

**Physical, psychological and emotional benefits of green physical activity: An ecological dynamics perspective**

YEH, Hsiao-Pu, STONE, Joseph <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9861-4443>>, CHURCHILL, Sarah <<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9542-3812>>, WHEAT, Jonathan <<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1107-6452>>, BRYMER, Eric and DAVIDS, Keith <<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1398-6123>>

Available from Sheffield Hallam University Research Archive (SHURA) at:

<http://shura.shu.ac.uk/10916/>

---

This document is the author deposited version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

**Published version**

YEH, Hsiao-Pu, STONE, Joseph, CHURCHILL, Sarah, WHEAT, Jonathan, BRYMER, Eric and DAVIDS, Keith (2015). Physical, psychological and emotional benefits of green physical activity: An ecological dynamics perspective. *Sports Medicine*, 46 (7), 947-953.

---

**Copyright and re-use policy**

See <http://shura.shu.ac.uk/information.html>

1 **Physical, psychological and emotional benefits of green physical activity: an ecological dynamics**  
2 **perspective.**

3  
4  
5  
6 Hsiao-Pu Yeh, Joseph Antony Stone, Sarah May Churchill and Jonathan Stephen Wheat

7 Sheffield Hallam University

8 Eric Brymer

9 Manchester Metropolitan University

10 Keith Davids

11 Sheffield Hallam University

12 University of Jyväskylä

13  
14  
15 Authors Note

16 H. P. Yeh and J. Wheat, Centre for Sports Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, UK. J.A.  
17 Stone and S. Churchill, Academy of Sport and Physical Activity, Sheffield Hallam University, UK. K. Davids,  
18 Centre for Sports Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, UK and FiDiPro Programme, University  
19 of Jyväskylä, Finland. E. Brymer, Manchester Metropolitan University, UK

20 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Yeh Hsiaopu, Centre for Sports  
21 Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, Room S001 Chestnut Court, Collegiate Crescent, Sheffield,  
22 S10 2BP. Email:H.Yeh@shu.ac.uk, Tel: 0114 225 2355

23  
24  
25  
26 Running Header: Green physical activity and ecological dynamics  
27  
28

1 **Abstract**

2 Increasing evidence supports the multiple benefits to physical, psychological and emotional wellbeing, of green  
3 physical activity, a topic of increasing interest in the past decade. Research has revealed a synergistic benefit of  
4 green physical activity, which includes all aspects of exercise and physical activity in the presence of nature.  
5 Our theoretical analysis suggests that there are three distinct levels of engagement in green physical activity,  
6 with each level reported to have a positive effect on human behaviours. However, the extent to which each level  
7 of green physical activity benefits health and wellbeing is assumed to differ, requiring confirmation in future  
8 research. This elucidation of understanding is needed because previous literature has tended to focus on  
9 recording empirical evidence, rather than developing a sound theoretical framework to understand green  
10 physical activity effects. Here we propose an ecological dynamics rationale to explain how and why green  
11 physical activity might influence health and wellbeing of different population groups. This framework suggests  
12 a number of unexplored, interacting constraints, related to types of environment and population groups, which  
13 shape reported levels of benefit of green physical activity. Further analysis is needed to clarify the explicit  
14 relationship between green physical activity and health and wellbeing, including levels of engagement, types of  
15 environmental constraints, levels of physical activity, adventure effects, skill effects and sampling of different  
16 populations.

17

18 **Key points**

- 19 • Previous literature has typically provided an operational analysis of the benefits of physical activity  
20 undertaken in nature and future work needs to understand how and why green physical activity might  
21 influence health and wellbeing with a multidisciplinary rationale.
- 22 • Further investigation of interacting constraints on green physical activity effects is needed, including  
23 levels of engagement, types of environment, level of physical activity, adventure, skill effects and  
24 groups.
- 25 • An ecological dynamics framework has the potential to provide principles for the design of green  
26 physical activity programmes as well as experiments on this topic.

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Maintaining human physical and mental health are major global concerns, with physical activity (PA) widely  
3 acknowledged as determining factors [1] in ensuring physical, psychological and emotional wellbeing. Physical  
4 inactivity has been identified as the fourth leading risk factor for global mortality, associated with approximately  
5 3.2 million deaths each year, implicated in the prevalence of non-communicable diseases such as cancer and  
6 cardiovascular defects [2]. Further, one in four people in the world is affected by mental or neurological  
7 disorders at some point in their lives, which PA could alleviate [3].

8

9 Theoretical frameworks have been proposed to understand and explain determinants and correlates of PA, the  
10 four most prominent theories being: the social cognitive theory, the theory of planned behaviour, the self-  
11 determination theory and the transtheoretical model [4]. These theories all tend to focus on the behaviour of the  
12 individual, providing limited examination of how interactions with the environment may influence health  
13 outcomes and behaviours. Health behaviour is a complex and multifaceted phenomenon, influenced by multiple,  
14 interacting constraints, including the environmental context within which PA takes place [5, 6]. A satisfactory  
15 theory of health behaviours should examine PA effects from a multilevel ecological approach focusing both on  
16 the individual and the environment.

17

18 **2. Green Physical Activity**

19 Evidence suggests that exercise environments have an effect on the quality of PA. With rapid urbanisation,  
20 exercising indoors is becoming increasingly popular amongst active individuals, with proportionally less PA  
21 undertaken outdoors, shifting to the use of gymnasias, sports halls or within homes [7]. However, there is  
22 growing awareness that exercising in a natural environment could generate wider benefits than undertaking the  
23 same exercise levels indoors [8]. This nature-based activity called “green exercise” was defined by Pretty et al.  
24 [1] as undertaking PA whilst being directly exposed to nature, which includes three distinct levels of  
25 engagement with nature.

26 We propose to adapt the current green exercise definition to recognise that it forms a part of wider PA [9].  
27 *Green PA* can be defined as any bodily movement that: a) is produced by skeletal muscles resulting in energy  
28 expenditure from the utilisation of affordances (invitations for action); and b), emerges from engagement with  
29 natural environments, e.g. gardening and walking in a park to recuperate from work. In comparison, green  
30 exercise is defined as green PA that is planned, structured, rigorous, repetitive and purposive with the aim to  
31 improve or maintain one or more components of physical fitness [9]. The focus of the current article is on  
32 effects of green PA on physical, psychological, and emotional dimensions of health and well-being.

33 A theoretical framework, ecological dynamics, is proposed to understand the nature of the constraints which  
34 shape benefits of green PA, health and wellbeing. A theoretical analysis is needed to ascertain whether different  
35 nature environments, providing opportunities for interactions with various layouts, surfaces, textures, objects  
36 and terrains, might support the emergence of different physical outcomes, sensory perceptions, emotions and  
37 feelings. In ecological dynamics, green PA is PA resulting from the utilisation of affordances that emerge from

1 people's direct interactions with natural environments. These interactions are proposed to lead to multi-  
2 dimensional responses in humans [10].

### 3 **3. An Ecological Dynamics Framework: Key Ideas**

4

5 Ecological dynamics is the integrated framework of ecological psychology and dynamical systems theory, with  
6 three features of significance for understanding green PA: emergence of behaviours from multiple subsystems,  
7 interacting constraints, and affordances. Ecological dynamics suggests that constraints are related to each  
8 individual, task or the environment which interact to shape behaviours including perceptions, emotions,  
9 cognitions and actions [10]. Humans perceive affordances directly from their surroundings and pick up  
10 opportunities or invitations for behaviours. Affordances will emerge from the three interacting constraints to  
11 shape different dimensions of behaviours related to the health and wellbeing of each individual.

12

13 “The affordances of the environment are what it offers the animal, what it provides or furnishes, either good or  
14 ill”, according to James Gibson [11 p.127]. Highly dynamic natural environments involve more perceptual  
15 systems being used to detect olfactory, acoustic, haptic and visual information. That offers multiple  
16 informational constraints on behaviours compared with indoor spaces, which typically include less dynamic  
17 sources of information. In nature, one can feel wind, sunlight, rain, and perceive distinct textures, terrains and  
18 surfaces, sounds from birds, water or smells from flowers, trees, bogs, and fields. One is continuously picking  
19 up feedback from the plantar surface of the feet while walking/running/stepping on rocky, uneven surfaces or  
20 from the hands while climbing. These invite richer psychological responses than when undertaking PA in more  
21 static conditions of temperature-controlled, enclosed gymnasias, which have fewer affordances for behaviours.  
22 These key ideas in ecological dynamics make it a powerful theoretical framework to guide green PA research.

23

### 24 **4. Potential Benefits of Green Physical Activity**

25

26 Green PA is important for children, as natural environments are stimulating arenas for general learning and  
27 motor development [12]. Engagement with nature through imaginative play in children can provide benefits,  
28 such as providing a manifold of affordances or possibilities for action, human interactions and learning of social  
29 skills [13]. This might explain why children, particularly boys, tend to display greater moderate-vigorous PA  
30 levels in green spaces than in urban environments [14]. Viewing virtual nature and natural scenes has been  
31 linked to increases in autonomic control of the heart with an increase in vagal activity in adults [15]. Self-esteem  
32 improvements have been observed when walking in green spaces compared to attending social club activities  
33 [16]. People walking on farmland tend to perceive less stress and negative affect compared with walking in an  
34 urban environment [17]. Furthermore, reduced levels of state anxiety have been reported following green  
35 exercise experiences [18] and correlations have been observed between active involvement in environmental  
36 groups and positive health, wellbeing and social connectedness [19]. A meta-analysis revealed that a walk/run in  
37 a natural environment, (e.g. public parks) provides more psycho-emotional benefits, i.e. revitalisation and  
38 feelings of tranquillity, in comparison to exercising in a synthetic environment [20]. Therefore, extant data  
39 shows that green PA has been increasingly recognised as providing positive contributions to health and

1 wellbeing. This may comprise a valuable treatment for mental health problems [21]. However, there have been  
2 few comprehensive rationales provided to explain how and why these contributions may arise.

### 3 **5. Three Levels of Green Physical Activity**

4 Green PA includes three levels of engagement with nature: (i) *viewing* nature through a window or as an image,  
5 (ii) *being in the presence* of nature when nature is incidental to PA experiences, and (iii), *actively interacting*  
6 with nature [1]. The first level of green PA includes viewing PA in virtual nature settings (in laboratories) and  
7 real nature environments [1]. From an ecological dynamics viewpoint, these contexts provide different  
8 affordances which might generate different effects for people in maintaining or improving health and wellbeing,  
9 especially over the longer term. However, it remains unclear whether psychological and emotional benefits  
10 emerge from exercising with different levels of engagement in varying environments (e.g. urban districts and  
11 nature). The majority of existing experiments have been conducted in laboratories to control extraneous  
12 environmental variables, rather than making a direct comparison with exercising in the outdoors, emphasising  
13 visual information sources which do not simulate those available outdoors. When comparisons are to be made  
14 between indoor and outdoor environments, a more rigorous experimental design may be required since many  
15 parameters will differ, e.g. energy expenditure.

16 Engagements can involve physical, psychological, and emotional dimensions of behaviour. Therefore, level of  
17 engagement should be specified when interpreting effects of green PA because the criteria for classifying  
18 different levels of green PA will be obscured. For example, a person may cycle to work through a city but pay  
19 attention to all the nature elements on the way, conversely a runner may concentrate on external problems, such  
20 as a difficult work assignment, instead of focusing on nature features during a run through a forest. The level of  
21 green PA will differ in these examples depending on whether we classify the nature of engagement (i.e.  
22 involving physical, psychological and emotional dimensions). Simply classifying green PA based on physical  
23 engagement, will lead to the first example fitting into the second level of green exercise. The latter example will  
24 be classified into the third level of green PA. However, classifying green PA based on psychological  
25 engagement, will lead to the first example fitting into the third level of green PA. The latter example will be  
26 classified into the second level of green PA. Hence, level and type of engagement must be considered carefully  
27 during green PA research.

### 28 **6. Ecological Dynamics: A Suitable Framework for Green Physical Activity.**

29 Guiding principles of ecological dynamics can help with the current understanding of results and support the  
30 formulation of research projects into green PA programmes. Figure 1 outlines the core concepts for  
31 understanding effects of green PA. This theoretical framework does not describe a linear process. Rather,  
32 behaviour develops as a result of multiple interacting sub-systems in human beings. Human behaviours analysis  
33 should include physical actions and psychological responses such as thoughts, emotions, feelings and social  
34 interactions [10]. For example, when people swim in the ocean, the environment offers a cooling place to swim  
35 in hot weather, but may bring out feelings of excitement, fear, and exhaustion. The take home messages are that  
36 effects of green PA on human behaviours can be explained from several interacting constraints, related to the  
37 individual, environment and task. The interactions between the three categories of constraints will influence

1 affordances for behaviours that emerge from undertaking adventurous physical activities in natural outdoor  
2 environments.

3 In terms of green PA, affordances provided in indoor environments, even viewing natural images, differ in  
4 actual physical experiences gained from performing PA in natural environments. From the standpoint of  
5 affordances, previous definitions of green PA have tended to downplay effects of action components of  
6 behaviour [1]. In fact, indoor exercise provides a highly stable physical environment (e.g., controlled lighting,  
7 shade, atmosphere, ambient temperature, less variable conditions) which provides a limited landscape of  
8 affordances to invite physical, psychological or emotional behaviours. In this way, viewing natural scenes in  
9 stable gymnasium conditions may only add visual affordances for individuals' perceptions.

10 To further understand the link between affordances and green PA, it is worth discussing some examples  
11 explaining how natural environments represent dynamic and variable playscapes that challenge motor activity.  
12 Terrain topography, like slopes, ledges and rocks, afford natural obstacles for people to jump over, clamber or  
13 step on and meadows are for lying down, running and tumbling [12]. Natural environments contain various  
14 layouts, surfaces, substances, objects and places to explore which invite diverse behaviours and enable social  
15 interactions. The diversity of habitats (e.g. wooded area, natural habitat and garden) enables individuals to  
16 accept behavioural opportunities that they find most appealing. The ever-changing variety of information  
17 enables individuals to select locations that hold their fascination and attention and contribute to feelings of  
18 respite [22].

19 Hence, affordances are invitations for behaviours that exist in an environment and depend on an individual's  
20 capacities for actions [23]. The state or need of an individual is of relevance in constraining the choice of action  
21 modes to achieve a particular goal [24]. As an individual moves with respect to his/her surroundings,  
22 opportunities for action persist, emerge and dissolve, even though the surroundings analysed as objects, and the  
23 relations among them, remain stable [25]. Individuals directly perceive information and behave according to  
24 their capabilities and needs. For example, a lawn is a comfortable surface for resting when people are tired or is  
25 a smooth surface for kicking a ball when they want to be active. A gap between rocks may afford stepping over  
26 for adults, but jumping over for young children. Moreover, being opportunities for action, affordances do not  
27 cause behaviour, but *constrain* it in multiple ways leading to effects on physiological outcomes, psychological  
28 feelings and emotions. In summary, affordances exist everywhere in a landscape of opportunities for activity  
29 and are specific for individuals and situations. Existing data on green PA can be interpreted to suggest that  
30 diverse affordances may offer more varied opportunities for physical interactions, better social interactions;  
31 positive psychological responses and emotional feelings compared to indoor environments with limited  
32 affordances. Environments with more natural features tend to be preferred and associated with more positive  
33 physical and mental health outcomes [18]. Some parameters may be stable attractors which encourage  
34 emergence of specific behaviours or may provide information for action possibilities or positive emotional  
35 feelings. The engagement of the individual with nature environments may be higher because of the involvement  
36 of more perceptual systems in behaviour. This is a different expectation from performing PA in indoor  
37 environments or viewing images and videos of nature, while walking on a treadmill, (which relies heavily on the  
38 visual system).

1 There are numerous activity possibilities outdoors with the diversity of physical environments affording  
2 opportunities for different behaviours. For example, running on a flat trail on an urban street may be less  
3 engaging and challenging compared to fell running on a wild, windy slope which may be more variable,  
4 demanding and joyful. When performing the same PA, the level of adventure captured in specific environments  
5 will bring out various physical, emotional and psychological behaviours. The various levels of PA, such as  
6 walking and jogging, will require different levels of energy expenditure. The different senses of performers will  
7 have an effect on the quality of PA undertaken. Furthermore, different target groups, e.g. children, young adults  
8 and elderly people will utilise different affordances and behave differently while performing green PA, because  
9 of variations in intentions, perceptions, experiences and action capabilities. The relation between people's  
10 capabilities and environmental properties not only constrain what actions can be performed but also what  
11 actions are invited. After all, action capabilities also constrain which affordances can be utilized [23], which can  
12 be ascribed to levels of adventure. By enhancing behavioural familiarity, people might be more willing to  
13 engage with nature or take habitual exercise in natural environments, which will lead to further advantages for  
14 maintaining or promoting health and wellbeing. These ideas suggest the importance of having these positive  
15 experiences at as young an age as possible, so that they remain prominent and promote future health and  
16 wellbeing.

## 17 **7. Research Considerations**

### 18 **7.1 Green Physical Activity Research: Four Core Components**

19 Current research has focused most attention on establishing benefits of green PA in an operational manner, with  
20 few, tentative theoretical explanations proposed for *how* green PA effects may emerge. An important task for  
21 psychologists is to develop a comprehensive theoretical platform for understanding how green PA effects  
22 emerge and for developing principles for the design of valid green PA programmes. An ecological dynamics  
23 perspective emphasises the multiple dimensions of behaviours to satisfy constraints of activity environments,  
24 which provides affordances for individuals actively interacting with nature. Figure 1 outlines four principles of  
25 ecological dynamics to aid with the design and evaluation of green PA. Here, we use the example of running in  
26 a forest, compared to on a treadmill in a gym, to highlight each of the four points: (i) Embracing variability  
27 highlights that activity environments can provide varying levels of variability such as running in a forest with  
28 diverse options for running routes. The variability of each route will present different affordances compared to  
29 gym facilities, (ii) By sampling rich and functional information sources, forest runners can experience different  
30 ambient conditions induced by weather and temperature changes, different topography, slope, ledges, surfaces,  
31 gaps or rocks, and interaction with other animals which formulate richer information sources in nature compared  
32 to temperature-controlled, 'weather free' and limited changes in topography in a gym environment. (iii)  
33 Recognising individual differences means that each individual will have varying preferences for activity  
34 environments, due to variations in behavioural capacities. Hence these must be considered in examining green  
35 PA. For example, runners with different skills will create varying actions to run on different running paths  
36 (clambering on steep trails and loping on downhill slopes) compared to running on a standard gym treadmill.  
37 (iv) Context dependent decisions will need effort to carefully design training schedules during green PA,  
38 allowing users to build up their action capabilities over time. It is important for designers or researchers to



1 examine green PA effects when the relationship between participants and tasks in each session is specific and  
2 unique.

### 3 7.2 Focus of Research

4 We have argued that natural affordances may provide a variety of opportunities for behaviours from physical,  
5 psychological and emotional dimensions which may lead to better maintenance of health and wellbeing.  
6 Ecological dynamics provides a comprehensive theoretical framework to examine effects of green PA and other  
7 influential factors, such as different age groups, various levels of engagement, diverse nature environments,  
8 activity duration, type and degrees of PA and skill levels of performers. Any one of these subsystem interactions  
9 will change the relationship between performers and environments during interactions. Consequently, the  
10 quality of PA, psychological effects and physical efforts will be different. In all individuals, experience and  
11 exploration in nature environments can stimulate their cognitions, emotional wellbeing, psycho-social skills and  
12 physical competencies across the lifespan [10]. Figure 1 outlines key variables to be examined in future work on  
13 green PA, based on four principles of ecological dynamics. We propose that future work needs to examine  
14 effects of different affordances systematically by increasing the level of functional information from visual,  
15 olfactory, haptic and acoustic sources. Research will need to recognise multiple dimensions such as utilising  
16 different affordance landscapes in indoor spaces compared with outdoor and nature spaces, viewing one static  
17 image versus dynamic images of diverse durations, different types and levels of PA among various age groups,  
18 and various timescales. Furthermore, studies will need to be more ecological in design with controls that are  
19 more representative of real activity conditions, e.g. running on treadmill while watching TV or listening to  
20 music in a gym.

### 21 **8. Conclusions**

22 By offering a sound theoretical explanation from an ecological dynamics perspective and by developing the  
23 practical principles of designing green PA, more research and clinical applications are needed to examine  
24 influential factors on the topic of green PA of the link between green PA, health and wellbeing.

25

### 26 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

27 No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article. H.P. Yeh, J. A. Stone., S. M.  
28 Churchill., J. Wheat., E. Brymer., and K. Davids declare that they have no conflict of interest with the content of  
29 this review.

30

31

32

33

## 1   **References**

- 2       1.   Pretty J, Griffin M, Sellens M, et al. Green exercise: complementary roles of nature, exercise and diet  
3           in physical and emotional well-being and implications for public health policy. CES occasional paper  
4           2003-1, University of Essex. 2003.
- 5       2.   WHO. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Switzerland: WHO.2010.
- 6       3.   WHO. Fact sheet: Mental and neurological disorders. Fact Sheet: The World Health Report. 2001.
- 7       4.   Nigg CR, Borrelli B, Maddock J, et al. A theory of physical activity maintenance. *Appl Psychol*.  
8           2008;57:544-560.
- 9       5.   Sallis JF, Glanz K. The role of built environments in physical activity, eating and obesity in childhood.  
10          The future of child. 2006;16:89-108.
- 11      6.   Stokols D. Social ecology and behavioural medicine: implications for training, practice, and policy.  
12          *Behavioural Med*. 2000;26:3:129-138.
- 13      7.   Gladwell VF, Brown DK, Wood C, Sandercock GR & Barton JL. The great outdoors: how a green  
14          exercise environment can benefit all. *Extreme Physiology & Medicine*. 2013;2:3.  
15          <http://www.extremephysiolmed.com/content/2/1/3> Accessed 3 January 2013.
- 16      8.   Coon JT, Boddy K, Stein K, et al. Does participating in physical activity in outdoor natural  
17          environments have a greater effect on physical and mental wellbeing than physical activity indoors? A  
18          systematic review. *Environ Sci & Technol*. 2011;45:1761-1772.
- 19      9.   Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise and physical fitness: definitions  
20          and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep*. 1985;100:126-131.
- 21      10. Brymer E, Davids K, Mallabon L. Understanding the psychological health and wellbeing benefits of  
22          physical activity in nature: An ecological dynamics analysis. *Ecopsychol*. 2014;6:189-197.
- 23      11. Gibson JJ. The ecological approach to visual perception. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates  
24          Inc;1986.
- 25      12. Fjortoft I. The natural environment as a playground for children: the impact of outdoor play activities  
26          in pre-primary school children. *Early Child Education J*. 2001;29:111-117.
- 27      13. Laaksoharju T, Rappe E, Kaivola T. Garden affordances for social learning, play, and for building  
28          nature-child relationship. *Urban For & Urban Green*. 2012;11:195-203.
- 29      14. Wheeler BW, Cooper AR, Page AS, et al. Greenspace and children's physical activity: A GPS/GIS  
30          analysis of the PEACH project. *Prev Med*. 2010;51:148-152.
- 31      15. Gladwell VF, Brown DK, Barton JL, et al. The effects of views of nature on autonomic control. *Eur J*  
32          of *Appl Physiol*. 2012;112: 3379-3386.
- 33      16. Barton J, Griffin M, Pretty J. Exercise-nature-and socially interactive-based initiatives improve mood  
34          and self-esteem in the clinical population. *Perspect Public Health*. 2012;132:89-96.
- 35      17. Marselle MR, Irvine KN, Warber SL. Walking for well-being: Are group walks in certain types of  
36          natural environments better for well-being than group walks in urban environments? *Int J of Environ*  
37          Health Res and Public Health. 2013;10:5603-5628.
- 38      18. Mackay G, Neill JT. The effect of " green exercise" on state anxiety and the role of exercise duration,  
39          intensity, and greenness: a quasi-experiment study. *Psychol of Sport & Exercise*. 2010;11:238-245.

- 1 19. Townsend M. Feel blue? touch green! participation in forest/woodland management as a treatment for  
2 depression. *Urban For & Urban Green*. 2006;5:111-120.
- 3 20. Bowler DE, Buyung-Ali LM, Knight TM, et al. A systematic review of evidence for the added benefits  
4 to health of exposure to natural environments. *Bio Med Cent*. 2010;10:471-2458.
- 5 21. Gilchrist K. Promoting wellbeing through environment: The role of urban forestry. ICF Urban Trees  
6 Research Conference. 2011;84-93.
- 7 22. Chawla L, Keena K, Pevac I, et al. Green schoolyards as havens from stress and resources for  
8 resilience in childhood and adolescence. *Health & Pl*. 2014;27:1-13.
- 9 23. Withagen R, de Poel HJ, Araújo D, et al. Affordances can invite behaviour: Reconsidering the  
10 relationship between affordances and agency. *New Ideas in Psychol*. 2012;30:250-258.
- 11 24. Linderoth J. Why gamers don't learn more. An ecological approach to games as learning environments.  
12 *J of Gaming & Virtual Worlds*. 2012;4:45-62.
- 13 25. Araújo D, Davids K, Hristovski R. The ecological dynamics of decision making in sport. *Psychol of*  
14 *Sport & Exercise*. 2006;7:653-676.

15

16

17

18

1 **Figure 1.** *The theoretical model of principles for GPA research from an ecological dynamics perspective.*

2 *GPA=green physical activity.*

3