

Therapeutic alliances in stroke rehabilitation: a meta-ethnography

LAWTON, Michelle, HADDOCK, Gail <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8116-7950>>, CONROY, Paul and SAGE, Karen <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7365-5177>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/11876/>

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Published version

LAWTON, Michelle, HADDOCK, Gail, CONROY, Paul and SAGE, Karen (2016). Therapeutic alliances in stroke rehabilitation: a meta-ethnography. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 97 (11), 1979-1993.

Copyright and re-use policy

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

Table 2: CASP analysis

	Gibbon 2004 ⁵⁹	Jones et al., 1997 ⁵⁵	Lawler et al., 1999 ⁴⁹	Leach et al., 2010 ⁵⁰	Levack et al., 2011 ⁵¹	Lewinter & Mikkelsen, 1995 ⁶⁰	Lloyd et al., 2013 ⁵²	Mangset et al., 2008 ⁶¹	Parry et al., 2004 ⁵³	Pound et al., 1995 ⁶²	Rohde et al., 2012 ⁵⁴	Simmons-Mackie & Schultz 2003 ⁶⁴	Slingsby et al., 2006 ⁵⁶	Sundin et al., 2001 ⁵⁷	Talvitie & Reunanen, 2002 ⁵⁸	Worrall et al., 2010 ⁴⁸	Wottrich et al., 2004 ⁶³
Was there a clear statement of the aims of the research?	-	-	++	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	+	-	+	++	++	+
Is the qualitative methodology appropriate?	+	-	++	++	++	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	++
Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research?	-	-	+	++	++	-	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	+	++	++	+
Was the recruitment strategy appropriate to the aims of the research?	++	-	++	+	++	-	++	+	-	++	-	-	+	+	-	+	-

Was the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue?	-	-	+	++	++	-	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	+
Has the relationship between the researcher and participant been adequately considered?	-	-	-	+	-	-	++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Have ethical issues been taken into consideration?	-	-	-	++	++	-	++	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Was the data analysis sufficiently rigorous?	-	-	+	++	++	-	++	+	+	-	+	++	++	++	+	++	+
Is there a clear statement of findings	+	-	++	++	++	+	++	+	-	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++
How valuable is the research?	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	+
limited or no justification for a given issue (-), the issue was addressed but lacked elaboration (+), the issue was extensively justified or explained (++)																	