

Adaptive radiotherapy for bladder cancer – a systematic review

KONG, Vickie, TAYLOR, Amy <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7720-6651>> and ROSEWALL, Tara

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/14339/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

KONG, Vickie, TAYLOR, Amy and ROSEWALL, Tara (2017). Adaptive radiotherapy for bladder cancer – a systematic review. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 48 (2), 199-206.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Table 1. Studies reporting the use of adaptive strategies for bladder radiotherapy

Reference; No. patients;	Adaptive Strategy	Bladder protocol	CTV	PTV generation	Evaluation Metrics	Findings
Burridge 2006 [9]; N= 20	POD – SI	Empty	Whole bladder	Standard PTV: Bladder + 15 mm POD-PTVs: Bladder + 15 mm in RLAPI, S: 5mm, 10mm	Small bowel	↓ small bowel irradiation
Vestergaard 2010 [10]; N=10	POD – SI POD – MI	Empty	Bladder & PLN	Standard PTV: CTV + A(25mm), P(20mm), RL(15mm), S(28mm), I(18mm) POD-PTV method 1: Small, medium and large expanded by margin that cover 50, 70, 90% of population + 3mm POD-PTV method 2: Small = CTV + 8mm. Medium = CTV + margin scaled according to the location frequency of CTV in the first 5 CBCTs. Large = CTV + 23mm AS, 18mm P, 13mm RLI. POD-PTV method 3: Small = Combination of 2 smallest CTV + 3mm from planning CT + first 5 CBCTs. Medium = combo of 5 CBCT CTVs + 3mm. Large = CTV + 23mm AS, 18mm P, 13mm RLI.	Volume receiving specific dose	↓ volume by 30-40% POD-PTV method 1 recommended due to much simpler process but equivalent efficacy with the other two
Tuomikoski 2011 [11]; N=5	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder & tumor	Standard PTV: CTV + 20mm POD-PTV: CTV + 15mm RLIP and various AS margin (5, 10, 15, 20mm)	Volume receiving specific dose Small bowel dose Target coverage	↓ small bowel irradiation Equivalent target coverage as standard
Lalondrelle 2011[12]; N=15	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	Standard PTV: CTV + 15mm POD-PTV: 1) CTV on CT acquired 15 mins after first scan + 15mm; 2) CTV on CT acquired 30 mins after first scan + 15mm	Target coverage	Target coverage improved from 51% to 96^ by use of POD- PTV
Foroudi 2011 [13]; N=27	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	Standard PTV: CTV + 15mm POD-PTV: Small – Smallest of the 6 CTVs from Planning and Fx 1-5 CBCTs + 5mm; large CTV: Combination of all 6 CTVs + 5mm; medium CTV: Half way between small and large	Volume receiving 45Gy (V_{45}) and 5Gy (V_5) Target coverage	↓ V_{45} and V_5 by 29% and 15% with equivalent target coverage with Standard

Reference; No. patients;	Adaptive Strategy	Bladder protocol	CTV	PTV generation	Evaluation Metrics	Findings
Murthy 2011 [14]; N=10	POD – SI	Full/Empty depending on location of CTV	Bladder	POD-PTV: CTV + isotropic margin from 5 – 30mm in 5mm increments	Target coverage Frequency of PTV selected	Target coverage achieved with PTV with margin expansion of 5 – 15mm
Kuyumcian 2012 [15]; N=27	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	Standard PTV: CTV + 15mm POD-PTV: Small – Combination of the two smallest CTVs from Planning and Fx 1-5 CBCTs + 5mm; large CTV: Combination of all 6 CTVs + 5mm; medium CTV: Half way between small and large	Frequency of PTV selected	Better distribution of PTV selected
Meijer 2012 [16]; N=20	POD – MI	Full	Bladder & tumor	POD-PTVs: 6 generated based on interpolation and extrapolation of the full bladder and empty bladder acquired with two scans	Qualitative evaluation on dose Toxicity	↓ dose to small bowel No Grade 3 toxicity
McDonald 2013 [19]; N = 25	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	Standard PTV: CTV + 15mm POD-PTV: Small – CTV + 5mm; medium – CTV + 15mm AS, 10mm P, 5 RLI; large – CTV + 20mm A, 12mm P, 7.5mm RL, 25mm S, 7.5mm I for patient with slow filling. Patient with fast filling, large POD-PTV expanded by 15mm AS, 10mm P and 5mm RLI on CTV on CT acquired 30 mins after first scan	Target coverage Normal tissue sparing Frequency of PTV selected	Target coverage of 96% ↑ normal tissue sparing 49% and 45% delivered using small and medium PTV
Vestergaard 2014 [20]; N=20	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder ; 13 with PLN	Standard PTV: Bladder + A(25mm), P(20mm), RL(15mm), S(28mm), I(18mm) POD-PTV: Small = Combination of 2 smallest CTV from planning CT and first 4 CBCTs + 8mm. Medium = combo of 5 CTVs + 8mm. Large = Standard PTV	Volume Dose to small bowel and rectum	↓ volume by 30% ↓ dose to small bowel and rectum Less sparing when PLN was included in treatment volume

Reference; No. patients;	Adaptive Strategy	Bladder protocol	CTV	PTV generation	Evaluation Metrics	Findings
Vestergaard 2014 [21]; N=13	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	Standard PTV: Bladder + A(25mm), P(20mm), RL(15mm), S(28mm), I(18mm) POD-PTV method 1: Small = Combination of 2 smallest CTV from planning CT and first 4 CBCTs + 8mm. Medium = combo of 5 CTVs + 8mm. Large = Standard PTV POD-PTV method 2: Small, medium and large = CTV + 5mm + margin covering 33%, 67% and 99% percentile, respectively of the DVF generated from the 4 CT-CBCT DIR	Volume	DVF-based POD recommended (↓ volume by 36%)
Tuomiskoski 2015 [22]; N = 10	POD – MI	Empty	Bladder	CT-based POD: 4 PTVs generated by expanding 8mm on 4 CTVs on CTs acquired at every 15 minutes CT-CBCT based POD: Small – 2 smallest CTV from CT and first 4 CBCTs + 8mm; medium – Combination of 5 CTVs + 8mm; large – CTV from CT + A(25mm), P(20mm), RL(15mm), S(28mm), I(18mm)	Volume Frequency of PTV selected	Greater volume reduction by CT-based POD than CT-CBCT based POD More adequate range of PTV in CT-CBCT based POD
Lutkenhaus 2015 [23]; N=8	POD – MI	Full	Bladder + PLN	Standard PTV: CTV + 13mm AS, 7mm RLPI 5 PTVs derived based on scaling of DVF generated from full bladder CT-empty bladder CT DIR registration to represent 0, 33%, 67%, 100% and 133% bladder filling states	Target coverage Dose to rectum and bowel	99% target coverage Significant reduction of bowel volume receiving 30Gy and 40Gy
Vestergaard 2013 [18]; N=7	POD – MI & ReOpt	Empty	Bladder + PLN	Standard PTV: Bladder + A(25mm), P(20mm), RL(15mm), S(28mm), I(18mm) POD: Small = Combination of the two smallest bladder from first 4 CBCTs + 3mm; Medium = Combination of all 4 CBCT bladders + 3mm. Large: Standard PTV ReOpt: Daily bladder on CBCT + 5mm	Volume receiving specific dose level	ReOpt significantly better than POD in volume sparing

Reference; No. patients;	Adaptive Strategy	Bladder protocol	CTV	PTV generation	Evaluation Metrics	Findings
Pos 2006 [26]; N=21	PS-PTV	Full	Tumor (Partial bladder)	Standard: Bladder + 20mm PS-PTV: Bladder from Fx 1-5 + 10mm	PTV _{Planned} Target coverage	PTV _{Planned} ↓40% 95% target coverage
Foroudi 2009 [27]; N=5	PS-PTV	Empty	Bladder	Standard: Bladder + 15mm PS-PTV: Average bladder from Fx 1-5 CBCT + 15 mm	Minimum dose to CTV	↑ dose to CTV / target coverage
Tolan 2011 [25]; N = 11	PS-PTV	Full	Bladder	Standard: Bladder + 20mm PS-PTV: 1) Occupancy Volume (OV): Bladder from 15 CBCTs; 2) OV + 5mm; 3) Measurement-based PS-PTV (mPS-PTV)	PTV _{Planned} Target coverage	OV + 5mm recommended due to equivalent coverage achieved with mPS- PTV but smaller volume
Webster 2013 [17]; N=20	PS-PTV POD – SI	Empty	Bladder	Standard: Bladder + 15mm 4 POD-PTVs: Bladder + 15mm in RLAPI, S: 5, 10, 15, 20mm PS-PTV: 1) Bladder from first 3 CBCTs + 5mm; 2) Bladder from first 3 CBCTs + 10mm	PTV _{Planned} Target coverage	POD recommended due to better target coverage

Abbreviations: N = number. CTV: Clinical Target Volume. POD-SI: Plan of the Day based on single image. POD-MI: Plan of the Day based on multiple images. ReOpt: Daily ReOptimization. PS-PTV: Patient-specific PTV. R: Right. L: Left. S: Superior. I: Inferior. A: Anterior. P: Posterior. Fx: Fraction. DVF: Deformation Vector Field. DIR: Deformable Image Registration. PTV_{Planned}: PTV averaged over the course of treatment