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Types of research proposal

1. Commissioned (or contract) research
   - aka 'reactive' research
   - research or evaluation

2. Regulated funding (Research Council or charity funded research, EU funding)
   - aka 'proactive' research

- Overlapping principles
- Some features of difference
Commissioned or contract research

- main funders are government departments, agencies
- HE sector bodies; some charities, pressure groups or voluntary sector bodies
- public competition for the work
- they issue *Expressions of Interest* and/or *Invitations to Tender* ... some use *Framework Agreements*
- can be research or evaluation work
- almost always about POLICY rather than pedagogy or practice
- sometimes known as 'applied research' as it is applicable to identified policy problems
Research or evaluation?

• Research is usually more open ended enquiry in response to a perceived problem, e.g.
  – 'Research into the causes of the BAME attainment gap in HE'

• An evaluation in the same field might be (e.g.):
  – 'Evaluation of the XXX CPD programme to counter unconscious racial bias in assessment'
Research or evaluation?

- Methods may be similar - but an evaluation tender will usually steer you towards which to use
- Time scales may be similar (i.e. report within 9 months)
- Funding for the work may be similar
- Range and scope likely to be far more open ended in a research project
  - Evaluation is (usually) of a boundaried programme or set of questions
  - Sometimes steered away from adjacent areas
Commissioners in WP/ HE outreach field

- Department of Business, Innovation and Skills (structural and market side of sector)
- HEFCE (operational side of sector)
- OFFA/SPA/UCAS
- UUK/HEA
- Mission Groups
- Sutton Trust/EEF
- The Edge Foundation
- The Bridge Group
- etc etc
Process

• Commissioners will decide an issue needs research / evaluation
• Issue the ITT
• 2-3 week turnaround
• How do you decide whether to go for it?
The research/evaluation commissioning context

- Knowing the field - government agendas, types of evidence they will be looking for
- Knowing how research is commissioned
- Knowing the funder and the policy context
- To bid or not to bid - a cost-benefit analysis
- Centre for Education and Inclusion Research at SHU [http://www.shu.ac.uk/research/ceir/](http://www.shu.ac.uk/research/ceir/)
## Looking out for tenders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts Finder</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td><a href="https://sid4gov.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sid4gov">https://sid4gov.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/sid4gov</a> sign in then click this separate link (which isn't in Sid4gov)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenders Direct (subscription)</td>
<td>Daily and on website</td>
<td><a href="https://www.tendersdirect.co.uk/">https://www.tendersdirect.co.uk/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPPEX now on pay wall</td>
<td>tbc</td>
<td><a href="https://oppex.com/">https://oppex.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sell2Wales</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td><a href="https://www.sell2wales.gov.wales">https://www.sell2wales.gov.wales</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chest North West (LAs)</td>
<td>As tenders are posted</td>
<td><a href="https://procontract.due-north.com/Login">https://procontract.due-north.com/Login</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nepo (North East LAs)</td>
<td>As tenders are posted</td>
<td><a href="https://www.qtegov.com/procontract/supplier.nsf/frm_home?openform">https://www.qtegov.com/procontract/supplier.nsf/frm_home?openform</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YORtender (Yorkshire LAs)</td>
<td>As tenders are posted</td>
<td><a href="https://www.yortender.co.uk">https://www.yortender.co.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public contracts scotland</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td><a href="http://www.publiccontractsscotland.gov.uk/">http://www.publiccontractsscotland.gov.uk/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactis (National College / Bristol City Council / Caerphilly /Newham and others)</td>
<td>As tenders are posted</td>
<td><a href="https://supplierlive.proactisp2p.com/Account/Login">https://supplierlive.proactisp2p.com/Account/Login</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Central NCVO (Cabinet Office)</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td><a href="http://www.fundingcentral.org.uk/default.aspx">http://www.fundingcentral.org.uk/default.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEFCE (bids via UKSBS - see list tab below)</td>
<td>When tenders are posted</td>
<td><a href="https://in-tendhost.co.uk/helce/">https://in-tendhost.co.uk/helce/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education Academy</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://in-tendhost.co.uk/neupc/aspx/Home.#sthash.69nmWjNI.dpuf">https://in-tendhost.co.uk/neupc/aspx/Home.#sthash.69nmWjNI.dpuf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRF Monthly Newsletter</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td><a href="http://www.jrf.org.uk/">http://www.jrf.org.uk/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Research, Research Fortnight</td>
<td>Fortnightly</td>
<td><a href="http://www.researchresearch.com/">http://www.researchresearch.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding News</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.researchresearch.com/">http://www.researchresearch.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Research, Research Fortnight</td>
<td>Fortnightly</td>
<td><a href="http://www.researchresearch.com/">http://www.researchresearch.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research News</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.researchresearch.com/">http://www.researchresearch.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHR (NHS)</td>
<td>Occassional</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nihr.ac.uk/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.nihr.ac.uk/Pages/default.aspx</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bidding checklist (1)

• How close is it to established areas of expertise (methods and/or substantive area)?
• Do we have the capacity to undertake it?
• Do we have the expertise/experience etc required according to the client scoring scheme?
• What are the potential spinoffs (business, academic, partnership, developing an area of work; junior researchers)?
• How great is the likely competition, and who?
• What is the time frame for bidding? Estimate time to write bid - is it doable?
Bidding checklist (2)

• What is the budget - can we judge if it is doable by considering: timeframe; complexity
• Possible partners: do we need them; who should lead?
• Is there capacity to write the bid - lead; RA support; admin support?
• Is there capacity to do the work in the centre, faculty, university, beyond university?
• Who is going to do what?/when?
• Have we got administrative capacity?
Structuring the proposal

• Understanding the environment
• Who we are - track record in similar work for similar clients - the sales pitch
• Our approach - aims and objectives, research questions
  – Methodology and methods
  – Analysis
  – Timelines
  – Costing
  – Staffing (mini-CVs)
  – Risk assessment and QA/Data Protection
## Scoring mechanism (HEFCE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question subject</th>
<th>Maximum marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding the environment</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff and delivery of project</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project plan and timescales</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thorny issues

Costing
- do you know the budget?
- daily rates
- is it VAT-able

Contractual - get the ITT terms/conditions looked at by 'legal'

Sub-contractual relationships
- who does what
- differential costs

Funder requirements
- liaison requirements
- reporting schedules
- dissemination
- Time - they always expect more than they will pay for
Methods and approach
Aims, objectives and methods: the primacy of research questions

Source: Maxwell (1996: 3-4)
Methods..?

• Research questions - who aimed at?
  – nature of subjects - recipients; practitioners; stakeholders; end-users
• sample/cohort size and nature
• variables anticipated
• complexity of data required: in-depth (qual) or yes-no (survey) statistical trial (RCT, quasi-experimental)
• validity and robustness = usefulness
• nature of funder: purpose, audience
• what do we want to get out of it?
Proactive research proposals

- Research councils [http://www.esrc.ac.uk/](http://www.esrc.ac.uk/)
- [http://www.ahrc.ac.uk/funding/research/](http://www.ahrc.ac.uk/funding/research/)
- Large charitable bodies e.g. Leverhulme Trust [http://tinyurl.com/hyuq2uj](http://tinyurl.com/hyuq2uj)
- Big Lottery Fund [http://tinyurl.com/hhggky6](http://tinyurl.com/hhggky6)
- Size of budget - variable (some small grants available e.g. SRHE £10k; big calls can have budgets of £5m)
- Research support office e.g. RIO at SHU
- What's different - time and budget
- Multiple partners - different ways of working
What else is different?

• **You** identify the issue, drawing on literature and theoretical underpinning of your choice

• Open calls - plenty of scope

• Multidisciplinarity and criticality encouraged

• Length of time you can take (to develop proposal and to carry out the research)

• Range of approaches and methodologies
What else is different?

- Freedom to shift direction within the budget
- Full Economic Costing
- More possibilities for publications
- Prestige in career and REF impact terms
- ... but hugely bureaucratic and time consuming (especially EC funding streams)
- Joint Electronic Submission system (Je-S)
Structure for a Research Council proposal

• Case for support
  – project objectives
  – project summary
  – academic beneficiaries
  – ethical information
  – impact summary (i.e. who on)
  – staff duties (and CVs)

• Pathways to impact (i.e. how it will impact research/policy community)
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