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Abstract

In the present work, we study and compare the structure and sensing properties of thin films of unsubstituted palladium phthalocyanine (PdPc) and hexadecafluorosubstituted palladium phthalocyanine (PdPcF_{16}). Thin films of PdPc and PdPcF_{16} were obtained by the method of organic molecular beam deposition and their structure was studied using UV-visible spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and atomic force microscopy techniques. The electrical sensor response of PdPc films toward ammonia and hydrogen was investigated and compared with that of PdPcF_{16} films. The nature of interaction between the phthalocyanines films and some gaseous analyte molecules has been clarified using Quantum chemical (DFT) calculations.
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1. Introduction

Phthalocyanines (Pc) are class of N₄-macrocycles containing π-electron systems with 18 electrons. These compounds have been the subject of studies for various applications due to their high stability, intriguing optical and electrical characteristics, sensor properties and so on [1]. Among other phthalocyanines, palladium phthalocyanine (PdPc) is known as a p-type semiconductor with charge carriers (holes) mobility of $2.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ [2]. Moreover PdPc exhibits longer exciton diffusion length in comparison with other bivalent metal phthalocyanines, such as ZnPc and CuPc [3]. This property as well as the strong absorption within the visible spectral range makes PdPc films a quite useful candidate for photovoltaic applications [4, 5]. Thin films of palladium phthalocyanine have also demonstrated good sensing properties for different gaseous analytes, with fast response times, high base line stability and enhanced sensitivity. Recent studies showed that films of PdPc can be used as active layers of chemical sensors for the determination of humidity [6], oxygen [7], ammonia and NO₂ [8].

Bilayer film structures consisting of palladium and various phthalocyanines are used as sensor layers for the detection of hydrogen [9-11]. In these structures the palladium layer is responsible for the high permeability and selectivity for hydrogen [12], while Mpcs demonstrate good sensor response. Jakubik et al. [9] have shown that bilayer structures consisting of Pd and H₂Pc demonstrate improved sensor performance in comparison with a single palladium layer.

It is well known that substitution of functional groups at the periphery of benzene ring leads to different structures of the films leading to different electrical and sensing properties of these films [13, 14]. For instance, the introduction of electron-withdrawing fluorine substituents to Mpc (M=Cu(II), Zn(II)) decreases the electron density of the conjugated macrocycle and increases the oxidation potential of the molecule [15, 16]. This makes fluorine substituted phthalocyanines possessing more sensitivity to the reducing gases like NH₃ and H₂ as compared to unsubstituted analogues [13, 14, 17]. Although the structural features and sensor response of
some fluorosubstituted M(II)Pc (e.g., CuPc, ZnPc, CoPc) were studied in details [14, 18-21], much less seems to be known about fluorosubstituted palladium phthalocyanines.

In the present work, we study and compare the structure and sensor response of thin films of unsubstituted (PdPc) and hexadecafluorosubstituted (PdPcF$_{16}$) palladium phthalocyanines. Thin films of PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ were obtained by means of organic molecular beam deposition (OMBD) and their structure was studied using UV-visible spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) techniques. The electrical sensor response of the palladium phthalocyanine films toward ammonia and hydrogen was investigated and compared with that of hexadecafluorosubstituted palladium phthalocyanine films. Quantum chemical (DFT) calculations have been employed for further in depth understanding the nature of interaction between phthalocyanines and the analyte molecules.

![Figure 1. Scheme of PdPc (R=H) and PcPcF$_{16}$ (R=F).](image)

2. Experimental details

2.1. Materials

Unsubstituted (PdPc) and hexadecafluorosubstituted (PdPcF$_{16}$) palladium phthalocyanines were synthesized by heating an equimolar 1:4 mixture of palladium chloride with 1,2-dicyanobenzene and tetrafluorophthalonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, in glass tubes for a period of 6-7 hours at 220 °C. The reaction products were then washed with ethanol and acetone to remove
soluble organic admixtures. All synthesized PdPc species were purified by gradient sublimation in vacuum ($10^{-5}$ Torr) at 440-460 °C.

2.2. Deposition and characterization of thin films

Thin films of palladium phthalocyanines were obtained by organic molecular beam deposition at room temperature under vacuum of $10^{-5}$ Torr with the deposition rate of 0.6 nm·s$^{-1}$. The nominal thickness of the films was about 120 nm. The substrates were varied according to the particular experimental requirements. Glass slides were employed as substrates for spectral and microscopic measurements, while silicon substrates were used for atomic force microscopy (AFM) using a Nanoscope IIIa (Veeco Instruments, Plainview, U.S.A.) to study films’ morphology. UV-Vis spectra of MPc solutions as well as films deposited on quartz substrates were recorded using a UV–Vis-3101PC “Shimadzu” spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded using a Vertex 80 FTIR spectrometer. Raman spectra were recorded with a Triplemate SPEX spectrometer equipped with CCD detector in a back-scattering geometry. The 488 nm, 100 mW line of an Ar-laser was used for spectra excitation.

X-Ray diffraction measurements of PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ polycrystalline powders and thin layers were performed using Shimadzu XRD-7000 powder X-ray diffractometer (CuKα, 40kV 30mA, Bragg-Brentano geometry, θ-θ goniometer, R = 200 mm, scintillation counter) in the range from 3 to 40° (step 0.03°, integration time 40 s per step). Polycrystalline corundum was used as a standard. 2D Grazing Incidence X-Ray Diffraction (2D GIXD) measurements were carried out on Bruker Duo single crystal X-ray diffractometer (CuKα, 45kV 0.64mA, Incoatec μCu microfocus source, 0.6 mm collimator, 1024x1024 pixel flat CCD detector); samples were mounted on the goniometer head as described by Sukhikh et al. [22]. The primary beam angle of incidence was in the range from 0 to 1°. Distance from the sample to the CCD detector was 80 mm.
2.3. Quantum chemical calculations

Stability of the complexes and characteristics of interaction of NH$_3$ and H$_2$ with PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ were estimated with the density functional theory (DFT) using the BP86/def2-SVP method [23-26] and Grimme D3 dispersion correction [27, 28]. The ORCA suite of quantum chemical programs was used for all calculations [29].

At the first stage, the geometries of molecules were optimized without symmetry restrictions and with spin multiplicities equal to 1. The binding parameters of NH$_3$ with PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ were then estimated as described elsewhere [14]. Similar estimation was applied in the case of phthalocyanines interaction with H$_2$, but with a preliminary step when possible orientations of hydrogen molecule relative to PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ were analyzed. Taking into account the zero value of H$_2$ dipole moment, since hydrogen atoms are linked by covalent non-polar bond, a weak interaction of this molecule with palladium atom of phthalocyanines is expected. From this point of view three possible orientations of H$_2$ relative to PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ (Fig. 2) are most probable. If the phthalocyanine molecule is placed in xy plane, with the central metal atom position coincides with the origin of the coordinates, then the hydrogen molecule is situated above this plane, when the mass center of H$_2$ has a place on the z axis. In the case of $P_1$ and $P_2$ orientations the hydrogen molecule is parallel to the $xy$ plane with a different direction relative to z axis; in the case of $V$ orientation both hydrogen atoms are located along the z axis. The dependence of interaction energy $E_i$ of H$_2$ with the corresponding phthalocyanines on the distance $d$(Pd-H$_2$) between palladium atom and mass center of the hydrogen molecule was estimated. For that reason the preliminary geometry optimization of all compounds separately was carried out, and later the calculations of total energy of PdPc$_x$⋯H$_2$ system, where $x = 0$ or 16, were performed at the different distances between Pd atom and H$_2$ molecule. Herein the locations of hydrogen atoms were varied along the z axis on the condition that their positions along two other axes as well as positions of all other atoms were invariable.
2.4. Study of sensor properties

The sensing performance of PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} thin films was studied in response to ammonia (10-50 ppm) and hydrogen (1000-5000 ppm) diluted with air. Pure commercial NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2} gases were used as analyte sources. The injection of gas analytes (NH\textsubscript{3} or H\textsubscript{2}) was carried out at a constant flow rate of 300 ml/min and the exposure time was fixed at 10 s in the case of ammonia and 20 s in the case of hydrogen for all examined films. Such a dynamic process rather than a static process was used in order to avoid the irreversible occupation of sites of the active sensor layer as it sometimes occurs when the material is exposed over long durations. The phthalocyanine films were deposited onto platinum interdigitated electrodes (DepSens, Spain) to investigate their conductivity changes upon interaction with the gaseous analytes. The electrical resistance of the films was measured using Keithley 236 electrometer by applying a constant dc voltage of 8 V.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Films characterization

Fig. 3 shows the electronic absorption spectra of as-deposited PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} films. The thickness of the investigated films was about 120 nm. The Q-band in the UV-Vis spectrum of PdPc film splits into two bands with maxima at 620 and 665 nm. This splitting known as Davydov splitting is due to the interaction between crystallographically inequivalent molecules.
It is typical for oblique orientation of transition dipoles [30] and usually observed in the spectra of unsubstituted M(II)Pc (M=Cu, Co, Ni etc.) films characterized by herringbone arrangement of phthalocyanine molecules [31]. Similar spectrum containing the higher energy peak at 613 nm and the lower energy peak at 667 nm has been obtained by Jafari et al. [8] for 50 nm PdPc films deposited at the substrate temperature of 25°C and deposition rate of 0.5–1.0 Å/s.

![Optical absorption spectra of palladium phthalocyanine films deposited on quartz substrates.](image)

In contrast to PdPc, the film of PdPcF₁₆ exhibits a Q-band with one maximum at 643 nm. Such a spectrum with unsplit Q band was observed only for ultrathin (0.7–1 nm) ZnPcF₁₆ films deposited on quartz glass and points toward a cofacial parallel arrangement of chromophores [32, 33]. Thicker films of MPcF₁₆ (M=Cu(II), Co(II), Zn(II)) usually exhibits absorption bands located at about 650 and 770 nm [14, 20, 33-35], where the lower energy absorption band shows a maximum in intensity. In general a bathochromic shift of the absorption band relative to the absorption of the solution is characteristic for a “head-to-tail” arrangement of chromophores [30].

Fig. 4 shows diffraction patterns from polycrystalline powders and thin films of PdPc and PdPcF₁₆, obtained in the angle range 3° to 40°. Diffraction patterns from powder and thin layers of the two investigated compounds compounds are superimposed on the same graph. Diffraction patterns for thin films are shown with x20 magnification in the range of 20 from 10° to 40° in
order to display the low-intensity diffraction peaks. Polycrystalline samples were prepared as thin layers on the smooth surface of the standard sample holder made of fused quartz. The metastable α-PdPc phase described by Kempa and Dobrowolski is identified here from the positions of the strongest diffraction peaks on the 2θ axis of the PdPc powder diffraction pattern [36]; however, unit cell parameters were not provided by the authors of the latter reference. In the current work we have successfully managed to index the diffraction pattern (FOM \( F_{48} = 28.28 \)) in the triclinic unit cell, with the refinement of unit cell parameters performed on 48 single reflections. The resulting unit cell parameters for α-PdPc are: \( a = 12.19(8) \, \text{Å} \); \( b = 3.74(3) \, \text{Å} \); \( c = 13.8(1) \, \text{Å} \); \( \alpha = 107.42(1)^\circ \); \( \beta = 96.84(1)^\circ \); \( \gamma = 81.39(1)^\circ \); \( V = 591.74 \, \text{Å}^3 \). No unindexed lines are observed in the diffraction pattern, indicating that the obtained PdPc powder is single-phased. Diffraction pattern from α-PdPc thin layer shows a single high-intensity diffraction peak corresponding to the (001) crystal plane. Low-intensity peaks \( (I/I_{\text{max}} < 1\%) \) from 00l plane series and from the (-201) and (102) planes are also observed. Absence of the reflections from the other planes indicates that the thin layers have a strong preferred orientation, with the plane (001) oriented parallel to the substrate plane.

The diffraction pattern from the polycrystalline PdPcF\(_{16}\) sample (Fig. 4) is significantly different from α-PdPc, indicating a significant change in its crystal structure. 34 single diffraction lines were observed on the PdPcF\(_{16}\) diffraction pattern, but the direct search of the unit cell parameters using Dicvol and Treor algorithms [37, 38] did not yield any meaningful results, which may indicate the possibility that PdPcF\(_{16}\) powder contains multiple crystal phases.
Figure 4. The diffraction patterns of powders (upper black curves) and thin layers (lower red curves) for α-PdPc and PdPcF₁₆. The lower curves are shown with x20 magnification in the 2θ range 10° to 40°. The lines marked with red arrows are the corundum lines (used as internal standard).

The XRD pattern obtained from PdPcF₁₆ thin layers is represented by a series of diffraction reflections with aliquot interplanar spacing (14.83 Å, 7.42 Å, 4.96 Å, 3.72 Å and 3.00 Å) that allows us to identify them as reflections from series of planes and to attribute the indexes (001), (002), (003), (004) and (005), respectively. This pattern indicates that the crystallites in the PdPcF₁₆ thin layers are preferentially oriented relative to the substrate surface.

Fig. 5 shows diffraction patterns of thin layers of α-PdPc and PdPcF₁₆, obtained on a Bruker Duo using 2D GIXD technique. Freestanding diffraction spots can be observed on all diffraction patterns, that supports the earlier conclusion about the preferential orientation of crystallites relative to the substrate surface. Based on the previously acquired unit cell parameters, hkl indexes were assigned to the corresponding diffraction spots, as shown in Fig. 5. Like in the case of powder diffraction patterns, the 2D GIXD pattern of PdPcF₁₆ is significantly different from α-PdPc. The angle between the planes 001 and 100 (and between their respective diffraction spots in Fig. 5a) is 85.48° for PdPc, while for PdPcF₁₆ angle between the corresponding diffraction spots is 59.32°.
Interplanar distances for PdPcF$_{16}$, measured directly by the positions of the observed diffraction spots are given on Fig. 5b. In addition to three orders of reflections from the (00l) plane series, there are two reflections with $d = 14.14$ Å and $d = 7.07$ Å, which can be assigned as reflections from (100) and (200) planes, respectively. It is particularly noteworthy that the diffraction peak with $d = 14.14$ Å is not observed on the PdPcF$_{16}$ powder diffraction pattern. At the same time, the high-intensity diffraction peak at $d = 13.56$ Å, which is visible on the powder diffraction pattern is not observed on the 2D GIXD pattern. This indicates that polycrystalline PdPcF$_{16}$ sample likely contains two phase modifications of this compound. This circumstance did not allow us to index the powder diffraction pattern.

**Figure 5.** The diffraction patterns of (a) $\alpha$-PdPc and (b) PdPcF$_{16}$ thin films, obtained by 2D GIXD technique.

Diffraction spot profiles obtained in the Psi-scan mode, corresponding to the reflections from the plane 001, are shown in Fig. 6. It is evident that for both samples the maximum of the diffraction peak is at $0^\circ$ Psi, which means that the crystallites are arranged with 001 plane parallel to the substrate surface, and for the majority of the crystallites the deviation is no more than $10^\circ$. 
Figure 6. Profile of 001 diffraction peak for each of the diffraction patterns obtained by integrating in the Psi-scan mode in the range of 2θ (±0.2°) of the position of its maximum (the region of integration is highlighted in blue outline on the 2D GIXD diffraction patterns).

The surface morphology of thin films of palladium phthalocyanine was examined using AFM (Fig. 7). As can be clearly seen the as-deposited PdPc film (Fig. 7a) has granular structure with a grain size of 150–220 nm. The rms roughness of the film is 16.9 nm. The surface of the PdPcF\textsubscript{16} film (Fig. 7b) is covered with slightly elongated particles with much smaller sizes. The PdPcF\textsubscript{16} film has smoother surface with the rms roughness of 4.3 nm.

Figure 7. AFM images PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} thin films.

3.2. Study of sensor properties of the palladium phthalocyanine films
The sensitivity of thin films of PdPc and PdPcF_{16} deposited onto interdigitated electrodes towards ammonia was measured by recording films’ resistance as a function of time. Fig. 8 shows the curves representing a normalized sensor response \( R_n \) (\( R_n = |R - R_o|/R_o; \) where \( R \) is the steady resistance of the film at a certain analyte concentration and \( R_o \) is the baseline resistance of the film) of the PdPc and PdFcF_{16} films on exposure to ammonia in the concentration range 10-50 ppm.

**Figure 8.** Normalized sensor response of PdPc and PdFcF_{16} films on exposure to ammonia. Air was used as a diluting and purging gas.

Fig. 9 shows the sensor response of the same films on exposure to hydrogen in the concentration range 1000-5000 ppm. The PdPc and PdPcF_{16} films demonstrate fully reversible sensor response both toward ammonia and hydrogen. However PdPc and PdPcF_{16} films are not sensitive to low concentrations of hydrogen; the detection limits of hydrogen are about 400 ppm and 500 ppm in the case PdPc and PdPcF_{16} films respectively.

**Figure 9.** Normalized sensor response of PdPc and PdFcF_{16} films on exposure to hydrogen. Air was used as a diluting and purging gas.
In the case of NH\textsubscript{3} detection, the recovery time is noticeably less for the PdPc film and rises from 50 to 120 s with increasing NH\textsubscript{3} concentration from 10 to 50 ppm. The recovery time of the PdFcF\textsubscript{16} films demonstrates much stronger dependence on NH\textsubscript{3} concentration; it changes from 55 to 750 s with increasing NH\textsubscript{3} concentration from 10 to 50 ppm.

In the case of H\textsubscript{2} detection, the recovery time is similar for both films and increases from 60 s to about 340 s with increasing hydrogen concentration from 1000 to 5000 ppm. It is worth mentioning here that purging the sensor gas cell with argon leads to irreversible sensor behavior whereas the sensor response is fully reversible on purging with air.

The sensor responses of PdPc films versus NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2} concentrations are depicted in Fig. 10 in comparison with that of the PdPcF\textsubscript{16} films, measured under the same experimental conditions.

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** The dependence of the sensor response of PdPc and PdFcF\textsubscript{16} films on ammonia (a) and hydrogen (b) concentrations.

Fig. 10(a) shows that the sensor response toward ammonia is almost the same for both films in the NH\textsubscript{3} concentration range from 10 to 30 ppm, while that of the PdPcF\textsubscript{16} film rises markedly at higher NH\textsubscript{3} concentrations. The sensitivity of the PdPc film to hydrogen (Fig. 10) is slightly higher than that of the PdPcF\textsubscript{16} film.

In order to further understand the differences in the behavior of PdPc and PdFcF\textsubscript{16} films upon interaction with ammonia and hydrogen the DFT calculations have been performed.
3.3. Study of binding of ammonia and hydrogen to PdPc films

3.3.1. Experimental and theoretical study of vibrational spectra of palladium phthalocyanines

In order to check the validity of the theoretical calculations, we started from the detailed comparison of the predicted and experimental vibrational (IR and Raman) spectra of PdPc and PcPcF\textsubscript{16}. Vibrational spectra of both PdPc derivatives have not been discussed in the literature. The experimental and calculated IR spectra of the studied PdPc forms are presented in Fig. 11. The experimental Raman spectra are given in Fig. S1 (Supplementary Information). A comparison of the experimental and calculated bands in the IR and Raman spectra of their assignments are given in the Tables S1-S4 (Supplementary Information). The experimentally measured vibrational wavenumbers of all molecules coincide well with DFT theoretical predictions. The RMS difference between the calculated and experimental wavenumbers was 20 cm\textsuperscript{−1}.

![Figure 11. The experimental and calculated IR spectra of palladium phthalocyanines.](image)

3.3.2. Theoretical study of ammonia binding to palladium phthalocyanines by DFT calculations

It is known that ammonia and amines interact with the central metal ion inside the phthalocyanine ring and form complexes with charge transfer from NH\textsubscript{3} to phthalocyanine molecule [14, 39, 40]. The binding of NH\textsubscript{3} molecule with PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} increases the out-of-
plane distortion in the Pc ring, because the NH\textsubscript{3} molecule binds with the Pd atom. It is reflected in an increase in the Pd-N\textsubscript{α} bond length from 1.928 Å to 1.935 Å. The binding parameters for NH\textsubscript{3} with PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} are presented in Table 1. The calculated values of the binding energy $E_{b}$ show that ammonia binds more strongly with PdPcF\textsubscript{16} than with PdPc. This fact appears to explain the larger recovery time of PdPcF\textsubscript{16}-based sensors in comparison with PdPc-based ones.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Parameters of the bond of NH\textsubscript{3} with PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16}}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Compound & $E_{b}$, eV & $d$(Pd-NH\textsubscript{3}), Å & $q$(NH\textsubscript{3}), e & $q$(Pd), e & $Q$ \\
\hline
PdPc····NH\textsubscript{3} & -0.312 & 2.860 & 0.113 & 0.472 & 0.112 \\
PdPcF\textsubscript{16}····NH\textsubscript{3} & -0.360 & 2.853 & 0.118 & 0.483 & -1.912 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

At the same time the introduction of F-atoms in PdPc macrocycles causes a decrease of the bond length $d$(Pd-NH\textsubscript{3}) between palladium atom and nitrogen atom of ammonia (Table 1). The increase in the bond strength between NH\textsubscript{3} and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} is caused by the increase in the electron density displacement from NH\textsubscript{3} to phthalocyanine through the central Pd atom. As a result NH\textsubscript{3} acquires a positive effective charge which is higher than in the case of PdPc. An increase of the positive charge $q$(Pd) of the Pd atom and the total negative charge $Q$ of peripheral atoms of hydrogen or fluorine in the same sequence indicates the increase in the Pd atom acceptor properties in the case of PdPcF\textsubscript{16} derivative due to electron withdrawing properties of fluorine groups. This is reflected in the larger sensor response of PdPcF\textsubscript{16} films.

It is however worth remembering that the surface morphologies of PdPc and PdPcF\textsubscript{16} films are quite different from each other (Fig. 7) and that the film’s morphology can also strongly influence the sensor behavior of these films. The rougher surface of the PdPc films with larger distances between the crystallites may induce faster adsorption/desorption rate of gaseous molecules.
3.3.3. Theoretical study of hydrogen binding to palladium phthalocyanines by DFT calculations

As a result of performing DFT calculations, it was shown that $V$ orientation of hydrogen molecule relative to PdPc (Fig. 2) is the most preferable state. The dependence of interaction energy of $H_2$ with PdPc on the distance between palladium atom and the mass center of hydrogen molecule at its different orientations is presented in Fig. S2. The interaction energy for $V$ orientation is approximately two times larger than in the case of $P_1$ and $P_2$ states. The dependences of $E_i$ on $d$(Pd-H$_2$) in the case of PdPcF$_{16}$ are totally identical to those for PdPc. For $V$ orientation the distance between the mass center of hydrogen molecule and palladium atom, which corresponds to the largest interaction energy, is approximately equal to 3.0 Å.

The considered structures of PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ with $V$ oriented hydrogen molecule, which correspond to the largest interaction energies, were used as initial scenario for total geometry optimization to obtain the more accurate binding parameters of H$_2$, which are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$E_b$, eV</th>
<th>$d$(Pd-H$_2$), Å</th>
<th>$q$(H$_2$), e</th>
<th>$q$(Pd), e</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PdPc·····H$_2$</td>
<td>-0,085</td>
<td>3,015</td>
<td>0,024</td>
<td>0,439</td>
<td>0,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdPcF$_{16}$····H$_2$</td>
<td>-0,083</td>
<td>3,015</td>
<td>0,026</td>
<td>0,453</td>
<td>-1,912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The small values of $E_b$, which only slightly exceed the energy of intermolecular interaction indicate the extremely weak binding of hydrogen molecules. The substitution of the peripheral hydrogen atoms by fluorine atoms weakly influences the parameters of the considered bond. The nature of the considered bond as well as in the case of NH$_3$ is based on the displacement of the electronic density from hydrogen molecule to phthalocyanines molecules through the palladium atom. That follows from the positive values of $q$(H$_2$), however these values are essentially small (0.024-0.026 e), and, perhaps, this fact causes the weak binding of hydrogen molecules to
palladium phthalocyanines. From this point of view the surface sorption of hydrogen itself may play some role in the hydrogen sensing behavior, nevertheless, it seems that the change in the resistance of MPc films upon interaction with H$_2$ has different mechanism from that in the case of ammonia and results from a gain of surface electrons following the reaction of hydrogen with adsorbed oxygen.

The resistance-based sensing mechanism of semiconducting sensors has been studied in the literature [41]. The commonly accepted mechanism is based on the variation of the surface electron depletion region due to the reaction between hydrogen and the chemisorbed oxygen on the surface. It has been assumed in the literature [42] that atmospheric oxygen absorbs at the air/MPC interface and at grain boundaries. It has been reported that the formation of charge-transfer complexes by coordination of O$_2$ to MPc at the air/phthalocyanine interface leads to the formation of oxidized MPc$^+$ and O$_2^-$ species and injection of hole charge carriers into the film’s bulk [43, 44]. The sensor layer is then exposed to hydrogen atmosphere and the hydrogen molecules react with the adsorbed oxygen species. The redox reaction is exothermic and results in the fast desorption of produced H$_2$O molecules from the surface [45]. Due to the released electrons a change of the resistance of the semiconductor is observed.

Taking into account this possible resistance-based sensing mechanism, the additional quantum-chemical calculations were carried out by the same method, where the binding of an O$_2$ molecule with PdPc was studied as an intermediate step before an interaction with H$_2$ molecule. It was shown that the nature of the considered bond completely differs from that between phthalocyanine and NH$_3$ or H$_2$ molecules. First of all it depends on the spin state of palladium phthalocyanine, therefore, in the singlet spin state of PdPc the oxygen molecule is bonded by the nitrogen atom of one of the pyrrole cycles (Fig. 12 left) and becomes positively charged (0.019 $e$), which means that electron density moves from O$_2$ molecule onto the macrocycle. The binding energy in this case is equal to -0.185 eV. In the triplet spin state of PdPc the oxygen molecule is bonded by the nitrogen atom linking two pyrrole cycles (Fig. 12 right) and becomes
negatively charged (-0.134 e), which agrees with the experimental observations. In this case the binding energy is equal to -0.360 eV.

![Figure 12](image.png)

**Figure 12.** The geometries of the palladium phthalocyanine complexes with the oxygen molecule at the singlet spin state (left) and triplet spin state (right) of PdPc.

It follows that in both cases of spin states of the palladium phthalocyanine the strength of the oxygen molecule binding is much higher than that of the hydrogen molecule; this means that the first type of binding is more preferable than the second one. In this case H₂ molecules can interact directly with PdPc⁷O₂⁻ complex that leads to desorption of the oxygen molecule in the form of H₂O molecules. This process is quite possible at the normal conditions because the calculated change of the free Gibbs energy here is negative and equal to -369 kJ·mol⁻¹ for both cases of spin states. As a result of oxygen desorption a change of the resistance of the semiconducting PdPc films is observed.

**Conclusions**

The structure and sensor response of thin films of unsubstituted and hexadecafluorosubstituted palladium phthalocyanines were studied and compared. Thin films of PdPc and PdPcF₁₆ were obtained by means of organic molecular beam deposition and their
structure was studied using UV-visible spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and atomic force microscopy techniques. Using XRD method the PdPc powder was identified as $\alpha$-PdPc phase with the triclinic unit cell. The resulting unit cell parameters for $\alpha$-PdPc are: $a = 12.19(8)$ Å; $b = 3.74(3)$ Å; $c = 13.8(1)$ Å; $\alpha = 107.42(1)^{\circ}$; $\beta = 96.84(1)^{\circ}$; $\gamma = 81.39(1)^{\circ}$; $V = 591.74$ Å$^3$. PdPc thin layers have a strong preferred orientation, with the plane (001) oriented parallel to the substrate plane. The diffraction pattern from the polycrystalline PdPcF$_{16}$ sample is significantly different from $\alpha$-PdPc, indicating a significant change in its crystalline structure. The surface morphology of PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ films was also quite different, with the grain size in the PdPc films in the region of 150–220 nm. On the other hand the surface of PdPcF$_{16}$ films consisted of much smaller particles with a diameter no more than 100 nm.

Sensitivity of PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ films towards ammonia (10–50 ppm) and hydrogen (1000–5000 ppm) was measured by continuously recording films’ resistance. The PdPc and PdPcF$_{16}$ films demonstrate full reversible sensor response both toward ammonia and hydrogen. The value of sensor response toward ammonia is almost the same for both films in the NH$_3$ concentration range 10-30 ppm, while PdPcF$_{16}$ film exhibited markedly enhanced response at higher NH$_3$ concentrations. The sensitivity of the PdPc film to hydrogen is slightly higher than that of the PdPcF$_{16}$ film.

The nature and parameters of chemical binding between PdPc derivatives and analyte molecules were described by quantum-chemical calculations utilizing DFT method. Ammonia interacts with the central metal ion inside the phthalocyanine ring and forms complexes with charge transfer from NH$_3$ to phthalocyanine molecule. The calculated values of binding energy show that NH$_3$ binds more strongly with PdPcF$_{16}$ than with PdPc.

The small values of binding energy, which only slightly exceed the energy of intermolecular interaction indicate the extremely weak binding of hydrogen molecules with PdPc. It seems that the change of MPC films resistance upon interaction with H$_2$ has different mechanism from that
occurring in the case of ammonia and results from a gain of surface electrons following the reaction of hydrogen with adsorbed oxygen.
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