

Variable effects of exposure to formulated microbicides on antibiotic susceptibility in firmicutes and proteobacteria

FORBES, Sarah < http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8361-6390>, KNIGHT, Christopher G., COWLEY, Nicola L., AMÉZQUITA, Alejandro, MCCLURE, Peter, HUMPHREYS, Gavin, MCBAIN, Andrew J. and DRAKE, H. L.

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/14497/

This document is the Accepted Version [AM]

Citation:

FORBES, Sarah, KNIGHT, Christopher G., COWLEY, Nicola L., AMÉZQUITA, Alejandro, MCCLURE, Peter, HUMPHREYS, Gavin, MCBAIN, Andrew J. and DRAKE, H. L. (2016). Variable effects of exposure to formulated microbicides on antibiotic susceptibility in firmicutes and proteobacteria. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 82 (12), 3591-3598. [Article]

Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

Variable Effects of Exposure to Formulated Microbicides on Antibiotic Susceptibility in Firmicutes and Proteobacteria

5	
6	Sarah Forbes ¹ , Christopher G Knight ² , Nicola L Cowley ¹ , Alejandro Amézquita ³ ,
7	Peter McClure ³ , Gavin Humphreys ¹ and Andrew J McBain ^{1*}
8	
9	¹ Manchester Pharmacy School and ² Faculty of Life Sciences,
10	The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.
11	³ Unilever Safety and Environmental Assurance Centre,
12	Colworth Science Park, Bedford UK.
13	
14	
15	
16	

- 17 Key words Microbicides, biocides, antibiotics, susceptibility, resistance, formulation.
- 18 Running title: Antibiotic susceptibility following exposure to microbicides

30	*For correspondence:	Andrew McBain,	Manchester	Pharmacy	School,	The University	of Manchester,
----	----------------------	----------------	------------	----------	---------	----------------	----------------

31 Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PT, UK. Tel: 44 161 275 2360; Fax: 44(0)161 275 2396; Email:

32 andrew.mcbain@manchester.ac.uk

33 ABSTRACT

34 Microbicides are broad-spectrum antimicrobial agents that generally interact with multiple pharmacological targets. Whilst they are widely deployed in disinfectant, antiseptic and 35 preservative formulations, data relating to their potential to select for microbicide or antibiotic 36 resistance have been generated mainly by testing the compounds in much simpler aqueous 37 solutions. In the current investigation, antibiotic susceptibility was determined for bacteria 38 39 that had previously exhibited decreased microbicide susceptibility following repeated exposure to microbicides either in formulation with sequestrants and surfactants or in simple 40 aqueous solution. Statistically significant increases in antibiotic susceptibility occurred 41 for 12% of bacteria after exposure to microbicides in formulation vs 20% after exposure to 42 aqueous solutions, whilst 22% became significantly less susceptible to the antibiotics, 43 regardless of formulation. Of the combinations of bacterium and antibiotic for which British 44 Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy breakpoints are available, none became resistant. 45 Linear modeling, taking into account phylogeny, microbicide, antibiotic and formulation 46 47 identified small but significant effects of formulation that varied depending on bacterium and microbicide. Adaptation to formulated benzalkonium chloride in particular was more likely to 48 increase antibiotic susceptibility than the simple aqueous solution. In conclusion, bacterial 49 adaptation through repeated microbicide-exposure was associated with both increases and 50 decreases in antibiotic susceptibility. Formulation of the microbicide to which the bacteria had 51 previously adapted had an identifiable effect on antibiotic susceptibility but this was typically 52 53 small relative to the differences observed among microbicides. Susceptibility changes resulting in resistance were not observed. 54

55

56 INTRODUCTION

57 Microbicides are broad-spectrum antimicrobial compounds that are widely deployed to 58 control the growth of microorganisms or eliminate them. Applications include the control of 59 biofouling and microbial contamination in industry (1) as well as clinical antisepsis (2-4). 50 They are also used extensively in the domestic environment as hygiene adjuncts and 51 preservatives in a range of formulations including oral care products (5), hand sanitizers (6) 52 and hard surface cleaners (7).

The safety of certain microbicide applications has been questioned due to the possibility that long-term microbicide exposure could select for reduced antimicrobial susceptibility in bacteria (8-10). Reduced microbicide susceptibility has been reported for some combinations of bacterium and microbicide (11) and changes in bacterial susceptibility to chemically unrelated antimicrobials such as antibiotics or other microbicides have been reported
following laboratory microbicide exposure (12, 13). The mechanisms involved in such crossresistance include selection for mutations in shared cellular target sites, upregulation of efflux
pumps (14), reductions in cell permeability (15) and in some cases, sporulation (16).

71 Evidence that microbicides can select for reduced microbicide susceptibility in the 72 environment is limited, with the majority of reports relating to in vitro exposure (17). 73 Similarly, little evidence has emerged to firmly link microbicide/antibiotic cross-resistance to microbicide use (18-21). The majority of studies aiming to better understand the potential 74 75 risks of resistance through microbicide exposure have exposed bacteria to microbicides in aqueous solution with or without the addition of co-solvents such as dimethyl sulfoxide (22) 76 or ethanol (23). In real use however, microbicides are deployed in products formulated with 77 surfactants, sequestrants and other compounds that can interact with cellular targets to 78 79 influence antimicrobial potency. As previously reported, such formulation can decrease the frequency and extent of the acquisition of reduced microbicide susceptibility in bacteria (24). 80 81 Accordingly, evaluating the effects of bacterial exposure to microbicides within a formulation chassis containing surfactants and sequestrants may generate more realistic data on which to 82 83 base risk assessments on the induction of changes in bacterial susceptibility. In the current investigation we have therefore assessed changes in antibiotic susceptibility in bacteria which 84 85 have previously exhibited decreases in microbicide susceptibility following repeated exposure 86 to a range of microbicides in simple aqueous solutions and in formulations containing 87 commonly used non-ionic surfactants and sequestrants (24). The microbicides tested reflect those frequently used in consumer products such as laundry detergents, hard surface 88 disinfectants and personal care products. The antibiotics were selected on the basis of their 89 90 common therapeutic use and their inclusion in a US investigation of links between domestic 91 microbicide use and antibiotic resistance (25).

92 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 9027, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538,
and *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 were obtained from Oxoid (Basingstoke, United
Kingdom). *Acinetobacter baumannii* MBRG15.1, *Pseudomonas putida* MBRG15.2, *Escherichia coli* MBRG15.4 and *Cronobacter sakazakii* MBRG15.5, were isolated from a
domestic kitchen drain biofilm. *Enterococcus faecalis* MRBG15.6 is a wound isolate provided
by Angela Oates, The University of Manchester.

Chemicals reagents and growth media. Bacteriological growth media were 99 100 purchased from Oxoid (Basingstoke, United Kingdom). All other chemical reagents were 101 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, United Kingdom) unless otherwise stated. Bacterial growth media were sterilized at 121°C and 15 lb/in² for 15 min prior to use. Pseudomonas 102 103 aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Enterococcus faecalis were 104 cultured on Tryptone Soy agar and broth. Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas putida and 105 Cronobacter sakazakii were grown on Wilkins Chalgren agar and broth containing 2% 106 sucrose. All bacteria were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18 h unless stated otherwise.

107 Antimicrobials. The microbicides benzalkonium chloride (BAC), chlorhexidine 108 digluconate (CHX 20% v/v), thymol and triclosan were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK). Didecyldimethyl ammonium chloride (DDAC 50% v/v) was purchased from 109 110 Merck Millipore (Durham, UK). Vantocil (a 20% v/v aqueous solution of polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB) was obtained from Arch Chemicals Inc. (Manchester, UK). Glydant (1,3-111 112 Dimethylol-5,5-dimethylhydantoin; DMDM hydantoin at 54% v/v) was obtained from Lonza 113 (Bishops Stortford, UK) whilst benzisothiazolinone (BIT) was supplied by Unilever (Port 114 Sunlight, UK). All microbicides were prepared in aqueous solution or added to a microbicide-115 free formulation chassis containing sequestrants and surfactants as previously described (24), 116 at concentrations reflective of their normal deployment in consumer products. BAC, CHX, DDAC, DMDM hydantoin, PHMB and thymol were prepared at 1% (v/v) in a general
purpose cleaner. Triclosan was added to a laundry detergent at 0.0066% (w/v).
Benzisothiazolinone was formulated into a laundry detergent at 0.02% (v/v). Ciprofloxacin
(1µg), cephalothin (20µg), ampicillin (10µg), kanamycin (5µg) and tetracycline (10µg)
antibiotic discs were obtained from Oxoid (Basingstoke, UK).

16S rRNA gene sequencing. Single bacterial colonies were dispersed in 100µl of 122 123 nanopure water, vortexed for 30 sec. and boiled at 100°C for 15min. to lyse cells. Microcentrifuge tubes were centrifuged at 16, 000 x g for 1 min to remove cellular debris and 124 125 the resulting supernatant was retained as DNA template. PCR was performed using the 126 primers 8FLP (5'-GAG TTT GAT CCT GGS TCA G-3') and 806R (5'-GGA CTA CCA 127 GGG TAT CTA AT-3') at 5µM per reaction. PCR was conducted using a Biometra 128 TGradient thermocycler (Analytik Jena, Germany) and run for 35 thermal cycles: 94°C (1 129 min), 53°C (1 min) and 72°C (1min). A 15 min. elongation step was included in the final 130 cycle. PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, West Sussex, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions and the resulting DNA yield was 131 quantified using a NanoDrop 2000c UV-vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, 132 133 Wilmington, USA). A reaction mixture containing 4pM forward or reverse primer and 40-134 50ng of DNA in 10µl total volume was used for DNA sequencing. DNA sequencing was 135 performed using the Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer (ThermoFisher, Paisley, UK).

Microbicide exposure in aqueous solution and formulation. A system previously
validated as highly selective for changes in antimicrobial susceptibility (26, 27) was used.
Reproducible *c.* 100-fold-concentration gradients of the antimicrobial compounds were
generated on Tryptone Soy or Wilkins Chalgren agar plates using an automated spiral plater
(Don Whitley Scientific, Shipley, United Kingdom). Antimicrobials in aqueous solution or in
formulation (50µl) were deposited on the agar surface. Plates were dried for 1h at room

temperature prior to radial deposition of bacterial pure cultures and then incubated (4d; 37°C)
in an aerobic incubator. After incubation, growth observed at the highest microbicide
concentration was aseptically removed and streaked onto a fresh plate containing the same
antimicrobial compound concentration gradient. Where growth was observed across the
whole antimicrobial gradient, a new plate produced with a 5-fold-higher microbicide
concentration was used. This process was repeated until 14 passages had occurred (P14).
Bacteria at P0 and P14 were archived for subsequent susceptibility testing.

Determination of antibiotic susceptibility. Bacteria showing \geq 4-fold increases in minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) after microbicide/formulation exposure were investigated for changes in antibiotic susceptibility. Antibiotic susceptibilities were determined for ciprofloxacin (1µg), cephalothin (20µg), ampicillin (10µg), kanamycin (5µg) and tetracycline (10µg). Disc diffusion assays were performed according to the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) disc diffusion method for antimicrobial susceptibility testing (28).

156 Statistical analyses. Antibiotic zone of inhibition sizes were compared before and after adaptation to microbicides using Mann-Whitney U tests and in the cross-resistance 157 158 assays using linear mixed effect models (LMMs). LMMs were required to simultaneously compare and account for the effects on the inhibition zone of: a) microbicidal environment to 159 160 which the bacterium was adapted, b) the antibiotic against which it was tested and c) the interaction of microbicidal environment and antibiotic (each fitted as fixed effects) plus d) the 161 162 different bacteria (fitted as a random effect), allowing the variation among bacteria to differ for different antibiotics. Initial models with this structure violated the statistical assumptions 163 164 of normality of residuals and homogeneity of variance. Box-Cox transformation indicated that 165 a transformation with a power of 0.5 (square root) was approximately optimal to address the 166 non-normality and was therefore used. A wide range of different models accounting for non167 homogeneity of variance in response to different variables was tested. Models allowing different variances for different bacteria and different variances for different microbicidal 168 169 environments were superior to all others tested (lowest Akaike information criterion). To 170 account for the fact that closely related bacteria are likely to respond more similarly than others just through having a more recent common ancestor, a correlation term was included 171 172 based on the 16S-based phylogenetic tree of the strains used. Testing different weightings on 173 this correlation term (Pagel's λ (29)) determined that a Brownian model (i.e. Pagel's $\lambda = 1$) was best. In addition, a LMM was fitted for the subset of data involving microbicides where 174 175 bacteria were tested that had adapted to both formulated and unformulated versions of the 176 microbicidal environment. In this case, accounting for non-homogenous variance was best done by allowing different variances for different microbicidal environments and for variance 177 to increase at higher values according to the formula $e^{(0.65 * \text{zone of clearance value})}$. All models were 178 179 fitted using the NLME package (Version 3.1) (30) in R version 3.2 (31) with phylogenetic 180 correlation structures created using the APE package (version 3.3) (32). Where p-values are 181 not explicitly given, statistical significance was deemed to be p < 0.05.

182 **RESULTS**

After exposure to microbicides in simple aqueous solution, out of 90 possible combinations of 183 184 bacterium and antibiotic, 22% significantly ($P \le 0.05$) reduced in antibiotic susceptibility (8%) 185 towards ciprofloxacin, 6% to ampicillin, 4% to kanamycin, 2% to tetracycline and 2% to 186 cephalothin). In comparison, 20% significantly increased in antibiotic susceptibility (6% towards ciprofloxacin, 4% to kanamycin, 4% to tetracycline, 3% to cephalothin and 2% to 187 188 ampicillin). After exposure to the formulated microbicides, out of 50 possible combinations of bacterium and antibiotic, 22% significantly decreased in antibiotic susceptibility (6% 189 ciprofloxacin, 6% kanamycin, 4% cephalothin and 4% tetracycline and 2% ampicillin). In 190 191 comparison, 12% significantly increased in antibiotic susceptibility (8% ciprofloxacin 2%

kanamycin and 2% tetracycline). Importantly, whilst statistically significant increases and
decreases in antibiotic susceptibility occurred, generation of resistance as defined by BSAC
breakpoints was not observed in any previously susceptible bacterium.

195 The frequency of reduction in antibiotic susceptibility was highest in organisms exhibiting 196 previously reduced susceptibility towards DMDM hydantoin (80%), followed by BAC, CHX, DDAC (20%), triclosan (20%) and PHMB (16%). Bacteria with reduced susceptibility to 197 198 triclosan showed the highest frequency of increased antibiotic susceptibility (45%), followed 199 by CHX (30%), DDAC (27%), DMDM hydantoin (20%) and PHMB (4%). In comparison, 200 after exposure to the formulations, 27% of thymol formulation and 20% of DDAC 201 formulation-adapted isolates exhibited increased antibiotic susceptibility, whilst 40% of 202 DDAC formulation, 33% of thymol formulation, 10% of BAC formulation and 7% of PHMB 203 formulation-adapted bacteria had significantly decreased antibiotic susceptibility. The 204 following section details the effects of each microbicide on antibiotic susceptibility.

Benzalkonium chloride. When comparing unexposed to BAC-adapted organisms there was a significant decrease in susceptibility of *S. aureus* to ciprofloxacin and kanamycin (Table 1). *E. coli* also showed a significant reduction in kanamycin susceptibility after exposure to BAC. After repeated exposure to BAC formulation *S. aureus* showed a significantly decreased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin (Table 1).

Chlorhexidine. *S. aureus* showed a significant decrease in susceptibility to ampicillin
and ciprofloxacin after CHX exposure as well as an increase in susceptibility to tetracycline
(Table 1). *E. coli* demonstrated increased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin and ampicillin after
repeated exposure to chlorhexidine.

Didecydimethyl ammonium chloride. After exposure to DDAC, *A. baumanii* showed a significant increase in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin and kanamycin and decreased susceptibility to tetracycline when compared to the bacterium before microbicide exposure (Table 1). Increased susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, kanamycin and cephalothin was observed for the *E. coli* drain isolate, whilst a significant reduction in tetracycline susceptibility was also evident in this bacterium. After exposure to DDAC in formulation, the *E. coli* drain isolate underwent a significant reduction in kanamycin, cephalothin, tetracycline and ampicillin susceptibility, and an increase in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. *P. aeruginosa* showed a significant increase in ciprofloxacin susceptibility after long-term exposure to DDAC formulation (Table 1).

DMDM hydantoin. After repeated exposure to DMDM hydantoin the *E. coli* drain isolate demonstrated a significant reduction in ciprofloxacin, kanamycin, cephalothin and ampicillin susceptibility and an increase in tetracycline susceptibility when compared to its pre-exposed counterpart (Table 1).

Polyhexamethylene biguanide. Following adaptation to PHMB, the *E. coli* drain isolate exhibited a decrease in kanamycin and ciprofloxacin susceptibility (Table 1). *S. aureus* developed a significantly reduced susceptibility to ampicillin and ciprofloxacin after repeated PHMB exposure but higher tetracycline susceptibility when compared to the unexposed parent strain. After exposure to PHMB formulation *S. aureus* also showed a significant reduction in ciprofloxacin susceptibility.

Thymol. None of the test bacteria demonstrated a significant change in antibiotic susceptibility after exposure to thymol in aqueous solution. Following exposure to the thymol-containing formulation however, *P. putida* underwent significant decreases in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin and kanamycin (Table 1), whilst *E. coli* showed significant increases in ciprofloxacin and cephalothin susceptibility but decreases in susceptibility to kanamycin and tetracycline. *A. baumanii* increased in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, kanamycin and tetracycline compared to its unexposed counterpart (Table 1).

Triclosan. Following exposure to triclosan, S. aureus exhibited significant reductions 241 in ciprofloxacin and ampicillin susceptibility whilst susceptibility to kanamycin, tetracycline 242 and cephalothin increased (Table 1). E. coli showed increased susceptibility to ampicillin and 243 ciprofloxacin for this bacterium after triclosan exposure, whilst the E. coli drain isolate 244 245 showed decreased ciprofloxacin susceptibility but increased cephalothin susceptibility, when compared to the parent strain. Comparatively C. sakazakii showed a significant increase in 246 247 ciprofloxacin, cephalothin and kanamycin susceptibility, and a decrease in ampicillin susceptibility after repeated triclosan exposure (Table 1). 248

249 To gain an overview of the statistical significance of the observed changes in antibiotic 250 susceptibility and ask whether it was possible to identify consistent patterns in susceptibility, 251 linear mixed-effects models were fitted for how the susceptibility to particular antibiotics 252 varied, dependent on the antibiotic in question, the bacterium and the microbicidal environment previously adapted to. A highly significant interaction ($F_{40, 298} = 15$, $P < 2 \times 10^{-10}$ 253 ¹⁶) indicative of different responses to particular antibiotics dependent on the microbicidal 254 255 environment to which the organism had previously adapted (Fig. 1) was observed. Bacterial 256 strains differed most in their response to ampicillin (standard deviation among strains = 5.1) 257 and least in their response to tetracycline (standard deviation among strains = 2.7), with the 258 responses of different strains to some antibiotics being associated either positively (cephalothin and ampicillin, r = 0.95) or negatively (ciprofloxacin and ampicillin, r = -0.28), 259 (Table 2). 260

Data presented in Fig. 1 indicate differences in the antibiotic susceptibility of organisms previously adapted to either formulated or unformulated microbicides. The differences in susceptibility changes observed between microbicides in simple aqueous solution or in complex formulation were highly significant (likelihood ratio test of the full model against a

model treating formulated and unformulated versions of microbicides as equivalent: LR_{88,70} = 265 61, $P = 8.6 \times 10^{-10}$). To test whether there was any consistent effect of formulation; a second 266 linear mixed-effects model was created for the subset of the data where strains had adapted to 267 268 both formulated and unformulated versions of the same microbicide (PHMB, BAC and 269 DDAC). This indicated that the way bacteria adapted to formulated versus non-formulated versions of a microbicide depended on the microbicide in question ($F_{2, 145} = 4.5$, P = 0.012), 270 although that did not vary significantly among the antibiotics ($F_{8, 145} = 0.70$, P = 0.69). The 271 272 effect of formulation was specific to BAC, with formulation giving a small increase in the 273 antibiotic susceptibility of microbes adapted to it (Fig. 2).

274

275 **DISCUSSION**

Investigations into the potential of microbicides to select for reduced microbicide 276 277 susceptibility in bacteria and induce cross-resistance to antibiotics have been largely conducted by evaluating susceptibility changes following exposure of bacteria to microbicides 278 279 in simple aqueous solution (17). In such experiments, susceptibility of the exposed bacteria has been reported to decrease for certain combinations of bacterium and microbicide either 280 281 transiently or stably (26). In the real world however microbicides are deployed in complex 282 formulations containing sequestrants, surfactants and other compounds. Recent investigations 283 indicate that the formulation of microbicides can significantly enhance antibacterial potency and that decreases in microbicide susceptibility after sub-lethal microbicide exposure may be 284 285 significantly lower in frequency and extent when the microbicides are incorporated into 286 formulations reflecting application in the real world (24, 33). This highlights the value of risk 287 assessments that more accurately reflect the way microbicides are deployed. In the current investigation we have evaluated whether the formulation of microbicides additionally 288 mitigates the development of antibiotic insusceptibility in bacteria. 289

290 In order to investigate whether the formulation of microbicides affects cross-resistance to 291 antibiotics, we studied the induction of changes in antibiotic susceptibility in bacteria that had 292 been repeatedly exposed, using a highly selective system arguably representing a worst case 293 scenario, to microbicides in simple aqueous solution and in formulation with ingredients that 294 are used in consumer products such as laundry detergents, hard surface disinfectants and 295 personal care products (24). It should be noted that whilst the majority of microbicides tested 296 are widely used in domestic cleaning products, the use of triclosan in Europe is generally restricted to applications where its utility is greatest, such as oral care. 297

298 Out of 288 microbicide-exposed bacteria, 28 organisms previously demonstrated a \geq 4-fold decrease in microbicide susceptibility (18 organisms adapted to microbicides following 299 300 exposure to simple aqueous solutions and 10 to microbicides in formulation). These were 301 further evaluated for changes in antibiotic susceptibility in the current study. The difference in 302 the numbers of test bacteria between treatment groups results from the mitigating effects that the formulation of microbicides had on the development of microbicide insusceptibility. 303 304 Increases in antibiotic susceptibility occurred at higher frequency following exposure to 305 simple solutions in comparison to formulations $(20\% v \ 12\%)$ whilst 22% became significantly 306 less susceptible to the antibiotics regardless of formulation. Whilst both increases and 307 decreases in antibiotic susceptibility were observed in the test bacteria after exposure to 308 microbicide/formulation, no bacterium became resistant according to published BSAC breakpoints. 309

310 Changes in antibiotic susceptibility varied between the test antibiotics, bacteria and the 311 microbicides that the bacteria had been previously adapted to, suggesting little correlative 312 effect between the different variables. One positive correlation was however observed 313 between the β -lactam antibiotics ampicillin and cephalothin (Table 2). In this case, microbicide exposure could have altered alteration transpeptidase expression or otherwise
influenced cell wall permeability, subsequently impacting on the efficacy of these antibiotics
which target cell wall synthesis.

317 In some cases, bacterial antibiotic susceptibility was increased following microbicide exposure. It is notable that such "cross-susceptibility" was associated with adaptation to at 318 319 least some microbicides for all antibiotics except ampicillin (Fig. 1). The phenomenon of 320 "cross-susceptibility" has been observed in several previous investigations (17, 22, 34, 35) 321 where links between antibiotics and decreased microbicide susceptibility in bacteria have 322 been demonstrated in vitro (14, 17). In a recent study, exposure of Burkholderia cepacia to 323 low concentrations of either CHX or BAC resulted in variable reductions in antibiotic 324 susceptibility (36). CHX exposure was reportedly associated with significant decreases in 325 susceptibility to ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin and imipenem, whilst short-term exposure to BAC 326 resulted in significant decreases in ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin and meropenem susceptibility. These effects were however highly variable between biological replicates in a manner 327 328 suggestive of stochastic effects. In another recent investigation, six S. aureus strains including 329 methicillin-resistant S. aureus were repeatedly exposed to triclosan. Susceptibility to triclosan 330 was significantly decreased in all exposed bacteria, whereas antibiotic susceptibility was significantly increased in the majority of cases. Whilst the reasons for cross-susceptibility 331 332 have not been elucidated, they are likely to include general fitness costs of adaptation and 333 transient cellular damage as previously hypothesized (37).

Mechanisms of cross-resistance have been more extensively investigated and include nonspecific reductions in cell permeability, active efflux of the compound from the bacterial cell or acquired mutations in shared target sites (14, 17). Antibiotics such as aminoglycosides enter the cell through a mechanism of self-promoted uptake (38) whereby they displace 338 cations in the bacterial cell envelope leading to the reorganisation of lipopolysaccharide, 339 which may facilitate antibiotic entry. This mechanism of self-promoted uptake mirrors that of 340 polymeric biguanides, such as PHMB and CHX (39) which has led to the question as to 341 whether any adaptation to reduce biguanide uptake may have a resulting effect on the uptake 342 of aminoglycosides into the bacterial cell. The current investigation included the evaluation of 343 any changes in susceptibility to the aminoglycoside antibiotic kanamycin in bacteria that had 344 previously shown reduced susceptibility to both CHX and PHMB. However, we found no evidence of a systematic effect of this sort (indeed adaptation to CHX typically led to an 345 346 increase in susceptibility to kanamycin; Fig. 1) and only the PHMB adapted E. coli drain 347 isolate showed any significant reduction in antibiotic susceptibility (Table 1).

348 Cross-resistance between quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs), such as BAC and 349 DDAC and antibiotics has been attributed to the expression of broad-range efflux systems 350 capable of removing both the microbicide as well as certain antibiotics from the bacterial cell (40-42). It has additionally been noted that genes encoding QAC-specific efflux pumps such 351 352 as *qacA/B* may be detected on plasmids bearing β -lactamases in certain clinical isolates, 353 suggesting another cause for correlation between QACs and penicillins, such as ampicillin 354 (43). Furthermore, the *qacE* gene has been detected in the 3' conserved sequence of certain 355 integrons found in multiple Gram-negative bacteria. Integrons often contain multiple 356 antibiotic resistance genes, and due to their high mobility, may allow the dissemination of both QAC and antibiotic resistance genes through a population via horizontal gene transfer 357 358 (44). Our data indicate that 20% of bacterial isolates with reduced BAC and DDAC susceptibility in addition to 40% and 10% of isolates with reduced DDAC or BAC 359 360 formulation susceptibility, were also significantly reduced in their antibiotic susceptibility. 361 Linear mixed effect modelling revealed that the formulation of BAC conferred a moderate 362 protective effect on the development of antibiotic cross-resistance (Fig. 2), possibly

suggesting a regulatory impact of the formulation excipients on the induction of the
aforementioned efflux mechanisms, due to non-specific effects on cell permeability or
through other cellular changes.

Triclosan exposure may select for mutations in the target enzyme *fabI*, an enoyl-acyl carrier 366 367 protein reductase that participates in bacterial fatty acid synthesis (45). There has been 368 concern over the induction of cross-resistance between triclosan and therapeutic agents that 369 also share this target enzyme, such as isoniazid used to treat Mycobacterium tuberculosis. 370 Cross-resistance between triclosan and certain antibiotics has been reported in *P. aeruginosa* 371 and is largely believed to be due to increased expression of the MexAB-OprM efflux system 372 (14). In the current investigation, data show reductions in ciprofloxacin susceptibility in S. 373 aureus and the E. coli drain isolate together with reductions in ampicillin susceptibility in S. 374 aureus and C. sakazakii after repeated triclosan exposure, which may potentially be mediated 375 through regulation of efflux or cell permeability.

376 Whilst the induction of cross-resistance between microbicides and antibiotics has been 377 previously investigated, little information is available concerning any effect of incorporation 378 of microbicides into formulations containing surfactants and sequestrants on antibiotic 379 susceptibility in adapted bacteria. Data presented here indicate that both decreases and 380 increases in antibiotic susceptibility can occur in bacteria following exposure to microbicides 381 in simple solution and in formulations using a highly selective system. A rigorous statistical 382 analysis demonstrated that formulation significantly affected the development of cross-383 resistance but that this was variable with the only consistently identified formulation effect 384 being a small increase in susceptibility across antibiotics in strains adapted to the formulated, 385 relative to the unformulated version of the microbicide benzalkonium chloride.

- 386 In conclusion, whilst both increases and decreases in antibiotic susceptibility were observed in
- 387 microbicide and formulation adapted bacteria, these were not sufficient to confer clinical
- resistance according to published BSAC breakpoints.

389 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 390 The authors thank Joanne O'Keeffe and Andrew Jamieson from Unilever R&D, Port
- 391 Sunlight, for their advice regarding the selection of microbicides and formulations.

392 FUNDING

393 This project was funded by Unilever's Safety & Environmental Assurance Centre (SEAC).

394 TRANSPARENCY DECLARATION

- 395 Alejandro Amézquita is an employee of Unilever. Peter McClure was an employee of
- 396 Unilever when this project was initiated. All other authors: none to declare.

397 **REFERENCES**

401

405

409

412

416

- Pereira M, Vieira M, Beleza V, Melo L. 2001. Comparison of two biocides-carbamate and glutaraldehyde-in the control of fouling in pulp and paper industry. Environ Technol 22:781-790.
- 402 2. Barbolt TA. 2002. Chemistry and safety of triclosan, and its use as an antimicrobial coating
 403 on Coated VICRYL* Plus Antibacterial Suture (coated polyglactin 910 suture with triclosan).
 404 Surg Infect (Larchmt) 3 Suppl 1:S45-53.
- Bibbo C, Patel D, Gehrmann R, Sheldon L. 2005. Chlorhexidine provides superior skin decontamination in foot and ankle surgery: a prospective randomized study. Clin Orthop Relat Res 438:204-208.
- 4. Abreu AC, Tavares RR, Borges A, Mergulhão F, Simões M. 2013. Current and emergent strategies for disinfection of hospital environments. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:2718-2732.
- 413 5. McBain AJ, Bartolo RG, Catrenich CE, Charbonneau D, Ledder RG, Gilbert P. 2003.
 414 Effects of a chlorhexidine gluconate-containing mouthwash on the vitality and antimicrobial 415 susceptibility of *in vitro* oral bacterial ecosystems. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:4770-4776.
- 417 6. Koburger T, Hubner NO, Braun M, Siebert J, Kramer A. Standardized comparison of 418 antiseptic efficacy of triclosan, PVP-iodine, octenidine dihydrochloride, polyhexanide and 419 chlorhexidine digluconate. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1712-1719.
 420

421 7. Best M, Kennedy M, Coates F. 1990. Efficacy of a variety of disinfectants against *Listeria*422 spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 56:377-380.

423

426

429

432

437

441

445

451

455

458

462

466

469

472

- 424 8. McBain A, Gilbert P. 2001. Biocide tolerance and the harbingers of doom. Int Biodeterior
 425 biodegradation 47:55-61.
- 427 9. Maillard J-Y. 2010. Emergence of bacterial resistance to microbicides and antibiotics.
 428 Microbiol Aust 31:159-164.
- 430 10. Maillard J-Y. 2007. Bacterial resistance to biocides in the healthcare environment: should it be of genuine concern? J Hosp Infect 65:60-72.
- 433 11. Karatzas KA, Webber MA, Jorgensen F, Woodward MJ, Piddock LJ, Humphrey TJ.
 434 2007. Prolonged treatment of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium with commercial disinfectants selects for multiple antibiotic resistance, increased efflux and reduced invasiveness. J Antimicrob Chemother 60:947-955.
- Tattawasart U, Maillard JY, Furr JR, Russell AD. 1999. Development of resistance to chlorhexidine diacetate and cetylpyridinium chloride in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* and changes in antibiotic susceptibility. J Hosp Infect 42:219-229.
- Webber MA, Whitehead RN, Mount M, Loman NJ, Pallen MJ, Piddock LJ. 2015.
 Parallel evolutionary pathways to antibiotic resistance selected by biocide exposure. J
 Antimicrob Chemother 70:2241-2248.
- Chuanchuen R, Beinlich K, Hoang TT, Becher A, Karkhoff-Schweizer RR, Schweizer HP. 2001. Cross-resistance between triclosan and antibiotics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is mediated by multidrug efflux pumps: Exposure of a susceptible mutant strain to triclosan selects nfxB mutants overexpressing MexCD-OprJ. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:428-432.
- 452 15. Winder CL, Al-Adham ISI, Abdel Malek SMA, Buultjens TEJ. 2000. Outer membrane
 453 protein shifts in biocide resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginos*a PAO1. J Appl Microbiol 89:289454 295.
- 456 16. Bloomfield SF, Arthur M. 1994. Mechanisms of inactivation and resistance of spores to chemical biocides. J Appl Microbiol 76:91S-104S.
- Walsh SE, Maillard J-Y, Russell A, Catrenich C, Charbonneau D, Bartolo R. 2003.
 Development of bacterial resistance to several biocides and effects on antibiotic susceptibility. J Hosp Infect 55:98-107.
- 463 18. Oggioni MR, Furi L, Coelho JR, Maillard J-Y, Martínez JL. 2013. Recent advances in the
 464 potential interconnection between antimicrobial resistance to biocides and antibiotics. Exp
 465 Rev Anti Infect Ther 11:363-366
- 467 19. Cottell A, Denyer S, Hanlon G, Ochs D, Maillard J-Y. 2009. Triclosan-tolerant bacteria: changes in susceptibility to antibiotics. J Hosp Infect 72:71-76.
- 470 20. Maillard J-Y. 2005. Antimicrobial biocides in the healthcare environment: efficacy, usage, policies, and perceived problems. Ther Clin Risk Manag 1:307-320.
- 473 21. Morrissey I, Oggioni MR, Knight D, Curiao T, Coque T, Kalkanci A, Martinez JL,
 474 Consortium B. 2014. Evaluation of epidemiological cut-off values indicates that biocide

resistant subpopulations are uncommon in natural isolates of clinically-relevant
 microorganisms. PLoS One 9.1

477

481

485

489

493

501

504

506

516

- Forbes S, McBain AJ, Felton-Smith S, Jowitt TA, Birchenough HL, Dobson CB. 2013.
 Comparative surface antimicrobial properties of synthetic biocides and novel human apolipoprotein E derived antimicrobial peptides. Biomaterials 34:5453-5464.
- Ledder RG, Gilbert P, Willis C, McBain AJ. 2006. Effects of chronic triclosan exposure upon the antimicrobial susceptibility of 40 *ex-situ* environmental and human isolates. J Appl Microbiol 100:1132-1140.
- 486 24. Cowley N, Forbes S, Amézquita A, McClure P, Humphreys G, McBain AJ. 2015. The effect of formulation on microbicide potency and mitigation of the development of bacterial insusceptibility. Appl Environ Microbiol 20:7330-7338.
- 490 25. Marshall BM, Robleto E, Dumont T, Levy SB. 2012. The frequency of antibiotic-resistant
 491 bacteria in homes differing in their use of surface antibacterial agents. Curr Microbiol 65:407492 415.
- 494 26. Forbes S, Dobson CB, Humphreys GJ, McBain AJ. 2014. Transient and sustained bacterial adaptation following repeated sublethal exposure to microbicides and a novel human antimicrobial peptide. Antimicrob Agent Chemother 58:5809-5817.
 497
- 498 27. Moore LE, Ledder RG, Gilbert P, McBain AJ. 2008. *In vitro* study of the effect of cationic
 499 biocides on bacterial population dynamics and susceptibility. Appl Environ Microbiol
 500 74:4825-4834.
- 502 28. Andrews JM. 2001. BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method. J Antimicrob
 503 Chemother 48:43-57.
- 505 29. Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877-884.
- 30. Pinheiro J, Bates D. 2006. Mixed-effects models in S and S-PLUS. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 510 31. Team RC. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Internet]. Vienna,
 511 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2013. Document freely available on the
 512 internet at: http://www.r-project. org.
 513
- 514 32. Paradis E. 2011. Analysis of Phylogenetics and Evolution with R. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 517 33. Knapp L, Amézquita A, McClure P, Stewart S, Maillard J-Y. 2015. Development of a
 518 protocol for predicting bacterial resistance to microbicides. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:2652519 2659.
 520
- 34. Belavkin RV, Aston JA, Channon A, Aston E, Rash BM, Kadirvel M, Forbes S, Knight
 CG. 2014. Mutation rate plasticity in rifampicin resistance depends on *Escherichia coli* cell–
 cell interactions. Nat Commun 5.
- 525 35. Forbes S, Latimer J, Bazaid A, McBain AJ. 2015. Altered competitive fitness, antimicrobial susceptibility, and cellular morphology in a triclosan-induced small-colony variant of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agent Chemother 59:4809-4816.
 528

Mahenthiralingam E, Maillard JY. 2013. The effect of cationic microbicide exposure 530 531 against Burkholderia cepacia complex (Bcc); the use of Burkholderia lata strain 383 as a 532 model bacterium. J Appl Microbiol 115:1117-1126. 533 McBain AJ, Ledder RG, Sreenivasan P, Gilbert P. 2004. Selection for high-level 534 37. 535 resistance by chronic triclosan exposure is not universal. J Antimicrob Chemother 53:772-536 777. 537 538 38. Hancock RE. 1981. Aminoglycoside uptake and mode of action-with special reference to 539 streptomycin and gentamicin I. Antagonists and mutants. J Antimicrob Chemother 8:249-276. 540 541 39. Gilbert P, Pemberton D, Wilkinson DE. 1990. Synergism within polyhexamethylene 542 biguanide biocide formulations. J Appl Microbiol 69:593-598. 543 544 40. Chen J, Kuroda T, Huda MN, Mizushima T, Tsuchiya T. 2003. An RND-type multidrug 545 efflux pump SdeXY from Serratia marcescens. J Antimicrob Chemother 52:176-179. 546 547 41. Levy SB. 2002. Active efflux, a common mechanism for biocide and antibiotic resistance. 548 Journal of applied microbiology 92:658-71S. 549 550 42. Maseda H, Hashida Y, Konaka R, Shirai A. 2009. Mutational up-regulation of an RND-551 type multidrug efflux pump, SdeAB, upon exposure to a biocide, cetylpyridinium chloride, 552 and antibiotic resistance in Serratia marcescens. Antimicrob Agent Chemother 53:5230-553 5235. 554 43. Lyon BR, Skurray R. 1987. Antimicrobial resistance of Staphylococcus aureus: genetic 555 556 basis. Microbiol Rev 51:88. 557 Paulsen I, Littlejohn T, Rådström P, Sundström L, Sköld O, Swedberg G, Skurrav R. 558 44. 559 1993. The 3'conserved segment of integrons contains a gene associated with multidrug 560 resistance to antiseptics and disinfectants. Antimicrob Agent Chemother **37:**761-768. 561 562 45. McMurry LM, Oethinger M, Levy SB. 1998. Triclosan targets lipid synthesis. Nature 563 **394:**531-532. 564 565

Knapp L, Rushton L, Stapleton H, Sass A, Stewart S, Amezquita A, McClure P,

529

36.

		Ciprofloxacin			Kanamycin		Cephalothin		Ampicillin			Tetracycline				
		UE	UF	F	UE	UF	F	UE	UF	F	UE	UF	F	UE	UF	F
Microbicide	Bacterium	P0	P14	P14	P0	P14	P14	P0	P14	P14	P0	P14	P14	P0	P14	P14
BAC	S. aureus [†] E. coli [†]	22 29 (1.5)	14 (0.5) 31	18 (0.5) 31 (0.5)	17 (1.5) 15 (1.2)	14 (0.6) 12 (0.5)	17 (0.5) 14 (0.4)	45 (0.5) 18 (0.5)	43 16 (2.1)	45 18	47 (0.5) 21	45 (0.5) 22 (0.5)	46 21	26 (0.5) 21 (0.5)	25 (0.5) 21 (0.5)	27 (0.5) 20 (0.5)
	P. aeruginosa [†]	25 (1.5)	25	na	ns	ns	na	ns	ns	na	ns	ns	na	ns	ns	na
СНХ	S. aureus [†] E. coli [†]	22 29 (1.5)	19 (0.5) 35 (0.5)	na na	17 (1.5) 15 (1.2)	18 16 (0.5)	na na	45 (0.6) 18 (0.5)	45 (0.5) 20 (2.1)	na na	47 (0.5) 21	29 (1) 24 (0.5)	na na	26 (0.6) 21 (0.5)	35 (2.2) 23 (1.5)	na na
DDAC	P. aeruginosa [†] A. baumanii* E. coli*	25 (1.5) 19 37	25 27 42 (1.5)	28 (0.6) na 40 (0.6)	ns 19 14	ns 21 18	ns na 11	ns ns 19	ns ns 24 (2.1)	ns na 15 (0.5)	ns ns 25	ns ns 26 (1.5)	ns na 21 (0.6)	ns 15 20	ns 13 11 (0.5)	ns na 11 (0.5)
DMDM	E. coli*	37	35	na	14	12 (1.5)	na	19	16	na	25	20 (0.5)	na	20	24	na
РНМВ	S. aureus [†] E. coli [†] P. aeruginosa [†] E. faecalis [†]	22 29 (1.5) 25 (1.5) ns	20 (0.5) 29 25 ns	21 na 25 (0.9) ns	17 (1.5) 15 (1.2) ns ns	17 (1.2) 16 (0.5) ns ns	16 (0.5) na ns ns	45 (0.6) 18 (0.5) ns 12	45 (0.5) 18 (2.1) ns 13 (0.5)	45 na ns 12 (0.5)	47 (0.5) 21 ns 33	35 (0.5) 20 (1.5) ns 33	45 (1.5) na ns 33 (1.3)	26 (0.6) 21 (0.5) ns 8	36 (1.5) 22 (0.5) ns 8	25 (0.5) na ns 9 (0.5)
	E. coli *	37	28 (0.6)	na	14	12 (1.5)	na	19	18 (2.2)	na	25	25 (0.5)	na	20	20 (0.5)	na
Thymol	E. coli [†] P. putida* A. baumanii*	29 (1.5) 27 19	na na na	33 19.5 (0.5) 33 (0.5)	15 (1.2) 30 19	na na na	14 27 (0.5) 22	18 (0.5) ns ns	na na na	19 ns ns	21 ns ns	na na na	21 ns ns	21 (0.5) 14 15	na na na	20 12 (2.1) 16 (0.5)
Triclosan	S. aureus [†] E. coli [†] C. sakazakii* E. coli *	22 29 (1.5) 28 37	21 (0.5) 41 (1.5) 32 (0.6)	na na na	17 (1.5) 15 (1.2) 17 14	21 (0.5) 13 (0.5) 20 (0.5) 15 (1.3)	na na na	45 (0.5) 18 (0.5) 11 19	51 (2.5) 18 (0.5) 12 20	na na na	47 (0.5) 21 25 25	44 (0.5) 28 (0.5) 21 (0.5) 24 (1.2)	na na na	26 (0.5) 21 (0.6) 17 20	34 20 (1.5) 17 (0.5) 23 (2 1)	na na na na

Table 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates that showed a \geq 4-fold decrease in microbicide/formulation susceptibility following exposure to microbicides in simple aqueous solution or formulated with surfactants and sequestrants.

Data show growth inhibition zones (mm) representative of antibiotic susceptibility before (P0) and after 14 passages (P14) in the presence of microbicide/formulation. Antibiotic zones of inhibition were determined before antimicrobial exposure (unexposed; UE) and after antimicrobial exposure to both unformulated (UF) (i.e. simple aqueoussolution) and formulated (F) (i.e. with surfactants and sequestrants) microbicides. \uparrow , non-drain isolates; \star , drain isolates. Statistically significant changes are bold text (P < 0.05). Bacteria that did not undergo a ≥4-fold change in MBC were not assessed for changes in antibiotic susceptibility. Where data varied between biological replicates, standard deviations have been given in parentheses (n=6). Combinations of bacterium and antibiotic for which BSAC breakpoints are available are indicated in blue text. According to these, no susceptible bacterium became antibiotic resistant following microbicide adaptation.

	AMP	CEP	CIP	KAN	TET
AMP	1	0.95	-0.28	-0.08	0.54
CEP	0.95	1	-0.09	0.03	0.61
CIP	-0.28	-0.09	1	0.54	0.17
KAN	-0.08	0.03	0.54	1	0.73
TET	0.55	0.61	0.17	0.73	1
Кеу:					

Table 2. Correlation across strains in the responses to different antibiotics in the linear mixed effects model.

A value of 1 indicates that all organisms respond in a perfectly correlated way to the two antibiotics indicated (either more or less sensitive to both), a value of -1 would indicate a perfect negative correlation with organisms that are more sensitive to one antibiotic. Amp, ampicillin; cep, cephalothin; cip, ciprofloxacin; kan, kanamycin; tet, tetracycline.



Fig. 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of strains adapted to different microbicides. The values plotted are the difference in the average zone of clearance across strains before and after adaptation to the given microbicide as estimated by the linear mixed effects model (arbitrary scale, see methods). i.e. values above zero indicate antibiotic cross-susceptibility arising from adaptation to microbicide and values below zero indicate cross-resistance. Points are connected for ease of comparison only. See footnote to Tables 1 and 2.



Fig. 2. Antibiotic susceptibility of strains exposed to different microbicides in formulation with surfactants and sequestrants) and simple aqueous solution (unformulated). A significant difference is only apparent for BAC. The values plotted are the average zone of clearance, in mm, as estimated in the linear mixed effects model (note the transformed scale as used by the model, see methods). See footnote to Table 1.