

#### **Smart-device Potential for Student Learning.**

WOODCOCK, Ben, ARMSTRONG, Matt, NORTCLIFFE, Anne <a href="http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6972-6051">http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6972-6051</a>> and MIDDLETON, Andrew

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

https://shura.shu.ac.uk/14459/

This document is the Presentation

#### Citation:

WOODCOCK, Ben, ARMSTRONG, Matt, NORTCLIFFE, Anne and MIDDLETON, Andrew (2012). Smart-device Potential for Student Learning. In: CSEDU 2012 : International Conference on Computer Supported Education, Porto, Portugal, 16-18 April 2012. 410-415. [Conference or Workshop Item]

#### Copyright and re-use policy

See http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html

Smart-device potential for student learning *Ben Woodcock, Matt Armstrong, Anne Nortcliffe and Andrew Middleton* 

# Why?

Smart devices are having an impact on people's commercial practice (Chen et al, 2010, Durbin, 2011, Lin and Brown, 2007):

- what people work with
- who people work with
- how people work
- where people work
- when people work
  So potential/need to change student
  learning practice?

| Category                 | % Freq.<br>10/11 | % Freq.<br>11/12 | Examples                   |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.Productivity           | 21%              | 1%               | Word processing, notes     |
| 2.Reading                | 8%               | 0.6%             | PDF readers, newspapers    |
| 3.Browsing               | 21%              | 26%              | Internet, Wikipedia        |
| 4.Media Capture          | 3%               | 6%               | Voice record, camera       |
| 5.Managing learning      | 22%              | 0.5%             | Blackboard, timetable      |
| 6. Social media          | 3%               | 0.2%             | facebook, twitter,         |
| 7. Communications        | 8%               | 1%               | Email, txt                 |
| 8.Data manipulation      | 12%              |                  | Calculators, conversion    |
| 9.Subject specific tools | 14%              |                  | Periodic tables,           |
| 10. Other                | 9%               |                  | Job sites, memory training |

### Sheffield Hallam University However

Smart learners (purposefully download apps for learning) survey respondents:

- 72 in 10/11
- 254 in 11/12

#### Because

- **Disruption** change to engagement: who, when, where, what and how (consistent with Traxler, 2009) and Sharples *et al.* 2009
- Accessibility consistent with Kang *et al.*, 2011
- Learner autonomy consistent with Camargo *et al.*, 2011

Everything I need is in my pocket. Every minute of my day ...when I'm on the tram or in Uni' ...do it then and there ... or make a note and do it later.

# Which Apps?

- Qualitative/Quantitative Dec'-Feb'2011 identified apps
- Qualitative Study in March'12 and Apr'12
- Students want apps with:
  - Simple Usability
  - Practical Functionality
  - Interoperability
  - Cost up to £5
  - Suitability for student use

### However students:

- Typically focus on entertainment
- Limited awareness of apps for learning
- Lack of app marketing recommendations
- Current marketing through friend recommendations



## Conclusion

- Trending increase student smart device ownership
- Smart device for learning
  - Some students actively harnessing potential
  - Majority students have limited use (i.e surfing)
  - Students are not adventurous
  - General lack of student awareness
  - University's role in promoting the potential?

# Recommendations

### Apps for learning to be developed to fulfil:

- Ubiquity
- Efficiency
- Reliability
- Accessibility
- Richness
- Flexibility
- Security
- Interactivity

## References

Camargo, M.; Bary, R.; Boly, V.; Rees, M.; Smith, R.; , "Exploring the implications and impact of smartphones on learning dynamics: The role of self-directed learning," Concurrent Enterprising (ICE), 2011 17th International Conference on , vol., no., pp.1-7, 20-22 June 2011

Chen, J., Park, Y., and Putzer, G. J., 2010 'An examination of the components that increase acceptance of Smartphones among Healthcare Professionals', electronic *Journal of Health Informatics*, 5(2), 2010, e16

Durbin, S. 2011, Tackling converged threats: building a security-positive environment, *Network Security*, Volume 2011, Issue 6, June 2011, Pages 5-8, available at http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1353485811700617), last accessed Jan'12

Kang; Y. M., Cho, C.; Lee, S.; 2011, Analysis of factors affecting the adoption of smartphones, *Technology Management Conference (ITMC), 2011 IEEE International*, 919-925, 27-30 June 2011

Lin, P., & Brown, K. F., 2007, Smartphones Provide New Capabilities for Mobile Professionals. CPA Journal, 77(5), 66-71.

Marlow, C., (2009) Maintained Relationships on Facebook, Online at: http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note\_id=55257228858

Mori, K., & Harada, E. T., 2010 Is learning a family matter?: Experimental study of the influence of social environment on learning by older adults in the use of mobile phones. *Japanese Psychological Research*, **52**, 244–255.

Sharples, M., Arnedillo-Sánchez, I., Milrad, M. and Vavoula, G. 2009 Mobile learning: small devices, big issues. In: Balacheff, N., Ludvigsen, S., Jong, T., Lazonder, A. and Barnes, S. (eds) Technology-Enhanced Learning, Part IV, Springer Netherlands, pp. 233-249.

Traxler, J. 2009 Learning in a Mobile Age, International *Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 1(1), 1-12, January-March 2009