 maximum effect. This paper has provided a detailed examination of the implementation of
43
44 ESA by a B2B brand, thus contributing to the wider body of knowledge on sponsorship
45
46 activation and extending understanding of this within a B2B context. In particular, we argue
47
48 that ESA represents a departure from conventional thinking in B2B event design, which
49
50 typically advocates a much stricter demarcation between the educational and entertainment
51
52 dimensions. Our findings suggest that when developing ESAs, it is important that sponsors
53
54 do not draw solely on the event design principles associated with B2B events such as trade
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 shows, which might usually include a focus on business relevance and education. Instead, in
4
5 order to meet needs of attendees as individuals and business representatives, sponsors might
6
7 helpfully blend these business-focused event objectives with more hedonic and sensorial
8
9 event design features, more commonly seen in areas such as B2C event design and traditional
10
11 CH for B2B clients. This blurring of the boundaries between the design principles
12
13 traditionally associated with both B2B and B2C events represents a refining of our
14
15 understanding of how sponsors can craft effective ESAs which are relevant for the 21st
16
17 Century sponsorship environment. From a practitioner perspective, the implication is that
18
19 sponsors may need to reappraise event designs aimed at B2B clients, blending cognitive and
20
21 more sensorial and hedonic elements.
22
23

24
25 Unlike non-sponsorship-linked B2B events, in developing ESAs, sports sponsors face
26
27 a number of challenges arising from the nature of sponsorship, growing sponsorship clutter,
28
29 and restrictions imposed by rights holders such as the IOC and FIFA. In practical terms, the
30
31 Cisco case demonstrates that joint working between diverse sponsors and other stakeholders
32
33 in ESA design and delivery represents a fruitful solution to the challenge of balancing
34
35 sponsorship restrictions around product categories with a sponsor's marketing objectives,
36
37 particularly in a multi-sponsor context. From a theoretical perspective, this suggests that
38
39 understandings of the scope of collaboration between sponsors and other stakeholders (see,
40
41 for example, Farrelly and Quester, 2005) can be extended to incorporate inter-sponsor
42
43 collaboration in the design and delivery of ESAs. A notable challenge in these increasingly
44
45 cluttered multi-sponsor contexts is simply getting the 'right' people to attend an ESA. To this
46
47 end, the Cisco case indicates that ESA attendance can be boosted by creating a buzz around
48
49 an event through its promotion on social media to target attendees, as well as to those
50
51 individuals who are not directly sought by the sponsor, but who, through their word-of-mouth
52
53 creation, may encourage attendance of the targets in question.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

This paper has explored how the principles of experiential marketing and event design can be incorporated into ESA by a B2B brand in a sports mega-event context. In light of the limitation acknowledged above relating to the use of Cisco-produced sources, future research could look to draw on the perspective(s) of multiple stakeholders, namely: brand sponsorship managers, event managers, attendees, and rights holders. This could allow for comparison and an effectiveness appraisal of the various ESA approaches of different sponsoring brands in different sponsorship contexts. Additionally, future research could examine the use of ESA by a wider range of B2B sponsors, especially in product/service sectors which do not have some of the technology showcasing advantages of brands such as Cisco. Finally, as ESA practice continues to evolve, future studies may choose a longitudinal perspective to more fully understand the use of ESA as a tool in the contemporary sports sponsor's activational armoury.

8.0 References

- 14zz4. (2012), "@CiscoUKI @CiscoSystems dedicated cisco network engineer here, desperately wanting to visit the 2012 #CiscoHouse ! any chance?? #CSL2012", available at: <https://twitter.com/14zz4> (accessed 19 July 2012).
- Abdallah, C. and Langley, A. (2014), "The double edge of ambiguity in strategic planning", *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol 51 No. 2, pp. 235-264.
- Alcántara, E., Artacho, M.A., Martínez, N. and Zamora, T. (2014), "Designing experiences strategically", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 67 No. 6, pp. 1074-1080.
- Altschwager, T., Goodman, S., Conduit, J., and Habel, C. (2015), "Branded Marketing Events: A Proposed "Experiential Needs-Based" Conceptual Framework", *Event Management*, Vol 19 No. 3, pp. 381-390

1
2
3 Archer, P. (2011), "At the crossroads of innovation", available at:

4
5 <http://www.gpj.co.uk/assets/pdf/RaconteuronCorporateHospitality&Events-1.pdf>.

6
7 (accessed 25 June 2014).

8
9 asendent88. (2012), "Here at #ciscohouse What's missing here is some visable hardware
10 technology like the 7k or the 1000v. Show clients Cisco's core not fluff", available at:

11
12 <https://twitter.com/search?f=realtime&q=%23ciscohouse&src=typd> (accessed 12 May

13
14
15
16
17 2014).

18 Bennett, R. (2003), "Corporate hospitality: executive indulgence or vital corporate
19 communications weapon?", *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*,
20
21 Vol 8 No. 4, pp. 229-240.

22
23
24
25 BethanLucas1st. (2012), "had a lovely day Friday at #ciscohouse in London. Amazing
26 technology and wonderful presentation from #Stevenfry!

27
28 <pic.twitter.com/WMoPWAKx>", available at: <https://twitter.com/BethanLucas1st>

29
30
31 (accessed 9 May 2012).

32
33
34 Björner, E., and Berg, P.O. (2012), "Strategic creation of experiences at Shanghai World
35 Expo: a practice of communification", *International Journal of Event and Festival*
36
37
38
39 *Management*, Vol 3 No. 1, pp. 30 – 45.

40
41 Cahill, J. and Meenaghan, T. (2013), "Sponsorship at O2 – "The belief that repaid"",

42
43
44 *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol 30 No. 5, pp. 431-443.

45 Carrillat, F. A., d'Astous, A., and Christianis, H. (2014), "Guilty by association: The perils of
46 celebrity endorsement for endorsed brands and their direct competitors", *Psychology*
47
48
49
50
51 *& Marketing*, Vol 31 No. 11, pp. 1024-1039.

52 Chetwynd, C. (1998), "Corrupt hospitality", *Marketing Week*, 5 November, pp. 47-50.

53
54 Cisco. (2012a), "Cisco at the games", available at:

55
56 <http://www.ciscolondon2012.co.uk/learn/cisco-at-the-games> (accessed 18 July 2012).

1
2
3 Cisco. (2012b), “*Cisco house*”, available at: [http://www.ciscolondon2012.co.uk/learn/cisco-](http://www.ciscolondon2012.co.uk/learn/cisco-house)
4 [house](http://www.ciscolondon2012.co.uk/learn/cisco-house) (accessed 9 July 2012).

7 CiscoUKI. (2012a), “*How much time could you save your business from a 30 min webinar!*
8 *Join us and TfL this Fri to find out http://bit.ly/q9RAkj #transport2012*” Twitter”,
9 available at: <https://twitter.com/CiscoUKI> (accessed 5 October 2012)

13 CiscoUKI. (2012b), “*Planning 4 staff absenteeism during London2012? Join the Deloitte,*
14 *Cisco & Lloyds TSB HR webinar http://csc0.ly/6015R9zP #plan4successL2012*”,
15 available at: <https://twitter.com/CiscoUKI> (accessed 18 November 2012).

20 CiscoUKI. (2012c), “*HR webinar: Plan for success London 2012 – Games readiness*
21 *preparation*”, Available at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bCbyl9iLulk>
22 (accessed 20 May 2013).

27 CiscoUKI. (2012d), “*Plan for success: ‘Games go digital’ business impact webinar*”,
28 available at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=72w-7THVoLg> (accessed 20 May
29 2013).

34 CiscoUKI. (2012e), “*[Webinar]Games Go Digital: London 2012 #plan4success 28Feb*
35 *12:30GMTJoin now BBC BT Adecco&Cisco http://csc0.ly/6013R2IH*”, available at:
36 <https://twitter.com/CiscoUKI> (accessed 15 February 2012).

40 CiscoUKI. (2012f), “*Just 1 week to reg for the security webinar from Deloitte, Atos & G4S.*
41 *Register http://bit.ly/Kq084I #plan4success 1 June @ 12:30BST.*”, available at:
42 <https://twitter.com/CiscoUKI> (accessed 28 May 2012).

47 CiscoUKI. (2012g), “*Cisco House*”, available at:
48 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=reskE3m987I> (accessed 20 May 2013).

51 CiscoUKI. (2012h), “*Cisco House: Executive Business Transformational Experience*”,
52 available at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2T2ZZ3bYDZc&feature=youtu.be>
53 (accessed 5 May 2013).

1
2
3 CiscoUKI. (2012i), “*Cisco's London 2012 sponsorship*”, available at:

4
5 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vua2GA3eSzw> (accessed 5 May 2013).

6
7 Clark, T. and Gibson, O. (2012), “*London 2012's Team GB success sparks feelgood factor*”,

8
9 available at: [https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2012/aug/10/london-2012-team-gb-](https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2012/aug/10/london-2012-team-gb-success-feelgood-factor)
10
11 [success-feelgood-factor](https://www.theguardian.com/sport/2012/aug/10/london-2012-team-gb-success-feelgood-factor) (accessed 23 September 2016).

12
13 Cliffe, S.J. and Motion, B. (2005), “Building contemporary brands: A sponsorship-based
14
15 strategy”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 58 No. 8, pp. 1068–77.

16
17 Close, A. G., and Lacey, R. (2014), “How the anticipation can be as great as the experience:
18
19 Explaining event sponsorship exhibit outcomes via affective forecasting”, *Journal of*
20
21 *Current Issues & Research in Advertising*, Vol 35 No. 2, pp. 209-224.

22
23 Collett, P. (2012), “*London 2012: the Cisco House opening*”, available at:

24
25 <https://blogs.cisco.com/cle/london-2012-the-cisco-house-opening/> (accessed 9 May
26
27
28
29
30 2014).

31
32 Coppetti, C., Wentzel, D., Tomczak, T., and Henkel, S. (2009), “Improving incongruent
33
34 sponsorships through articulation of the sponsorship and audience participation”,
35
36 *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol 15 No. 1, pp. 17-34.

37
38 Cornwell, T. B., and Relyea, G. E. (2000), “Understanding long-term effects of sports
39
40 sponsorship: Role of experience, involvement, enthusiasm and clutter”, *International*
41
42 *Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship*, Vol 2 No. 2, pp. 39-55.

43
44 Crader, T.J., and Santomier, J. (2011), “Imagination at work and play: a case-study analysis
45
46 of General Electric's Olympic sponsorship”, *Sport, Business and Management: An*
47
48 *International Journal*, Vol 1 No. 1), p. 61 – 75.

49
50 Crowther, P. (2010), “Marketing space: A conceptual framework for marketing events”, *The*
51
52 *Marketing Review*, Vol 10 No. 4, pp. 369-383.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Crowther, P., and Donlan, L. (2011), "Value-creation space: The role of events in a service-
4 dominant marketing paradigm", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 27 No.
5 13/14, pp. 1444-1463.
6
7
8
9
10 Davies, F., and Tsiantas, G. (2008), "Selection of leveraging strategies by national Olympic
11 sponsors: A proposed model", *International Journal of Sports Marketing &*
12 *Sponsorship*, Vol 9 No. 4, pp. 271-289.
13
14
15
16 Dees, W. (2011), "New media and technology use in corporate sport sponsorship: performing
17 activational leverage from an exchange perspective", *International Journal of Sport*
18 *Management and Marketing*, Vol 10 No. 3-4, pp. 272-285.
19
20
21
22
23 Degaris, L. and West, C. (2012), "The effects of sponsorship activation on the sales of a
24 major soft drink brand", *Journal of Brand Strategy*, Vol 1 No. 4, pp. 403-412.
25
26
27
28 Degun, T. (2012), "Former world record holder shares Cadbury's London 2012 pop-up
29 venue details", available at: [http://www.insidethegames.biz/olympics/summer-
30 olympics/2012/sponsors/17389-former-world-record-holder-shares-cadburys-london-
31 2012-pop-up-venue-details-](http://www.insidethegames.biz/olympics/summer-olympics/2012/sponsors/17389-former-world-record-holder-shares-cadburys-london-2012-pop-up-venue-details-) (accessed 9 July 2012).
32
33
34
35
36 Delia, E.B., and Armstrong, C.G. (2015), "#Sponsoring the #FrenchOpen: An Examination
37 of Social Media Buzz and Sentiment", *Journal of Sport Management*, Vol 29 No. 2,
38 pp.184-199.
39
40
41
42
43 Dodds, M., Degaris, L. and Perricone, D. (2014), "The influence of gender on baseball
44 sponsorship activation tactics", *Journal of Brand Strategy*, Vol 3 No. 1, pp. 71-79.
45
46
47
48 Donlan, L. and Crowther, P. (2014), "Leveraging sponsorship to achieve consumer
49 relationship objectives through the creation of 'marketing spaces': An exploratory
50 study", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol 20 No. 4, pp. 291-306.
51
52
53
54 Eisenhardt, K.M. (1989), "Building theories from case study research", *Academy of*
55 *Management Review* Vol 14 No 4, pp. 532-550.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Event Marketer. (2013), "EX Awards", available at: [http://cdn.eventmarketer.com/wp-](http://cdn.eventmarketer.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/2013-Ex-Awards.pdf)
4 [content/uploads/2014/01/2013-Ex-Awards.pdf](http://cdn.eventmarketer.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/2013-Ex-Awards.pdf) (accessed 9 May 2014).
5
6
7 Farrelly, F., and Quester, P. (2005), "Investigating large-scale sponsorship relationships as
8 co-marketing alliances", *Business Horizons*, Vol 48 No. 1, pp. 55-62.
9
10
11 Farrelly, F., Quester, P., and Burton, R. (2006), "Changes in sponsorship value:
12 Competencies and capabilities of successful sponsorship relationships", *Industrial*
13 *Marketing Management*, Vol 35 No. 8, pp. 1016-1026.
14
15
16 Fortunato, J.A. (2015), "Sponsorship and the elaboration likelihood model: BMW's 2014
17 Winter Olympic brand strategy", *Journal of Brand Strategy*, Vol 4 No. 1, pp. 83-95.
18
19
20 Fortunato, J.A. (2013), "Sponsorship activation and social responsibility: How Mastercard
21 and Major League Baseball partner to Stand Up To Cancer", *Journal of Brand*
22 *Strategy*, Vol 2 No. 3, pp. 300-311.
23
24
25 Fransen, M. L., Rompay, T. J. V., and Muntinga, D. G. (2013), "Increasing sponsorship
26 effectiveness through brand experience", *International Journal of Sports Marketing*
27 *and Sponsorship*, Vol 14 No. 2, pp. 37-50.
28
29
30 Gentile, C., Spiller, N., and Noci, G. (2007), "How to sustain the customer experience: An
31 overview of experience components that co-create value with the customer",
32 *European Management Journal*, Vol 25 No. 5, pp. 395-410.
33
34
35 Gilliland, D.I. and Johnston, W.J. (1997), "Toward a model of business-to-business
36 marketing communications effects", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 26 No. 1,
37 pp. 15-29.
38
39
40 Gilmore, J.H., and Pine, B.J. (2002), "Customer experience places: the new offering
41 frontier", *Strategy & Leadership*, Vol 30 No. 4, pp. 4-11.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Google. (2012), “Cadbury's Google+ Activity Connects with Over 1.2 Million People”,
4
5 available at: [http://www.google.co.uk/think/case-studies/cadbury-sweet-google-](http://www.google.co.uk/think/case-studies/cadbury-sweet-google-plus.html)
6
7 [plus.html](http://www.google.co.uk/think/case-studies/cadbury-sweet-google-plus.html) (accessed 5 June 2013).
8
9
10 Grönroos, C. (1990), “Relationship approach to marketing in service contexts: the marketing
11
12 and organizational behaviour interface”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 20 No. 1,
13
14 pp. 3-11.
15
16
17 Guardian. (2012), “London 2012 Olympic sponsors list: who are they and what have they
18
19 paid?”, available at: [https://www.theguardian.com/sport/datablog/2012/jul/19/london-](https://www.theguardian.com/sport/datablog/2012/jul/19/london-2012-olympic-sponsors-list)
20
21 [2012-olympic-sponsors-list](https://www.theguardian.com/sport/datablog/2012/jul/19/london-2012-olympic-sponsors-list) (Accessed 8 August 2016).
22
23
24 Henseler, J., Wilson, B., and Westberg, K. (2011), “Managers’ perceptions of the impact of
25
26 sport sponsorship on brand equity: Which aspects of the sponsorship matter most?”,
27
28 *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, Vol 20 No. 1, pp. 7-21.
29
30
31 Heracleous, L. (2006), *Discourse, Interpretation, Organization*, Cambridge University Press,
32
33 Cambridge.
34
35
36 Holbrook, M. B., and Hirschman, E. C. (1982), “The experiential aspects of consumption:
37
38 Consumer fantasy, feelings and fun”, *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol 9 No. 2, pp.
39
40 132-140.
41
42
43 Hughes, S., and Shank, M. (2005), “Defining scandal in sports: Media and corporate sponsor
44
45 perspectives”, *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, Vol 14 No. 4, pp. 207-216.
46
47
48 IEG. (2015), “New year to be one of growth and challenges for sponsorship industry”,
49
50 available at: [http://www.sponsorship.com/iegsr/2015/01/06/New-Year-To-Be-One-](http://www.sponsorship.com/iegsr/2015/01/06/New-Year-To-Be-One-Of-Growth-And-Challenges-for-Sp.aspx)
51
52 [Of-Growth-And-Challenges-for-Sp.aspx](http://www.sponsorship.com/iegsr/2015/01/06/New-Year-To-Be-One-Of-Growth-And-Challenges-for-Sp.aspx) (accessed 3 August 2015).
53
54
55 IEG. (2016), “Rio 2016 Sponsor Profile. TOP Sponsor – Samsung”, available at:
56
57 [http://www.sponsorship.com/Latest-Thinking/Rio-2016-Olympic-Sponsorship-](http://www.sponsorship.com/Latest-Thinking/Rio-2016-Olympic-Sponsorship-Insights/Samsung.aspx)
58
59 [Insights/Samsung.aspx](http://www.sponsorship.com/Latest-Thinking/Rio-2016-Olympic-Sponsorship-Insights/Samsung.aspx) (accessed 19 December 2016).
60

1
2
3 Incisive Media. (2012), "London Olympics 2012: Cisco House tour", available at:

4
5 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2wsaySVEQeI> (accessed 9 May 2014).

6
7 j_caron. (2012), "#Cisco leveraging Olympics gig with #CiscoHouse – good focus on what
8 networks do, not just networks themselves – key positioning for them", available at:

9
10 https://twitter.com/j_caron (accessed 9 May 2014).

11
12
13 Kahuni, A.T., and Rowley, J. (2013), "Corporate brand relationships: the case of TOYOTA
14 F1 Racing Team", *Sport, Business and Management: An International Journal*, Vol 3
15 No. 1, pp. 8-18.

16
17 Kale, S.H., Pentecost, R.D., and Zlatevska, N. (2010), "Designing and delivering compelling
18 experiences: Insights from the 2008 Democratic National Convention", *International Journal*
19 *of Event and Festival Management*, Vol 1 No. 2, pp. 148-159

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Keynote. (2014), "Corporate Hospitality", available at: https://www.keynote.co.uk/market-report/business-services/corporate-hospitality?full_report=true (accessed 18
December 2015).

Kumar, R. (1997), "The role of affect in negotiations: An integrative overview", *Journal of Behavioural Science*, Vol 33 No. 1, pp. 84-100.

London 2012. (2009), "London 2012 announces change of official network infrastructure partner", available at: <http://www.london2012.com/media-centre/article=london-2012-announces-change-of-official-network-infrast.html> (accessed 18 July 2012).

Lough, N. L., Irwin, R. L., and Short, G. (2000), "Corporate sponsorship motives among North American companies: A contemporary analysis", *International Journal of Sport Management*, Vol 1 No. 4, pp. 283-295.

Luckhurst, J. (1996), "Away game", *Marketing Week*, 22 March, pp. 41-45.

- 1
2
3 Marsden, R. (2012), “Don't miss the chance to get a bird's-eye view of the Olympics”,
4
5 available at: [http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/sport/other-sports/dont-miss-the-chance-](http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/sport/other-sports/dont-miss-the-chance-to-get-a-birdseye-view-of-the-olympics-7770172.html)
6
7 [to-get-a-birdseye-view-of-the-olympics-7770172.html](http://www.thisislondon.co.uk/sport/other-sports/dont-miss-the-chance-to-get-a-birdseye-view-of-the-olympics-7770172.html) (accessed 9 May 2014).
8
9
10 Mazodier, M., and Merunka, D. (2012), “Achieving brand loyalty through sponsorship: the
11
12 role of fit and self-congruity”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 40
13
14 No. 6, pp. 807-820.
15
16 McCole, P. (2004), “Refocusing marketing to reflect practice: The changing role of
17
18 marketing for business”, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol 22 No. 5, pp. 531–
19
20 539.
21
22
23 Meenaghan, T. (1991), “Sponsorship – legitimising the medium”, *European Journal of*
24
25 *Marketing*, Vol 25 No. 11, pp. 5-10.
26
27
28 Meenaghan, T., McLoughlin, D. and McCormack, A. (2013), “New challenges in
29
30 sponsorship evaluation actors, new media, and the context of praxis”, *Psychology &*
31
32 *Marketing*, Vol 30 No. 5, pp. 444-460.
33
34
35 Ministry of Justice. (2012), “*The Bribery Act 2010. Quick start guide*”, available at:
36
37 [http://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/legislation/bribery-act-2010-quick-start-](http://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/legislation/bribery-act-2010-quick-start-guide.pdf)
38
39 [guide.pdf](http://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/legislation/bribery-act-2010-quick-start-guide.pdf) (accessed 5 December 2014).
40
41
42 Moore-Evans, D. (2012), “*A house of innovation and forward thinking – Cisco House*”,
43
44 available at: [http://www.interbrand.com/en/knowledge/blog/post/2012-05-07/A-](http://www.interbrand.com/en/knowledge/blog/post/2012-05-07/A-house-of-Innovation-and-Forward-Thinking-%E2%80%93-Cisco-House.aspx)
45
46 [house-of-Innovation-and-Forward-Thinking-%E2%80%93-Cisco-House.aspx](http://www.interbrand.com/en/knowledge/blog/post/2012-05-07/A-house-of-Innovation-and-Forward-Thinking-%E2%80%93-Cisco-House.aspx)
47
48 (accessed 9 May 2014).
49
50
51 Nelson, K. B. (2009), “Enhancing the attendee's experience through creative design of the
52
53 event environment: Applying Goffman's dramaturgical perspective”, *Journal of*
54
55 *Convention & Event Tourism*, Vol 10 No. 2, pp. 120-133.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 O'Reilly, N. and Horning, D.L. (2013), "Leveraging sponsorship: the activation ratio", *Sport*
4
5 *Management Review*, Vol 16 No. 4, pp. 424-437.
6
7 Panasonic. (2016), "Dream "FITA" Project", available at: [https://dream-fita-](https://dream-fita-rio2016.panasonic.com/en/)
8
9 [rio2016.panasonic.com/en/](https://dream-fita-rio2016.panasonic.com/en/) (accessed 19 December 2016).
10
11 Panja, T. (2016), "Heineken Says Olympic Sponsorship Too Crowded to Be Valuable",
12
13 available at: [https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-08-12/heineken-says-](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-08-12/heineken-says-olympic-sponsorship-is-too-crowded-to-be-valuable)
14
15 [olympic-sponsorship-is-too-crowded-to-be-valuable](https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-08-12/heineken-says-olympic-sponsorship-is-too-crowded-to-be-valuable) (accessed 19 December 2016)
16
17 Papadimitriou, D., and Apostolopoulou, A. (2009), "Olympic sponsorship activation and the
18
19 creation of competitive advantage", *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol 15 No.
20
21 1/2, pp. 90-117.
22
23 Penna, D., and Guenzi, P. (2014), "How to use a sponsorship platform to support an
24
25 international master brand strategy: The UniCredit UEFA champions league
26
27 sponsorship", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol 21 No. 2, pp. 133-149.
28
29 Performance Research (2014), "2014 Performance Research/IEG Sponsorship Decision-
30
31 Makers Survey", available at: [http://www.performanceresearch.com/sponsor-survey-](http://www.performanceresearch.com/sponsor-survey-2014.htm)
32
33 [2014.htm](http://www.performanceresearch.com/sponsor-survey-2014.htm) (accessed 5 December 2014).
34
35 Perry, C. (1998), "Processes of a case study methodology for postgraduate research in
36
37 marketing", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 32 No. 9/10, pp. 785 – 802.
38
39 Pine, B. J., and Gilmore, J. H. (1998), "Welcome to the experience economy", *Harvard*
40
41 *Business Review*, Vol 76 No. 4, pp. 97-105.
42
43 Pine, B. J., and Gilmore, J. H. (1999), *The experience economy. Work is theatre and every*
44
45 *business a stage*, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
46
47 Pine, B.J., and Gilmore, J. (2016), "Integrating experiences into your business model: five
48
49 approaches", *Strategy & Leadership*, Vol 44 No. 1, pp. 3-10.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Poulsson, S. H. G., and Kale, S. H. (2004), "The experience economy and commercial
4 experiences", *The Marketing Review*, Vol 4 No. 3, pp. 267-277.
5
6
7 Prahalad, C.K., and Ramaswamy, V. (2004), "Co-creation experiences: The next practice in
8 value creation", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol 18 No. 3, pp. 5-14.
9
10 Pullman, M.E., and Gross, M.A. (2004), "Ability of experience design elements to elicit
11 emotions and loyalty behaviours", *Decision Sciences*, Vol 35 No. 3, pp. 551-578.
12
13 Riccioni, M. (2012), "My trip to the Cisco House at the Olympic Park", available at:
14
15 <http://www.michaelriccioni.com/my-trip-to-the-cisco-house-at-the-olympic-park/>
16
17 (accessed 9 May 2014).
18
19
20 Rinallo, D., Borghini, S., and Golfetto, F. (2010), "Exploring visitor experiences at trade
21 shows", *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol 25 No. 4, pp. 249-258.
22
23
24 Rio2016 (2016), "Brand protection guidelines", available at:
25
26 https://www.rio2016.com/sites/default/files/users/flavio/brand_protection_guideline_f
27
28 [or_advertising_market.pdf](https://www.rio2016.com/sites/default/files/users/flavio/brand_protection_guideline_f) (accessed 10 August 2016)
29
30
31
32 Schmitt, B. H. (1999), *Experiential marketing: How to get customers to sense, feel, think, act,*
33 *and relate to your company and brands*, Free Press, New York.
34
35
36 Singh, G., and Bhatia, A. (2015), "Important Objectives and Important Considerations in
37 Objective Setting for Sport Sponsorship by Indian Companies", *International Journal*
38 *of Management, Accounting & Economics*, Vol 2 No. 7, pp. 646-655.
39
40
41 Smilansky, S. (2009), *Experiential marketing: A practical guide to interactive brand*
42 *experiences*, Kogan Page, London.
43
44
45 Sneath, J.Z., Finney, R.Z., and Close, A.G. (2005), "An IMC approach to event marketing:
46 The effects of sponsorship and experience on customer attitudes", *Journal of*
47 *Advertising Research*, Vol 45 No. 4, pp. 373-381.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Stokes, R. (2005), "Relationship marketing during Rugby World Cup 2003: a comparative
4 analysis of public and private sector sponsors", *International Journal of Sports*
5 *Marketing & Sponsorship*, Vol 7 No. 1, pp. 49-56.
6
7
8
9
10 Stern, S. (2012), "RBS puts the champagne back on ice as corporate hospitality feels the
11 pinch", available at: [https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/jun/27/rbs-](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/jun/27/rbs-champagne-ice-corporate-hospitality)
12 [champagne-ice-corporate-hospitality](https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/jun/27/rbs-champagne-ice-corporate-hospitality) (accessed 29 September 2016)
13
14
15
16 Tafesse, W. (2016), "Conceptualization of Brand Experience in an Event Marketing
17 Context", *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol 22 No. 1, pp. 34-48.
18
19
20 Vargo, S. L., and Lusch, R. F. (2004) "Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing",
21 *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 68 No. 1, pp. 1-17.
22
23
24
25 UEFA. (2016), "UEFA EURO2016 Press Kit", available at:
26 [http://www.uefa.com/MultimediaFiles/Download/competitions/General/02/28/61/20/2](http://www.uefa.com/MultimediaFiles/Download/competitions/General/02/28/61/20/286120_DOWNLOAD.pdf)
27 [286120_DOWNLOAD.pdf](http://www.uefa.com/MultimediaFiles/Download/competitions/General/02/28/61/20/286120_DOWNLOAD.pdf) (accessed 19 December 2016).
28
29
30
31
32 VisitRio. (2016) "Panasonic Stadium of Wonders", available at:
33 <http://visit.rio/en/evento/panasonic-stadium-of-wonders-2/> (accessed 10 August 2016)
34
35
36 Weeks, C.S. Cornwell, T.B., and Drennan, J.C. (2008), "Leveraging sponsorships on the
37 Internet: Activation, congruence, and articulation", *Psychology & Marketing* Vol 25
38 No. 7, pp. 637-654.
39
40
41
42 Westberg, K., Stavros, C., and Wilson, B. (2008), "An examination of the impact of player
43 transgressions on sponsorship b2b relationships", *International Journal of Sports*
44 *Marketing & Sponsorship* Vol 9 No. 2, pp. 125-134.
45
46
47
48
49 Whelan, S., and Wohlfeil, M. (2006), "Communicating brands through engagement with
50 'lived' experiences", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol 13 No. 4/5, pp. 313-329.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Wohlfeil, M., and Whelan, S. (2006), "Consumer motivations to participate in event
4 marketing strategies", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 22 No. 5/6, pp. 643-
5 669.
6
7
8
9 Wohlfeil, M., and Whelan, S. (2007), "Like being a drop in a freshly-poured Guinness pint:
10 consumer motivations to participate in the "Guinness Storehouse"", *The Marketing*
11 *Review*, Vol 7 No. 3, pp. 283-300.
12
13
14
15 Wood, E. H. (2009), "Evaluating event marketing: Experience or outcome?", *Journal of*
16 *Promotion Management*, Vol 15 No. 1/2, pp. 247-268.
17
18
19 Wood, E. H., and Masterman, G. (2007), "Event marketing: Experience and exploitation",
20 *Extraordinary Experiences Conference: Managing the Consumer Experience in*
21 *Hospitality, Leisure, Sport, Tourism, Retail and Events*, Bournemouth University.
22
23
24
25
26 Woodside, A.G. and Wilson, E.J. (2003), "Case study research methods for theory building",
27 *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, Vol 18 No. 6/7, pp. 493-508.
28
29
30
31 Yin, R. K. (2009), *Case Study Research Design and Methods*, Sage, London.
32
33
34 Zarantonello, L., and Schmitt, B. H. (2013), "The impact of event marketing on brand equity:
35 The mediating roles of brand experience and brand attitude", *International Journal of*
36 *Advertising*, Vol 32 No. 2, pp. 255-280.
37
38
39
40 Zomerdijk, L. G., and Voss, C. A. (2010), "Service design for experience-centric services",
41 *Journal of Service Research*, Vol 13 No. 1, pp. 67-82.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60