

How can Blackboard assist in Assessment and Facilitation of Knowledge Exchange?

NORTCLIFFE, Anne <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6972-6051>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/14532/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

NORTCLIFFE, Anne (2005). How can Blackboard assist in Assessment and Facilitation of Knowledge Exchange? In: International Conference on Engineering Education ICEE'2005, Gliwice, Poland, 25-29 July 2005.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>



How can Blackboard
assist in Assessment
and Facilitation of
Knowledge Exchange?

Anne Nortcliffe

••••• Introduction

- Blackboard is an electronic medium support teaching activities
- Blackboard more than tool for posting data
- Blackboard has potential to facilitate, encourage and monitor independent learning outside the classroom
- Presentation will demonstrate 3 year study of Blackboard in Practise




Rationale for Approach

- Dry and ever changing module subject
- Attracted to Powell `s Experiment
- Innovate deliver and assessment
- Promote independent learning
- Academic a facilitator
- Meet the learning outcomes
- Blackboard assists in facilitation of learning
- Deeper learning



Higher Level of Learning



Original Novel Approach

- Students design and deliver module
- Supported by Blackboard:
 - On-line groups
 - On-line discussions
 - On-line academic summaries



Original Configuration

- Student deliver course and post handouts on Blackboard
- Academic summaries of topics posted on Blackboard
- Discussion Boards Provided
 - General
 - Q & A
- End Semester Phase Test
 - Pool 50 Blackboard multi-questions
 - 4 possible answers to each questions
 - Typical 5 questions per lecture topic
 - Each student presented with 25 random selected multi-choice questions



Original Results 02/03

- During Semester the discussion board actively used
- Phase Test Results
 - Class average mark was 61% std 12
 - Class average mark was 67% std 37 on questions relevant to their topic deliver
 - Class average mark was 61% std 13 on questions on topics not research and lectured by students
- Deeper level of learning



Student Reflection

- Majority learnt from the experience and more than from conventional approach
- Supplementary teaching task was too difficult
- Also, was stressful, however not adverse to repeating the exercise
- Q & A sessions should continue on-line
- Academic should provide e-supplementary material




Conclusion for 02/03

- Evidence deep level learning and knowledge retention
- Student in general positive
 - Teaching
 - On-line support
- Blackboard facilities provide an effective learning resource
- Future student e-summaries broaden learning



Potential Learning of E-Summary



Revised Configuration

- Students design module
- Students facilitate on-line summaries
- Supported by Blackboard:
 - On-line groups
 - On-line discussions
 - On-line student summaries
 - On-line academic summaries
- 04/05 less factual phase test more applied questions, for example



Results In practise BB 03/04

- Discussion board actively used
 - Q & A 10 messages 6 participants
- General discussion board forum
 - 165 messages 16 participants out potential 41 students and 1 academic
 - 33 threads; 24 module related; active until 10th week
- Student summaries initially well read
 - 70 times for Lecture 1 topic
 - 11 times for Lecture 10 topic
- Academic summaries initially well read
 - 55 times for Lecture 1 topic
 - 11 times for Lecture 10 topic
- Group Discussion Boards used by 6 out 10 groups
 - 3 only actively



Results In practise BB 04/05

- General discussion board forum
 - 4 messages 2 participants one the academic
 - 3 threads
- Q & A forum, 4 threads and messages and only 2 participants
- Group Discussion Boards, 2 out of 10 utilised the facilities
- Student summaries initially well read
 - 36 times for Lecture 1 topic
 - 17 times for Lecture 10 topic
- Student lecture notes initially well read
 - 46 times for Lecture 1 topic
 - 16 times for Lecture 10 topic
- Academic summaries initially well read
 - 29 times for Lecture 1 topic
 - 11 times for Lecture 10 topic



Results of learning 03/04

- Same phase test procedure as previous year
- Class size 33
- Class average mark was 42% std 14
- Class average mark was 39% std 40 on questions relevant to their topic deliver; high std as typical 2 questions relevant out of 25
- Class average mark was 43% std 38 on questions relevant to their topic summary; high std as typical 2 questions relevant out of 25
- Class average mark was 42% std 14 on questions on topics not research and lectured by students
- Learning?



Results of learning 04/05

- Same phase test procedure as previous year
- Class size 26
- Class average mark was 45% std 9
- Class average mark was 62% std 32 on questions relevant to their topic deliver
- Class average mark was 50% std 40 on questions relevant to their topic summary
- Class average mark was 43% std 11 on questions on topics not research and lectured by students
- 8 students who actively revised e-material average was 45% std 9
- Students not actively revising e-material average was 44% std 9



Student Reflection

Supplementary teaching exercise:

- Majority agree that gave them a sense of being in charge of their learning and learnt more than from conventional approach.
- 03/04 found task less difficult than 04/05
- Majority agree it is stressful, not clear if they wish to repeat the experience

E-Summary exercise

- Majority agree they learnt more and gained a higher level of learning than from conventional lecture
- 03/04 don't believe it gave them sense of being in charge of their learning
- 03/04 found the experience stressful and don't wish to repeat it
- 04/05 were more positive about the exercise

On-line facilities:

- Majority are positive about the on-line support; discussion forums, student and academic summaries. .
- 04/05 cohort of students were less than positive, however credibility questionable considering poor student usage



Conclusion


- Students found exercises stressful, 03/04 less for e-summary exercise
- Both cohorts were more positive about the learning from teaching than e-summary
- 03/04 Phase test results indicate no improved level of learning
- 04/05 Phase test results indicate significant learning for exercises
- 03/04 engaged with on-line facilities and 04/05 did not
- Strong e-communities the greater the perceived level of learning
- Hence breadth learning for 03/04 and the narrow learning of 04/05
- Student e-summaries have potential for deeper learning, illustrated by 04/05
- However learning is potentially less without the peer feedback
- Students are positive about the on-line resource and support
- Students need to be encouraged to utilise the e-facilities to maximise their potential



Academic Reflection on BB

- Blackboard effective means to monitor outside classroom
- Blackboard forums need careful monitoring
- E-communities do promote learning, hence the difference in 03/04 (closeness) and 04/05 (spread) results
- Blackboard forum participation should be encouraged
- Blackboard effective means to facilitate independent learning
- Blackboard effective means to communicate with students
- Blackboard tests effective for assessment and analysing depth of learning
- Poor configuration of Blackboard results in lost data
- Time-consuming set-up and maintain, lot mouse work: RSI





Future